

# PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

**Name of Facility:** Wisconsin Secure Program Facility

**Facility Type:** Prison / Jail

**Date Interim Report Submitted:** 10/23/2024

**Date Final Report Submitted:** 03/15/2025

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Auditor Full Name as Signed:</b> Amanda van Arcken	<b>Date of Signature:</b> 03/15/2025

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
<b>Auditor name:</b>	van Arcken, Amanda
<b>Email:</b>	amanda.vanarcken@doc.oregon.gov
<b>Start Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	09/09/2024
<b>End Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	09/11/2024

FACILITY INFORMATION	
<b>Facility name:</b>	Wisconsin Secure Program Facility
<b>Facility physical address:</b>	1101 Morrison Drive, Boscobel, Wisconsin - 53805
<b>Facility mailing address:</b>	

Primary Contact
-----------------

<b>Name:</b>	Jacob Cirian
<b>Email Address:</b>	jacob.cirian@wisconsin.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	608-375-5656

<b>Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Gary Boughton
<b>Email Address:</b>	gary.boughton@wisconsin.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	608-375-5656 ext. 30

<b>Facility PREA Compliance Manager</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Jacob Cirian
<b>Email Address:</b>	Jacob.Cirian@wisconsin.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	608-375-5656
<b>Name:</b>	Paula Stoudt
<b>Email Address:</b>	Paula.Stoudt@wisconsin.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	715-644-2960 ext. 32

<b>Facility Health Service Administrator On-site</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Nicole Parr
<b>Email Address:</b>	nicole.parr@wisconsin.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	608-375-5656 ext. 65

<b>Facility Characteristics</b>	
<b>Designed facility capacity:</b>	501
<b>Current population of facility:</b>	457
<b>Average daily population for the past 12 months:</b>	443

<b>Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?</b>	No
<b>What is the facility's population designation?</b>	Mens/boys
<b>In the past 12 months, which population(s) has the facility held? Select all that apply (Nonbinary describes a person who does not identify exclusively as a boy/man or a girl/woman. Some people also use this term to describe their gender expression. For definitions of "intersex" and "transgender," please see <a href="https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/standard/115-5">https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/standard/115-5</a>)</b>	
<b>Age range of population:</b>	18-86
<b>Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:</b>	Maximum
<b>Does the facility hold youthful inmates?</b>	No
<b>Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:</b>	245
<b>Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	44
<b>Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	12

## AGENCY INFORMATION

<b>Name of agency:</b>	Wisconsin Department of Corrections
<b>Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):</b>	State of Wisconsin
<b>Physical Address:</b>	3099 East Washington Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin - 53704
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	PO Box 7925, Madison, Wisconsin - 53707

<b>Telephone number:</b>	(608) 240-5000
--------------------------	----------------

<b>Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Kevin Carr
<b>Email Address:</b>	Kevin.Carr@wisconsin.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	(608) 240-5065

<b>Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information</b>			
<b>Name:</b>	Leigha Weber	<b>Email Address:</b>	leigha.weber@wisconsin.gov

<b>Facility AUDIT FINDINGS</b>	
<b>Summary of Audit Findings</b>	
<p>The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.</p> <p>Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.</p>	
<b>Number of standards exceeded:</b>	
0	
<b>Number of standards met:</b>	
45	
<b>Number of standards not met:</b>	
0	

## POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

### GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

#### On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2024-09-09
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2024-09-11

#### Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

<p><b>a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:</b></p>	<p>This auditor conducted outreach to Just Detention International (JDI), and Family Advocates to learn about issues of sexual safety at the facility.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• JDI is a health and human rights organization that seeks to end sexual abuse in all forms of detention by advocating for laws and policies that make prisons and jails safe and providing incarcerated survivors with support and resource referrals. JDI advised this auditor that they have not received any correspondence from incarcerated survivors at WSPF within the last 12 months.</li> <li>• Family Advocates provides prevention and intervention services to victims and survivors of domestic, sexual, child, and elder abuse in three Wisconsin counties. Family Advocates provides a 24-hour crisis helpline, shelter services, transitional living services, and legal advocacy. Family Advocates advised this auditor they provide services to incarcerated survivors over the phone, in writing and in person, at forensic medical exams.</li> </ul>
---	---

**AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION**

<p><b>14. Designated facility capacity:</b></p>	<p>501</p>
<p><b>15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:</b></p>	<p>443</p>
<p><b>16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:</b></p>	<p>5</p>

<p><b>17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)</p>
--	---

**Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

**Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

<p><b>18. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>474</p>
<p><b>19. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>20. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>21. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>22. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>3</p>

<b>23. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	16
<b>24. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	4
<b>25. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	2
<b>26. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>27. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	31
<b>28. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0



**29. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):**

As noted in the November 2022 edition of the PREA Auditor Handbook, the PREA Management Office and the PREA Resource Center have shifted the way they identify people who are incarcerated by using person-first language, recognizing the humanity of all people. The PREA Standards use the term PIOC to refer to people confined in prisons and jails to reflect the most common language used to describe people confined in those institutions themselves. The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has made the shift to using the term person/people in our care or PIOC. This auditor has adopted that language in this report, except when directly quoted from a source or when referencing the PREA Standards. Additionally, the gender-inclusive pronouns they/them/their have been used to be inclusive of people who may be non-binary or who do not otherwise use he or she pronouns.

Wisconsin Secure Program Facility is a state-operated prison for sentenced adult males with an operating capacity of 501 inmates. Proper housing and program assignments are determined through the intake process, available records, and PREA risk screenings and classification.

Programming at WSPF is designed to provide restrictive status housing inmates with some of the assistance necessary to modify their behavior to a degree that may allow them to be returned to general population. To be placed in general population, inmates in restrictive housing must demonstrate they will not create an unreasonable risk to staff, other inmates, themselves, or the secure and orderly running of the institution. WSPF aims to influence the behavior of inmates by using a system of phases designed to manage risk in conjunction with treatment/programming. Inmates progress through three phases, of which the final is a transition phase prior to general population.

The following programs are available at WSPF:  
Thinking for a Change (T4C)  
New Freedom In-cell & Behavioral Health

	<p>Programs</p> <p>Domestic Violence</p> <p>Dialectical Behavior Therapy</p> <p>Mindfulness</p> <p>Stress Reduction in Restrictive Housing</p> <p>Healthy Lifestyle Choices</p> <p>AA/NA Groups</p> <p>Restorative Justice</p> <p>WSPF has five housing units, a health services unit, a food service area, laundry, a cosmetology area, and administrative offices. Each housing unit has four “ranges”, also known as tiers.</p>
--	--

**Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

<b>30. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	245
<b>31. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b>	12
<b>32. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b>	44

<p><b>33. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>WSPF is subject to staffing allocations as determined through the Wisconsin State biennial budget and legislative process. WSPF is allotted a total of 14 security supervisors, comprised of eight captains and six lieutenants. Two security supervisors are assigned to both AM and PM shift. Ideally, one of each rank is assigned, but depending upon availability, two captains or two lieutenants may be on duty. WSPF is permitted 119 correctional officers and 48 sergeants. All WSPF officers and sergeants are scheduled for 12-hour shifts. The AM shift is defined as 0600-1800, 0800-1400, or 1000-2200. The PM shift is defined as 1800-0600 or 2200-1000.</p> <p>Security staff assignments are based upon programming, PIOC movement and behavioral needs. The number of staff on each housing unit varies according to the demographic needs and number of PIOC on the unit.</p> <p>WSPF has three Institution Unit Supervisors (IUS). Two are assigned to the four general population housing units and one is assigned to the restrictive housing unit. The IUSs oversee security and non-security staff working on their respective units.</p>
---	--

**INTERVIEWS**

**Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews**

**Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews**

<p><b>34. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>17</p>
--	-----------

<p><b>35. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Age</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Gender</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>
<p><b>36. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?</b></p>	<p>After identifying targeted PIOC's for interview, this auditor used an alphabetically sorted roster by housing unit, divided the unit by three, and used the resulting number to count down and select a name for interview.</p>
<p><b>37. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>38. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>No additional comments.</p>
<p><b>Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>39. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>13</p>

As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".

<p><b>40. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>41. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>41. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>41. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>The facility reported there were no PIOC's with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any PIOC's who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any PIOC's who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random PIOC's if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.</p>
<p><b>42. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>42. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>43. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>44. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>

<p><b>45. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>4</p>
<p><b>46. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>47. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>47. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>The facility reported there were no PIOC's with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any PIOC's who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any PIOC's who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random PIOC's if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.</p>

<p><b>48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</b></p>	<p>4</p>
<p><b>49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>49. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>49. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>The facility reported there were no PIOC's with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any PIOC's who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any PIOC's who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random PIOC's if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.</p>



<p><b>50. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</b></p>	<p>The Wisconsin Secure Program Facility did not have any PIOC's who were youthful, had cognitive impairments, or who were placed in segregated housing for high risk of sexual victimization. Of the four PIOC's who reported sexual abuse, none remained at WSPF by the time the onsite review occurred. There were 31 PIOC's who reported victimization at screening, but only six accepted referrals to mental health. Six random PIOC's refused to be interviewed, along with a PIOC with impairments to their vision and a PIOC with physical disabilities.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>Random Staff Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>51. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>16</p>
<p><b>52. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>
<p><b>53. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>54. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>The audit team interviewed five staff assigned to nights and 11 staff assigned to days.</p>

## Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews

Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.

<b>55. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</b>	23
<b>56. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>57. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>58. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>59. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

**60. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)**

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed:</b>	Grievance Coordinator PIOC Disciplinary Officer Mailroom Staff Maintenance Staff Food Services Staff
<b>61. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>61. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:</b>	1
<b>61. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>62. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>62. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:</b>	1

<p><b>62. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Food service</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>
---	--

<p><b>63. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.</b></p>	<p>After the completion of the physical plant review on the first day and for the duration of the second and third days onsite, the audit team conducted staff and inmate interviews. Staff were interviewed using the DOJ protocols that question their PREA training and overall knowledge of the agency's zero tolerance policy, reporting mechanisms available to staff and inmates, response protocols when allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment are made, first responder duties, data collection processes and other pertinent PREA requirements. All interviews were conducted one at a time, in a private and confidential manner.</p>
--	---

**SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING**

**Site Review**

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

<b>64. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:</b>	
<b>65. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>66. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>67. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>68. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

**69. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).**

The audit team began conducting the physical plant review of WSPF on the first day. The audit team was provided access to all areas of the facility, including outlying buildings where PIOC's may be assigned for work. This auditor observed the facility configuration, locations of cameras and security mirrors, the level of staff supervision, the housing unit layout (including shower/toilet areas), placement of posters and other PREA informational resources, security monitoring, and search procedures. Due to the high security architecture and design of WSPF, PIOC's are assigned to individual "wet" cells, with a toilet and shower inside the cell. The audit notice was visible in all PIOC areas. PIOC phones were tested to ensure the ability to contact the PREA Hotline. Locked boxes were in each housing unit or common areas for inmates to deposit grievance and discrimination forms. Unit logbooks were checked to ensure the completion of unannounced supervisory rounds.

WSPF utilizes a doorbell to make opposite gender announcements. The doorbell is inside the enclosed control center on each housing unit where the sergeant is stationed. The sergeant is responsible for monitoring the traffic in and out of the unit and will press the doorbell when a female staff member enters the unit. If the sergeant is busy or forgets to press the doorbell, the female staff member is responsible for reminding the sergeant prior to entering further into the unit.

During the physical plant review, the audit team looked for potential blind spots in areas accessible to PIOC's, and areas where cross gender viewing may occur.

### **Documentation Sampling**

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

<p><b>70. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

<p><b>71. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).</b></p>	<p>This auditor reviewed all records provided in OAS and requested additional records based on information from interviews with staff or PIOCs.</p>
---	---

**SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY**

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview**

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**72. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	3	0	3	3
<b>Total</b>	3	0	3	3



**73. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	<b># of sexual harassment allegations</b>	<b># of criminal investigations</b>	<b># of administrative investigations</b>	<b># of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations</b>
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	2	2	2	2
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	2	0	2	2

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**74. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**75. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	1	2	0
<b>Total</b>	0	1	2	0

**Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**76. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**77. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	1	1	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	1	1	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**78. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:**

3

<p><b>79. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>80. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>81. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>82. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>83. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>3</p>
<p><b>84. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

<p><b>85. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</b></p>	
<p><b>86. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>87. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>88. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>90. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

**Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files**

**91. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:** 0

**92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?**

Yes

No

NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

**93. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?**

Yes

No

NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

**94. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.**

WSPF had very few reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to investigate during the audit review period. All investigation reports for the audit review period were sampled by this auditor.

**SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION**

**DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff**

**95. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.**

Yes

No

**95. Enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS who provided assistance at any point during this audit:** 1

## Non-certified Support Staff

96. Did you receive assistance from any **NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF** at any point during this audit? **REMEMBER:** the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

- Yes
- No

## AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

97. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

- The audited facility or its parent agency
- My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)
- A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)
- Other

Identify your state/territory or county government employer by name:

Oregon Department of Corrections

Was this audit conducted as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement?

- Yes
- No

<b>Standards</b>	
<b>Auditor Overall Determination Definitions</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)</li> <li>• Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)</li> <li>• Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)</li> </ul>	
<b>Auditor Discussion Instructions</b>	
<p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>	

<b>115.11</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  <i>WSPF Inmate Handbook</i>  DOC Organizational chart  Interview with the PREA Director  Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page four, <i>“The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation in its facilities, including those with which it contracts for the confinement of PIOC’s.”</i> This policy outlines the agency’s comprehensive and coordinated approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and</p>



	<p>sexual harassment, including definitions of prohibited behaviors and consequences for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors.</p> <p>Page three of the <i>WSPF Inmate Handbook</i> states, “<i>The Wisconsin Department of Corrections and the Wisconsin Secure Program Facility are committed to a ‘Zero Tolerance Policy’ of prison rape and sexual victimization.</i>”</p> <p>(b) DOC employs an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator. This position is titled “PREA Director”. The PREA Director reports to the Assistant Deputy Secretary. This position is reflected in agency organizational charts. When interviewed, the PREA Director indicated that they have the time, resources, and authority required to manage their responsibilities.</p> <p>(c) WSPF has designated their Security Director as the facility PREA Compliance Manager, who reports directly to a deputy warden. When interviewed, the facility PCM indicated that they have the time to manage all their PREA-related responsibilities. A deputy warden has been designated as the back up to the PCM. Because the Security Director has accepted a promotion to a new position outside of the facility, a new PCM will be trained and appointed.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency and facility are in full compliance with the standard of zero-tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and employment of the PREA Coordinator, as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.12</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy 410.00.01, <i>PREA Compliance Review of Contracted Facilities</i></p>

Contracts for the 13 contracted facilities noted  
Interview with agency contract monitor

(a-b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page four, "*The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation in its facilities, including those with which it contracts for the confinement of PIOC.*" Division of Adult Institutions Policy 410.00.01 directs how the agency will review its contracted facilities for the confinement of PIOC to ensure compliance with PREA.

Over the 12 months preceding the audit, Wisconsin DOC contracted with 13 jails. Each facility received a federal audit during Cycle Three. Each facility agreement contains language around the contracted facility's compliance with PREA, timely completion of the *Bureau of Justice Statistics Annual Survey on Sexual Victimization*, compliance reviews, and DOC's requirement to report all sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations within 24 hours.

1. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Fond du Lac County Sheriff's Office was executed on October 17, 2016 and ended on October 17, 2017. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page eight of the agreement contains the required PREA-related information.
2. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Sauk County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
3. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Juneau County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
4. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Vernon County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
5. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Vilas County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity.

- Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
6. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Oneida County was executed on January 1, 2016 and ended on December 31, 2016. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page eight of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
  7. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Ozaukee County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
  8. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Jefferson County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
  9. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with the Milwaukee House of Correction was executed on August 15, 2017 and ended on August 14, 2018. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Pages seven and eight of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
  10. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Racine County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
  11. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Rock County was executed on June 1, 2018 and ended on June 1, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Pages nine and ten of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
  12. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Marquette County was executed on July 14, 2021 and ended on June 30, 2022. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
  13. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Grant County was executed on June 25, 2024 and ends on May 31, 2025. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Pages seven and eight of the contract contain the required PREA-related information.

Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy 410.00.01 states that contract compliance will be monitored annually, except during the year in which the facility has a federal

	<p>PREA audit. If the compliance reviewer is not a certified PREA auditor, they must complete compliance review training with the DOC PREA Officer prior to reviewing a contracted facility. The contracted facility completes a self-report, which is evaluated by the compliance reviewer during the site review. The compliance reviewer makes determinations using observation, policy review, documentation review, staff interviews, and PIOC interviews. All information is documented on the <i>Contract Compliance Review Report</i> (form DOC-2845). The contracted facility is required to document any follow-up or remedial actions taken to comply with any unsatisfactory determinations.</p> <p>An interview with the agency contract monitor indicated they conduct site visits annually and checks to ensure signs are posted, PIOC's are receiving required PREA education, and reporting mechanisms are operational.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency is in full compliance with the standard of contracting with other entities for the confinement of PIOC's, as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.13</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses</li> <li>DOC Executive Directive 72</li> <li>DAI Policy #410.40.01, <i>Unannounced Supervisory Rounds</i></li> <li>February 2024 Staffing Plan for WSPF</li> <li>Interview with the facility head</li> <li>Interview with the PREA Director</li> <li>Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>Interview with intermediate or higher-level facility staff</li> <li>Supervisor Shift reports</li> <li>Staff duty rosters</li> <li>Observation of facility operations while onsite</li> <li>October 2024 Staffing Plan for WSPF</li> </ul>

(a, c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, *“Each facility shall develop, document and make its best efforts to comply with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of employees and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect PIOCs against sexual abuse.”* WSPF is a maximum-security facility with a designed facility capacity of 501 adult male PIOCs. The facility averaged 393 people in custody over the 12 months preceding the audit.

WSPF has five housing units, a health services unit, a food service area, a laundry area, business office, warehouse, maintenance building, and an administration area. In February 2022, WSPF opened a new Program Building which contains a gymnasium, weight room, hobby/music room, a chapel, cosmetology space, and rooms for educational and program services. In December 2023, WSPF began a substance use disorder program which facilitates programming in a classroom near the food service area. Consideration was given to PREA standards when identifying the PIOC restroom area within this classroom.

All areas with PIOC access are equipped with video monitoring and convex security mirrors to help reduce blind spots. All entrances/exits are controlled by staff.

WSPF has 449 cameras. All the cameras throughout the institution are monitored by security staff. The placement and location of cameras are reviewed, evaluated, and adjusted when needed in attempt to limit blind spots throughout the institution. Cameras are placed in special cells to supplement the security and observation rounds for PIOCs that are at risk to themselves or for medical observation. These cameras are monitored by security staff and have been “blurred” in areas of acceptable privacy to prevent cross gender viewing of cell activities when an PIOC is showering, toileting or changing clothing, except in exigent circumstances.

The use of body worn cameras have been added to staff working restrictive housing posts; to include supervisors working in this area.

The facility has identified vulnerable areas with minimal security staff supervision as visitation, laundry, and food services. Security staff have increased rounds in these identified areas. Non-uniform staff in these areas are equipped with an institution radio to communicate with security staff and the use of the institution phone system to call the main control center line, calling the emergency control center line, or setting an off-hook alarm. Panic alarms are assigned to volunteers and staff.

Additional mirrors and gates have been installed in response to blind spots. While an ongoing need exists for additional cameras, WSPF has reviewed and arranged

existing camera placement to maximize use. Largely, because of financial constraints, WSPF makes facility or structural changes rather than staff additions to rectify areas of isolation or vulnerability.

There were two allegations of sexual abuse at WSPF in 2023 that were considered during the staffing plan review; one was determined to be unsubstantiated, and the other was unfounded. WSPF has not observed a trend in sexual abuse incidents that would indicate a need to adjust staffing patterns.

Whenever necessary, but no less than once each year each facility, in collaboration with the agency PREA Director, will review the staffing plan, the deployment of monitoring technology and the allocation of facility resources to commit to the staffing plan to ensure compliance. Any adjustments are documented. This auditor reviewed documentation from the most recent staffing plan meeting, held in February 2024. The overall staffing plan remained unchanged with the primary method of PIOC supervision remaining direct staff supervision, augmented using surveillance equipment.

While onsite, this auditor observed that security staffing as noted in the February 2024 staffing plan had changed and were now working 12-hour shifts. This auditor requested a revised staffing plan, which was received on October 16, 2024.

WSPF is subject to staffing allocations as determined through the Wisconsin State biennial budget and legislative process. WSPF is allotted a total of 14 security supervisors, comprised of eight captains and six lieutenants. Two security supervisors are assigned to both AM and PM shift. Ideally, one of each rank is assigned, but depending upon availability, two captains or two lieutenants may be on duty. WSPF is permitted 119 correctional officers and 48 sergeants. All WSPF officers and sergeants are scheduled for 12-hour shifts. The AM shift is defined as 0600-1800, 0800-1400, or 1000-2200. The PM shift is defined as 1800-0600 or 2200-1000.

Security staff assignments are based upon programming, PIOC movement and behavioral needs. The number of staff on each housing unit varies according to the demographic needs and number of PIOC on the unit.

WSPF has three Institution Unit Supervisors (IUS). Two are assigned to the four general population housing units and one is assigned to the restrictive housing unit. The IUSs oversee security and non-security staff working on their respective units.

WSPF has not had any judicial findings of inadequacy, or findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies, internal or external oversight bodies.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, *"In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility shall document in written form and justify all deviations from the plan."*

WSPF utilizes an electronic scheduling program to assist with planning. When a security shift vacancy occurs, overtime is hired. In the event of a staff shortage, overtime is hired. If the vacancy is pre-scheduled, staff are pre-ordered to fill the vacancy. If the vacancy is unanticipated, WSPF engages a system of forced overtime. There is never an instance where a post is left unattended.

In addition to uniformed staff, there are non-security staff to include: four social workers, four treatment specialists, three teachers, one Records Supervisor, one Corrections Sentencing Associate, three Institution Complaint Examiners, two full time Psychologist Associates, one half time Psychologist, and one LTE Psychological Consultant. The kitchen has seven correctional food service leaders and maintenance has twelve staff. There are four full time and four agency health services professionals, and two medical program assistants who work in the Health Services Unit. WSPF has five institution support staff.

(d) DAI Policy 410.40.01 states on pages one and two, *"Supervisory staff members shall conduct unannounced rounds. Rounds shall be conducted at random times across all shifts and days of the week. Staff are prohibited from alerting other staff members that supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is essential to avoid creating additional danger during exigent circumstances."* The term exigent circumstances is defined by the policy as any set of temporary and unforeseen circumstances that require immediate action in order to combat a threat to the security or institutional order of a facility.

Rounds are conducted no less than once per hour by security staff in each general population housing unit and every 30 minutes in restricted housing. Unannounced rounds are conducted by security supervisors a minimum of once per shift and documented in each housing unit's logbook and on the daily shift report.

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, *"Supervisory staff shall conduct and document unannounced rounds, covering all shifts to identify and deter employee sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The DOC employees are prohibited from alerting other employees that these supervisory rounds are occurring unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility."*

	<p>Interviews with the superintendent and security supervisor indicated they conduct unannounced rounds on all shifts to detect and deter any staff misconduct, including staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment.</p> <p>This auditor checked the unannounced rounds logbooks to ensure unannounced rounds take place as required. Unannounced rounds occurred on all shifts.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of supervision and monitoring, as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.14</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #302.00.20, <i>Placement of Juveniles in Adult Correctional Sites</i>  WSPF population reports  Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager  Interviews with random staff and random PIOC</p> <p><i>(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 10-11, "Youthful inmates shall not be placed in a housing unit in which they have sight, sound, or ED 72 Page 11 of 20 physical contact with any adult PIOC through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area or sleeping quarters. In areas outside of housing units, DOC shall either: maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult PIOC or provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult PIOC have sight, sound, or physical contact. Adult facilities shall make best efforts to avoid isolating youthful inmates to comply with this provision. Absent exigent circumstances, adult facilities shall not deny youthful inmates daily large muscle exercise and any legally required special education services to comply with this provision. Youthful inmates shall also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible. Such exigent circumstances leading to the denial</i></p>



*of large-muscle exercise, legally required education services and/or other programming shall be documented [§115.14].”*

In December 2016, the Division of Adult Institutions Administrator issued written direction that all youthful PIOC's who were previously housed in some DOC facilities be moved to Division of Juvenile Corrections facilities. No youthful PIOC's were permitted to be housed at any DAI facilities after December 2016.

DAI Policy #302.00.20 states on page two, *“Adjudicated juveniles who are less than 18 years of age shall not be admitted to a DAI facility or the WRC [Wisconsin Resource Center]. Juveniles sentenced as adults shall be...transferred to a DAI facility on or after their 18th birthday to account for birthdays that fall on a weekend or a holiday.”*

This auditor reviewed WSPF population reports and did not find any PIOC's under the age of 18 listed. No interviews of staff or PIOC's indicated a youthful PIOC may have been housed at WSPF.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of youthful PIOC's, as it relates to PREA.

115.15	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>  The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:  WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #410.40.02, <i>Opposite Gender Viewing &amp; Announcing</i> DAI Policy #306.17.02, <i>Searches of PIOC's</i> DAI Policy #500.70.24, <i>Clinical Observation</i> Lesson Plan for <i>Introduction to Searches of PIOC's</i>

*WSPF Inmate Handbook*

Interviews with random staff and random PIOC's

Interviews with transgender PIOC's

Observation of facility operations while onsite

(a) Frequent, unannounced searches of PIOC's, their living quarters and other areas of the facility are necessary to maintain the safety, security, and orderly operations of prisons. All unclothed searches of compliant PIOC's in DOC must be conducted by two staff members unless there is an emergency or other exigent circumstance.

(Unclothed searches of non-compliant PIOC's require a minimum of four staff, including a supervisor.) One staff member directly observes the PIOC during the search, while the second staff member observes the first staff member to ensure proper search procedures are followed. DAI Policy #306.17.02 states on page three, "*Staff directly observing the PIOC during a strip search shall be required to be the same gender as the PIOC. A second staff (of any gender) shall only observe the staff performing the strip search.*" Page five states, "*Cross gender strip searches of PIOC's are prohibited, except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners.*" This directive is articulated again in DOC Executive Directive 72 on page seven.

This auditor reviewed the WSPF lesson plan and training curriculum for *Introduction to Searches of PIOC's*. Pages three and four of the lesson plan reiterate the policy directives.

(b) Because WSPF does not house female PIOC's, this provision of the standard is not applicable. DAI Policy #306.17.02 states on page five, "[Transgender PIOC's] *assigned to a male facility shall be strip searched by male staff members. [Transgender PIOC's] assigned to a female facility shall be strip searched by female staff members.*"

(c) DAI Policy #306.17.02 states on page three, "*PIOC searches shall be documented utilizing DOC-1523. Documentation of all searches shall be kept in locations designated by the Warden/designee. Documentation of exigent circumstances where cross-gender pat-down searches of female PIOC by male staff are conducted shall be maintained. Documentation of exigent circumstance where cross-gender strip, body cavity or body contents searches are performed shall be maintained. Records shall be readily accessible for audit purposes.*" Because WSPF does not house female PIOC's, the requirement to document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female PIOC's is not applicable.

The PAQ indicated no cross-gender unclothed searches or cross-gender visual cavity

searches occurred during the audit documentation period. Interviews with staff and PIOCs did not indicate that cross-gender unclothed searches have occurred, nor did the audit team observe any cross-gender unclothed searches while onsite at WSPF.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages six and seven, *“In order to enable PIOCs to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without nonmedical staff members of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell ED 72 Page 7 of 20 checks, staff members of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering a PIOC housing unit. If opposite gender status quo changes during that shift, then another announcement is required. Facilities shall not restrict access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell or housing unit opportunities in order to comply with this provision [§115.15(d), §115.315(d)].”*

DAI Policy #500.70.24 states on page four, *“Cross gender constant observation may be conducted when privacy accommodations are provided for toileting, showering, and changing clothing. Exceptions are allowed in exigent circumstances. Privacy accommodations may be accomplished through a variety of means, including but not limited to:*

- 1. Ensuring the individual has a smock, paper gown, etc., to maintain privacy while toileting.*
- 2. Providing a shower with a partial curtain or other privacy shields which still allow staff to observe the patient and ensure his/her wellbeing.*
- 3. Having staff of the same gender provide the constant observation or at minimum, substituting staff of the same gender during these activities.*
- 4. Exigent circumstances shall be documented.”*

DAI Policy #410.40.02 states on page one, *“Except in exigent circumstances, staff of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering a PIOC housing unit in accordance with the following: Each facility shall develop and be responsible for implementing local procedures to ensure that a consistent announcement is made each time a staff member, contractor or volunteer of the opposite gender enters (or exits and reenters) a housing unit where PIOC have the ability to shower, change clothing or perform bodily functions. At minimum, such announcement shall be made when an opposite gender staff member enters the housing unit and there are no other opposite gender staff members present on the housing unit. When an opposite gender staff member is entering a housing unit and it is unknown to him/her whether the opposite gender announcement has been made on his or her behalf, the entering staff member shall be responsible for making an announcement.”*

This auditor reviewed four emails sent to all staff reminding them of expectations to make opposite gender announcements, dated September 2023, January 2024, March 2024, and September 2024.

WSPF utilizes an audible tone over the public announcement system to make opposite gender announcements. Unit staff are responsible for monitoring the traffic in and out of the unit and will initiate the tone when a female staff member enters the unit. If the unit staff are not available when a female staff member enters, they are required to make the notification themselves. While onsite, this auditor observed appropriate opposite gender announcements. Ninety four percent of PIOC's interviewed stated that opposite gender announcements take place as required.

Page three of the WSPF Inmate Handbook states, *"PREA Standards mandate when there are no opposite gender staff on the unit, and then one enters, a tone will be sounded. As long as an opposite gender staff is currently on the unit another tone will not be sounded if an additional opposite gender staff arrives. This will occur during all shifts."*

During the physical plant review, the audit team looked for potential blind spots in areas accessible to PIOC's, and areas where cross-gender viewing may occur. The audit team identified the following areas of concern for corrective action:

- Two secure holding spaces, labeled as "Strip Shower 1" and "Strip Shower 2" in Intake had large windows in the door that were unobstructed and would not prevent opposite gender viewing. On September 26, 2024, this auditor received and reviewed photographic documentation that magnetized fabric curtains had been placed on the exterior of the windows, for use when someone is within the holding space. The same solution was implemented for a holding space labeled "Toilet 2" and "B100", resolving this element of corrective action.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page seven, *"Facilities may not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex PIOC for the sole purpose of determining the PIOC's genital status. If the PIOC's genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversations with the PIOC, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner [§115.15(e), §115.315(e)]."*

This auditor reviewed the WSPF lesson plan and training curriculum for *Introduction to*

*Searches of PIOC*s. Page five of the lesson plan reminds staff of the prohibition to search or physically examine a transgender or intersex PIOC for the sole purpose of determining genital status. Interviews with random staff indicated they are aware that searches to determine genital status are prohibited by standard and agency policy.

There were two transgender PIOC

s at WSPF at the time of the onsite review. This auditor interviewed both while onsite. None of the transgender PIOCs interviewed believed they had been subjected to a search solely to determining genital status.

(f) It is the policy of the Wisconsin Department of Corrections to conduct all searches in a professional, respectful, and least intrusive manner as possible, consistent with security needs. DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, *“All security staff shall be trained on how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex PIOC*s to ensure professionalism and to utilize the least intrusive manner possible consistent with security needs [§115.15(f), §115.315(f)].”

This auditor reviewed the WSPF lesson plan and training curriculum for *Introduction to Searches of PIOC*s. The lesson plan reiterates policy directives about professionalism and respect.

Interviews with random staff indicated they were knowledgeable of proper pat-down search techniques.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of limits to cross-gender viewing and searches, as it relates to PREA.

<b>115.16</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 71, *Language Assistance Policy & Implementation for Addressing Needs of Offenders with Limited English Proficiency (LEP)*

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #300.00.61, *Language Assistance for Limited English Proficiency (LEP) PIOC*s

*DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook

Interview with the PREA Director

Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Interviews with random staff and random PIOC

s

Interviews with PIOC

s with disabilities

(a-b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, *“PIOCs with disabilities or who have limited English proficiency shall have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the DOC’s efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary, in addition to the provision of PIOC education in formats accessible to all. Written materials shall be provided in formats or methods that ensure effective communication with PIOC*s with disabilities [§115.16(a, b), §115.316(a, b), §115.33(d), §115.333(d)].”

DOC utilizes contracts procured by the Wisconsin Department of Administration and facilities are authorized to use any of the contracts. There are seven contract options for in-person American Sign Language; four contracts for American Sign Language/ Limited-English Proficiency services by video; three contracts for in-person Limited-English Proficiency services; and five contracts for written Limited-English Proficiency services.

This auditor noted that page five of DAI Policy #300.00.61 recognizes that some PIOC

s may inaccurately report English-language skills and/or may not request language assistance for many reasons, and language assistance may be needed even if the PIOC lists English as their primary language. The *“I Speak”* cards developed by the US Census Bureau are required by policy to be posted in facility lobbies, visiting areas, HSU/DSU/PSU waiting rooms, property rooms, intake/reception areas, near forms bins, in libraries and educational areas, mailrooms, housing areas, and any other area deemed appropriate by the facility. Once determined, the PIOC’s primary language is documented in the Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) database. Interviews with random staff and random/targeted PIOCs indicated that PIOCs with disabilities are afforded additional accommodation to ensure their access to all aspects of the agency’s PREA program.

While onsite, the auditor observed PREA postings in both English and Spanish. The DOC *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook for PIOC is available in English, Spanish, and large print.

At the time of the onsite review, there were 16 people in custody with limited-English proficiencies. This auditor utilized a telephonic translator for one interview of a PIOC with limited-English proficiencies.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, *“The facility shall not rely on PIOC interpreters, PIOC readers or other types of PIOC assistants except in exigent circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the PIOC’s safety, the performance of first responder duties or the investigation of the PIOC’s allegations. The exigent circumstances in which PIOC assistants are used shall be documented [§115.16(c), §115.316(c)].”*

DOC Executive Directive 71 states on page three, *“DOC shall evaluate and determine what interpretation services shall be provided based on identified needs. Each Division shall: Not rely upon fellow offenders to provide language services in situations with potentially significant consequences involving LEP offenders unless an emergency arises. Situations in which another offender may not be used include, but are not limited to, medical and psychological appointments or treatment; information or hearings associated with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA); parole hearings, disciplinary and grievance proceedings and filings, and Program Review Committee (PRC) hearings.”*

At the time of the onsite review there was one person in custody with physical disabilities; three people in custody with hearing impairments; and two people in custody with impairments to their vision. The facility did not have any PIOC with significant cognitive impairments. The PIOC with impairments to their vision refused to be interviewed. A total of 13 targeted PIOC interviews were conducted, including:

- One PIOC with a physical disability
- One PIOC with impairments to hearing
- One PIOC with limited-English proficiency

All interviews with targeted populations indicated they were able to receive information in a format they were able to understand. No interviews indicated

	<p>another PIOC had been used to assist in their comprehension. Interviews with random staff indicated they were aware of translation services and would not use another PIOC to translate.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of PIOC's with disabilities and PIOC's who are limited-English proficient, as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.17</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 42, <i>Police Contact, Arrest, and Conviction Policy for Current Employees</i>  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC Human Resources Procedures, <i>Background Check Procedure</i>  DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507, <i>Reference Checks</i>  DAI Policy #309.06.03, <i>Volunteers, Pastoral Visitors, Program Guests &amp; Interns</i>  DAI <i>Volunteer Application</i>  DOC-1098D form, <i>Background Check Authorization</i>  DOC-1098R form, <i>Candidate Reference Check</i>  Employee, contractor, and volunteer file reviews  Interview with the facility head  Interview with Human Resource staff  Interview with agency PREA Director</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, <i>"The DOC shall not hire, promote, or enlist the services of anyone who has engaged in sexual abuse in a confinement facility; has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in nonconsensual sexual activity in the community; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in activity described above."</i></p> <p>DOC Human Resources Background Check Procedure states on page five, <i>"In addition</i></p>



to the criteria set forth in WHRH Ch. 246, and in accordance with the PREA standards, DOC will not hire or promote an applicant, or enlist the services of a contractor for a position which may have contact with PIOC's, offenders or juveniles who has:

1. Engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution or place of detention.
2. Convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse.
3. Civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in (1) or (2) above."

DOC's *Background Check Authorization* form was last revised in February 2021 and includes the required questions about misconduct. This audit team was required to submit authorizations prior to being admitted to the facility to conduct the audit.

DOC Executive Directive 42 reiterates the requirements of DOC Executive Directive 72 and the DOC Human Resource procedure quoted above.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, "*The DOC shall consider any incidents of sexual harassment when determining whether to hire, promote or enlist the services of any staff member [§115.17(a, b), §115.317(a, b)].*" DOC Human Resources *Background Check Procedure* states on page five, "...the agency will consider incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with PIOC's, offenders, or juveniles."

Interviews with the facility head and Human Resource staff indicated the policy is implemented in practice. The facility head indicated they would not enlist the services of a contractor who had allegations of sexually harassing PIOC's.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, "*Prior to hiring new employees and enlisting the services of any staff member who may have contact with PIOC's, the DOC shall perform a criminal background records check [§115.17(c, d), §115.317(c, d)]. The DOC shall make its best effort to obtain (and, when requested, provide) reference information from all prior institutional employers on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or any resignation during a pending investigation of a sexual abuse allegation [§115.17(c, h), §115.317(c, h)].*"

DOC utilizes a standardized form for reference checks, *Candidate Reference Check*. The form guides supervisors to ask about workplace sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as if the candidate has ever been found to have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, other institution, or place of detention.

Human Resource staff are tasked with collecting the Candidate Reference Check and ensuring the background check is completed through their portal and the Circuit Court Access Program (CCAP) to check for any convictions or pending litigation.

Human Resource staff are also tasked with obtaining information about prior institutional employers and contacting them for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. This responsibility was confirmed through interview with Human Resource staff.

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507 states on page five, *"In accordance with PREA standards, if a candidate lists a prior confinement entity as a current or past employer on their resume (e.g. federal or state prison, county or local jail, lockup, or community confinement facility), best efforts shall be made to contact the entity as a reference, even if the employee does not list them as a reference."*

(d) DAI Policy #309.06.03 states on page four that all potential volunteers are required to submit to a background check. Page nine states that violation of any facility rules, DOC/DAI rules, or state/federal law may result in suspension or revocation. The *DAI Volunteer Application* includes the required questions regarding misconduct.

Human Resource staff utilize the same process for volunteers and contractors as they do for permanent employees, with the exception that Health Services and Religious Services staff manage their own contractor/volunteer background checks. This auditor requested and reviewed documentation for five contractors.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, *"The DOC shall conduct a criminal background record check every five years for current staff members [§115.17(e), §115.317(e)]."* DOC Human Resources *Background Check Procedure* states on page seven, *"To maintain compliance with PREA as well as the FBI's CJIS security policies,*

*fingerprints must be retaken at least once every five years.”* Fingerprints may be taken no earlier than one week prior to when the individual will report to the worksite.

Interviews with Human Resource staff and the agency PREA Director indicated these checks take place as required. Fingerprints for employees are obtained and submitted every five years, by policy.

This auditor requested and reviewed the files of each WSPF employee selected for interview while onsite, for a total of 25. All employees requested had received a background check within the required time.

(f-g) DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507 states on page six, *“The following questions are included in the reference check form (DOC-1098R) and will be incorporated in any enterprise-approved on-line reference check software (if available) for DOC positions. These questions must be asked when references are conducted for any positions, including limited -term, project, seasonal, permanent, and unclassified employees.*

*1. To your knowledge, has it been determined that the candidate has ever engaged in any incident of sexual misconduct or sexual harassment, while employed with your company? If so, what were the circumstances and outcome?*

*2. Did the candidate resign during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment before the investigation was completed?*

*3. Has the candidate ever been found to have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution or place of detention?”*

DOC applicants are required to fill out a DOC-1098R. The form requires applicants to answer questions related to the misconduct in paragraph (a) of this section.

DOC Executive Directive 42 states on page four, *“A current employee is required to notify his or her supervisor in writing of any non-work-related police contact with the exception of employees who are victims of a crime...In the event of an arrest or charge, the employee must also notify the supervisor of any updates related to the court proceedings as well as the final outcome of the arrest or charge.”* The policy expands that this requirement also pertains to an employee learning they have been identified as a subject in a police investigation, a warrant has been issued against them, they are subject to a restraining order or other injunction, or the employee has been placed under a deferred prosecution agreement. Any traffic violations must be

reported if the employee is required to drive or maintain a fleet as part of their position. All notifications must take place by the start of the employee's next scheduled workday or within 48 hours, whichever occurs first.

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, *"All applicants shall be required to disclose instances of sexual misconduct as described above. Applicants who fail to disclose such information shall be ineligible for hire for the current vacancy and, if applicable, may be grounds for termination [§115.17(f, g), §115.317(f, g)]."* Failure to make the notification, providing false information related to convictions, and failure to cooperate with the background check process is met with disciplinary action up to and including termination. An interview with Human Resource staff indicated disciplinary action, including termination, is taken when material omissions are discovered.

Employees of DOC do not conduct self-evaluations. DOC Human Resource Policy #200.30.306 is applicable to all permanent and probationary employees and guides performance reviews. Employee performance reviews are conducted annually, based on the job-related requirements and performance for the previous year. Performance reviews are completed by the employee's supervisor.

(h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, *"The DOC shall make its best effort to obtain (and, when requested, provide) reference information from all prior institutional employers on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or any resignation during a pending investigation of a sexual abuse allegation [§115.17(c, h), §115.317(c, h)]."* When a facility requests information pertaining to a former DOC employee, human resource staff will contact the agency PREA Director to determine if there are allegations associated with the former employee.

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507 states on page two, *"If a confinement entity (e.g. federal or state prison, county or local jail) requests information regarding prior sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations, these requests must be forwarded to the Bureau of Human Resources (BHR) Employment Relations Chief who will work with the ODES and PREA Directors to verify. The Department shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee."*

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of hiring and promotion decisions, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses</li> <li>DOC Executive Directive 72</li> <li>DOC-2635 form, <i>Maintenance Project Request for Approval</i></li> <li>Interview with agency head/designee</li> <li>Interview with agency PREA Director</li> <li>Interview with the facility head</li> <li>Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>Observation of facility operations while onsite</li> </ul> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, <i>“When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the DOC shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the DOC’s ability to protect PIOC’s from sexual abuse [115.18(a), §115.318(a)].”</i></p> <p>Maintenance project requests must be submitted on a DOC-2635 form, <i>Maintenance Project Request for Approval</i>. The project initiator is required to <i>describe how the proposed project will enhance the facility’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse.</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed meeting notes from the final design meeting for the WSPF Inmate Programs Building to confirm PREA was considered while planning the space.</p> <p>Interviews with the agency head/designee, agency PREA Director, and facility head/PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the agency has not designed or acquired any new facilities. During the site review, the audit team did not observe any other areas that appeared to be under construction for a substantial expansion or modification.</p>

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, *“When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the DOC shall consider how such technology may enhance the DOC’s ability to protect PIOC’s from sexual abuse [§115.18(b), §115.318(b)].”*

Interviews with the facility head and facility head/PREA Compliance Manager confirmed that the prevention of sexual abuse and sexual harassment was a factor in determining camera placement and if an upgrade for a specific camera was necessary to aid in detection. WSPF currently has 449 cameras. Cameras are monitored by staff. Areas of the facility that have been identified as vulnerable have cameras and security staff conduct increased rounds. Cameras can be moved or augmented upon request by the facility PCM or agency PREA Director.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of upgrades to facilities and technologies, as it relates to PREA.

115.21	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses</li> <li>DOC Executive Directive 72</li> <li>DAI Policy #500.30.19, <i>Sexual Abuse – Health Services Unit Procedure in the Event of Sexual Abuse</i></li> <li>DOC Agency Healthcare Manual</li> <li>DAI <i>Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Prevention &amp; Intervention</i> handbook</li> <li>Memorandum of Understanding with Family Advocates</li> <li>Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>Interview with Victim Services Coordinator</li> <li>Interview with SAFE/SANE</li> <li>Interviews with medical staff</li> <li>Interview with investigations staff</li> </ul>

Interviews with random staff and random PIOC

(a, f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *“The DOC shall follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for preserving and/or collecting usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. Such protocol shall be developmentally appropriate for youth, where applicable, and adapted from a comprehensive and authoritative protocol developed after 2011 [§115.21(a, b), §115.321(a, b)]. When the DOC is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, the DOC shall request that the investigating law enforcement agency follow the requirements outlined in §115.21(a-e) and §115.321(a-e) [§115.21(f), §115.321(f)].”*

The agency provided this auditor with documentation of their request of the Grant County Sheriff’s Office to follow the requirements outlined in §115.21(a-e) and §115.321(a-e).

(b) Per the DOC Agency Healthcare Manual, *“Wisconsin Department of Corrections (DOC) healthcare clinicians do not conduct SANE examinations. PIOC*s alleging sexual abuse are transported to a local community hospital for treatment and evidence collection. As such, DOC does not implement a forensic medical examination protocol, which is developmentally appropriate or based upon ‘A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents’ or similarly comprehensive and authoritative source. Rather, DOC conforms to healthcare standards in *[Standards for Health Services in Prisons (2014 ed)]*.” WSPF does not house youthful PIOC

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, *“Further, all victims shall be offered access to forensic medical examinations at an offsite medical facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If SANEs cannot be made available, the examination can be performed at an offsite medical facility by other qualified medical practitioners. The facility shall document its efforts to provide SANEs [§115.21(c), §115.321(c)].”*

DAI Policy #500.30.19 states on page four, *“The medical plan of care shall include timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment without cost to the PIOC patient.”*

Forensic medical examinations of incarcerated survivors at WSPF are offered at

Southwest Health or Meriter Hospital in Madison, Wisconsin.

The *DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook educates PIOCs on page four of their right to “receive free medical and mental health care and ongoing support following an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment”. Page 12 states, “With your consent, the investigation may include a physical exam by a qualified medical professional in a local hospital; this free and confidential exam is conducted to ensure your health and to collect any evidence.”

All forensic medical exams are provided offsite by Sexual Assault Nurse Practitioners (SANE), as verified through interview. The PAQ indicated there were no forensic medical exams provided by a SANE during the audit period. Interviews with medical staff verified PIOCs are not financially responsible for forensic medical exams.

(d, e, h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, “The facility shall attempt to make available to the victim an advocate from a local sexual assault service provider. As requested by the victim, such a person shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and shall provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. If a sexual assault service provider is not available to provide victim advocate services, the DOC shall make available a staff member (i.e., VSC) who has been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and has received education concerning general sexual assault and forensic examination issues. Facilities shall document efforts to secure services from a local sexual assault service provider [§115.21(d, e, h), §115.321(d, e, h)].”

DOC has a written and signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Family Advocates that was executed on February 13, 2017. Through the agreement, Family Advocates provides an advocate to accompany and support victims of sexual abuse through the forensic medical examination and investigatory interview processes, as requested by the victim via DOC, if available. Family Advocates will provide emotional support services, to include crisis intervention, information, and referral. These services may be conducted by mail, in person, by telephone, or an approved telecommunications method.

If a confidential, community-based advocate is not available, the facility utilizes an employee at WSPF to provide counseling and support. This auditor was provided with training documentation for the staff in that position, indicating they have successfully completed a Support Services Workshop (including training on Gender Inclusive Response, Forensic Medical Examinations, Victim Accompaniment, Support Services,



	<p>and PREA Compliance) facilitated by Forge, the Wisconsin Coalition Against Sexual Assault, Aurora Healthcare, and the DOC PREA Office.</p> <p>(g) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of evidence protocols and forensic medical examinations, as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.22</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #303.00.05, <i>Law Enforcement Referrals</i>  DOC Webpage  Interview with agency head/designee  Interviews with investigative staff</p> <p>(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, <i>“The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources. DOC shall maintain a policy(ies) that governs the conduct of such investigations [§115.22(a, d), §115.322(a, d), [§115.71(a), §115.371(a)].”</i></p> <p>Page one of DAI Policy #303.00.05 states that law enforcement referrals must be made for allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (as defined in DOC Executive Directive 72) that involve potentially criminal behavior, and sexual assault (as defined in Wisconsin Statutes). This auditor reviewed documentation for six law enforcement referrals.</p>

The DOC PREA policy (DOC Executive Directive 72) is available on the DOC website at [ED 72 Final 9.22.2022.pdf \(wi.gov\)](#). It reiterates the agency's zero-tolerance policy and outlines the process for investigations and referrals.

Interviews with investigative staff indicated they are knowledgeable of the process for case referral. The interview of the agency head/designee indicated the agency is committed to creating a sexually safe environment for all PIOC's and has an established relationship with agency investigators to ensure allegations are investigated and referred properly.

(d) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

(e) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations, as it relates to PREA.

115.31	Employee training
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses</li><li>DOC Executive Directive 72</li><li>Wisconsin Statute 301.28, <i>Training of Correctional Officers</i></li><li>DOC Executive Directive 33, <i>Pre-service Training Requirements for Correctional Officers, Correctional Sergeants, Supervising Officer 1 &amp; 2</i></li><li>DOC Staff Training curriculum</li><li><i>Agency Newsletters</i>, dated Spring 2018 through Fall 2020</li><li>DOC-1158 form, <i>Employment Statement of Acknowledgement</i></li><li>Staff training reports</li><li>Interviews with random staff</li></ul>

(a-c) To ensure a professionally trained and competent security force, Wisconsin statute requires that all security staff hired by DOC successfully complete the WI DOC Pre-Service Training program regardless of the level at which they are hired. This requirement does not include any correctional officer appointed prior to July 31, 1981. The pre-service training includes 2.5 hours of PREA-related instruction, 2.5 hours of training related to DOC's zero-tolerance policy for staff sexual misconduct, and two hours of victims' rights.

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page seven, *"The DOC shall train all new employees on the department's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All employees shall receive training every two years; in years in which an employee does not receive such refresher training, the DOC shall provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. The training shall include but is not limited to the subparts listed below."* The subparts referenced in policy language are the ten elements required by the standards, as well as relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent, instruction tailored to male and female PIOC's, and instruction specific to the unique needs and attributes of juveniles.

This auditor reviewed all curriculum to ensure a comprehensive training program that provides detailed information on all ten required elements. DOC's training is tailored for male, female, and transgender PIOC's, as verified through curriculum review by this auditor. All new staff complete this training upon being hired. All existing staff were required to complete it in 2015.

Employees are provided refresher information between trainings regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment using *Agency Newsletters* that are published multiple times each year. This newsletter includes data related to the total sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations in the agency, standard highlights, and reminders about professionalism. Knowledge checks are spaced throughout the module with an understanding assessment at the end.

Staff completed refresher training modules in the fall of 2017, 2019, 2021, and 2023.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page seven, *"Each employee shall acknowledge and certify to the DOC, through signature or electronic verification, that they understand the training they received [§115.31, §115.331]."*

	<p>New employees are required to read and acknowledge their understanding of several agency policies, to include Executive Directive 72. Employees attest to their responsibility to read, understand, and abide by all DOC policies and procedures by signature on form DOC-1558. The agency training module for all staff requires a score of 80% or higher on a final exam for successful completion. Refresher trainings include knowledge checks that are spaced throughout the module with an understanding assessment at the end.</p> <p>This auditor reviewed staff training reports for all employees at WSPF and requested training records for all employees interviewed while onsite. All employees completed training as required. Interviews with random staff indicated they received and understood training.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of employee training as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.32</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #309.06.03, <i>Volunteers, Pastoral Visitors, Program Guests &amp; Interns Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment in Confinement: A Guide for Volunteers &amp; Contractors</i> brochure  <i>Volunteer &amp; Contractor Training</i> curriculum, revised February 2018  DAI <i>Volunteer, Pastoral Visitor, Program Guest &amp; Intern Orientation Manual</i>  DAI <i>Brief Volunteer Orientation</i>, revised May 2019  Agency <i>Volunteer Orientation</i> Presentation  DOC-2786 form, <i>PREA Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment in Confinement Training Contractor Statement of Acknowledgment</i>  DOC-2809 form, <i>Volunteer Orientation Roster Attendance Record PREA</i></p>

*Acknowledgment*

DOC-0080 form, *DAI Brief Volunteer Orientation*

Email from the Religious Practices Coordinator & DAI LEP Coordinator, dated March 2, 2018 re Documenting Volunteer PREA Compliance

Volunteer & Contractor training records

Interview with facility head

Interviews with volunteers and contractors

(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, *“All volunteers and contractors who have contact with PIOC’s shall be trained, in accordance with the type of service and level of contact they have with PIOC’s, on the DOC’s zero-tolerance policy as it relates to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. They shall, additionally, be trained on their responsibilities under the DOC’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures. Each volunteer or contractor shall acknowledge and certify to the DOC, through signature or electronic verification, that they understand the training they received [§115.32, §115.332].”*

All contractors and volunteers are provided with a *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment in Confinement: A Guide for Volunteers & Contractors* brochure, providing written information about establishing and maintaining professional relationships; PREA definitions; reporting and response duties; indicators of abuse; and characteristics of vulnerable offenders.

Per DAI Policy #309.06.03, volunteers are required to complete an orientation prior to facility entry and PIOC interaction, based upon the type, frequency, and level of PIOC contact. The minimum expectations have been established by policy for all DAI volunteers:

- Full orientation for any volunteer entering any one or combination of facilities five or more times a year
- Brief orientation for any volunteer entering any facility four or less times a year
- Any volunteer increasing facility entry to five or more times a year must complete full orientation

The facility head or their designee can require full orientation for any volunteer on a case-by-case basis, may limit a volunteer’s one-to-one contact with PIOC’s, or provide direct staff supervision.

This auditor reviewed the *Agency Volunteer Orientation* presentation, used in

conjunction with *DAI Volunteer, Pastoral Visitor, Program Guest & Intern Orientation Manual*. The curriculum includes information and directives about boundaries, a reminder that PIOC's cannot consent to any sexual contact, the agency's zero-tolerance policy, and information on reporting.

Volunteers and contractors complete *Volunteer & Contractor Training* prior to providing service in a facility. The training covers the elements required by standard to be provided to staff.

Contractors sign a statement of acknowledgment indicating they have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures; have received training based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with PIOC's; and acknowledge receipt and understanding of such training.

Volunteers sign an orientation roster attendance record indicating they have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures; and have received training based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with PIOC's. Volunteers began signing these forms in March 2018 as directed through an email by the Religious Practices Coordinator & DAI LEP Coordinator to all volunteer coordinators. Once the forms are signed, they are scanned into an electronic database and the original is provided to the facility PCM. The email directive has been codified in DAI Policy #309.06.03.

An interview with the facility head indicated they would immediately discontinue the services of any volunteer that they believed engaged in sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment. Interviews with volunteers and contractors indicated they are aware of the agency's zero-tolerance policy and their reporting responsibilities.

At the time of the onsite review, there were 44 contractors, and 12 volunteers approved to enter WSPF. This auditor reviewed training records for five contractors and five volunteers to verify compliance with training requirements.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of volunteer and contractor training as it relates to PREA.
--	--

<b>115.33</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Prevention &amp; Intervention handbook  WSPF Inmate Handbook  DAI Policy #410.20.01, PIOC PREA Education  POC-41B, Sexual Abuse in Confinement – A Resource for Offenders form  Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Prevention &amp; Intervention video  POC-0041C, PIOC PREA Education Facilitator Guide  DOC PIOC postings within the facility  PIOC file reviews  Interview with intake staff  Interviews with PIOC's having limited-English proficiency or disabilities  Interviews with random PIOC's</p> <p>(a-c, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, <i>“At intake, PIOC's shall receive information detailing the DOC's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report such incidents or suspicions [§115.33(a), §115.333(a)].”</i></p> <p>The DAI Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Prevention &amp; Intervention handbook states on page three, <i>“The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment within its facilities.”</i></p> <p>DAI Policy #410.20.01 directs that upon arrival at an intake facility, each PIOC will receive a copy of the DAI Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Prevention &amp; Intervention handbook and a copy of form POC-41B. Form POC-41B provides additional support information for victims of sexual abuse, including the name, address, and telephone number for the local sexual assault service provider.</p>

Upon arrival at WSPF, each PIOC receives a copy *WSPF Inmate Handbook*, which was last revised in May 2016. Page three outlines basic information about PREA - *“The Wisconsin Department of Corrections and Wisconsin Secure Program Facility are committed to a 'Zero Tolerance Policy' of prison rape and sexual victimization. Zero Tolerance affects all of the Department of Corrections, including every employee and every person under correctional supervision. You should report any assaults or victimizations to a staff member. All reports will be kept as confidential as the circumstance(s) allow.*

*To access the reporting line, dial \*777 or \*888 and then enter your identifying number into the phone. These phone calls will be over and above the allowed number of phone calls and will be allowed on days other than designated phone call days.*

*Per Federal mandate when there are no opposite gender staff on the unit, and then one enters, a tone will be sounded. As long as an opposite gender staff is currently on the unit another tone will not be sounded if an additional opposite gender staff arrives. This will occur during all shifts.”*

In January 2016, as part of DOC’s compliance efforts with the standards, each facility was directed to provide all PIOC’s with PREA education. There were six PIOC’s at WSPF who were admitted to the facility prior to August 20, 2012. This auditor reviewed education documentation for all six PIOC’s.

Effective December 19, 2018, the agency’s zero tolerance statement and reporting methods were printed on the reverse side of new and reissued PIOC identification cards. The identification card states, *“WI DOC has ZERO TOLERANCE for sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation related to reporting. You have the right to remain safe. To report sexual abuse or sexual harassment use any of these methods:*

- 1. Tell or write any staff member.*
- 2. Dial 777 or 888.*
- 3. Submit a grievance.*
- 4. Tell a family member or friend to report at [www.doc.wi.gov](http://www.doc.wi.gov).*
- 5. Write to law enforcement.”*



This auditor believes this practice exceeds the requirement for this subsection of the standard.

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, *“Within 30 days of intake at adult facilities and within 10 days at juvenile facilities, the facility shall provide comprehensive education to PIOC’s either in person or through video regarding [§115.33(b), (§115.333(b))]:*

*a. The DOC’s zero tolerance policy, including PIOC’s right to be free of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and disclosure-related retaliation; and*

*b. The DOC’s policies and procedures for responding to such incidents.*

*Upon transfer to another facility, PIOC’s shall receive education specific to the facility’s sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation policies and procedures to the extent they differ from the previous facility [§115.33(c), §115.333(c)].”*

The staff member assigned to provide PIOC education at an intake facility shows a video (*Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention*) and utilizes the *PIOC PREA Education Facilitator Guide* to facilitate discussion afterwards. The agency’s zero-tolerance policy is repeated. The cross-gender announcement procedure is explained. The staff member assigned to provide PIOC education at a transfer facility is not required to show the video but may elect to do so. At both intake and transfer facilities, PIOC’s acknowledge receipt of the comprehensive education by signing the *Acknowledgment of PREA Education* offender standard form in WICS using an electronic signature pad.

The video, *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention*, was produced in 2017 by the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Wisconsin Coalition Against Sexual Assault, and a local media firm. The video is available to the public at <https://doc.wi.gov/Pages/AboutDOC/PrisonRapeEliminationAct.aspx>. This auditor believes this practice exceeds the requirements of the standard as it allows friends and family to view the information and reinforces their ability to report concerns and see the steps the agency and facility take to keep their loved one safe.

All PIOC’s interviewed indicated they had received the required information. This auditor reviewed the education records for every PIOC selected for a targeted or random interview. All PIOC’s received their comprehensive education on the same day

they were admitted to WSPF.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, *“PIOCs with disabilities or who have limited English proficiency shall have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the DOC’s efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary, in addition to the provision of PIOC education in formats accessible to all. Written materials shall be provided in formats or methods that ensure effective communication with PIOC with disabilities [§115.16(a, b), §115.316(a, b), §115.33(d), §115.333(d)].”*

There are nine versions of the video available, depending on the needs of the intended audience – three versions for male PIOC, female PIOC, and youth. The three versions for each audience include one in English, one with English subtitles, and one in Spanish. The facilitator guide directs staff to provide the information in an alternate format if an PIOC has a known limitation that inhibits their ability to understand PREA education. The *DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook and PIOC posters are available in English, Spanish, and large print.

(f) The *DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook is provided to each PIOC upon arrival at an intake facility. Information is readily available on the reverse side of their PIOC identification card. Page two of the *WSPF Inmate Handbook* contains information about the Prison Rape Elimination Act, including reporting options and the zero-tolerance policy.

Key information is continuously and readily available on posters throughout the facility, as observed by the audit team.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of PIOC education as it relates to PREA.

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, *Employee Disciplinary Investigations*

DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations training curriculum

DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations Resource Guide

Investigative staff training records

Interviews with investigative staff

(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, *“Employees who investigate incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall receive specialized training on techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Garrity/Oddsden warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecutorial referral. The DOC shall maintain documentation of training completion [§115.34, §115.334, §115.71(b), §115.371(b), §115.371(b)].”*

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304 states on page four, *“In investigations regarding conduct which could constitute a criminal offense, pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's decision in Garrity v. New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493 (1967), a public employee's refusal to answer cannot be used as grounds for discharge where he/she is required to answer the questions unless he/she has been warned that the statements cannot be used against the employee in criminal proceedings. Therefore, the investigators must give a Garrity Warning to the subject of the investigation. Investigators will utilize the Employee Investigation Reports —Investigations Involving Criminal Matters (DOA 15807 — G) form to document which of the following two options were read to the employee prior to starting an investigatory interview.”* The “following two options” noted in the policy are to either require answers from the employee and withhold any statements from a criminal proceeding, or to allow the employee the option of remaining silent or answers questions without withholding any statements from a criminal proceeding.

Facility and agency investigators conduct administrative investigations.

Investigations involving potentially criminal behavior are referred to the Grant County Sheriff's Office.

	<p>This auditor reviewed the DOC <i>Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Investigations</i> training curriculum and accompanying <i>Resource Guide</i>. The training includes instruction on interviewing sexual abuse victims, the proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. Training on Miranda, Garrity and Wisconsin's <i>Oddsens</i> warnings take place on day three of the investigator training. Interviews with investigative staff indicated they were knowledgeable in each aspect of sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations.</p> <p>DOC has a total of 617 trained investigators, to include 10 WSPF facility investigators. The PREA Director's office maintains a spreadsheet noting all trained investigators employed by the agency. This auditor reviewed training dates for all 10 WSPF staff to ensure the required training was received.</p> <p>(d) This provision is not required to be audited.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of specialized training for investigations as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.35</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC <i>PREA for Healthcare Staff</i> curriculum  Staff training records  Interviews with medical and mental health staff</p> <p>(a, c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, "<i>All medical and mental</i></p>

*health care practitioners who work regularly in a DOC facility(ies) shall be trained on the subparts below. The DOC shall maintain documentation that such training has been received [§115.35, §115.335].*

*a. How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;*

*b. How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse;*

*c. How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and*

*d. How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.”*

This auditor reviewed the curriculum to ensure a comprehensive training program that provides detailed information on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The PAQ indicated there were 16 medical or mental health practitioners subject to this standard during the audit review period. An additional list of staff provided during the onsite portion of the audit reflected 20 staff members. This auditor reviewed training records for 20 staff members. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated they were knowledgeable of the required elements.

(b) As the agency does not employ medical staff to conduct forensic medical examinations, this subsection of the standard does not apply.

(d) In addition to the PREA training provided to all employees, medical and mental health staff receive additional training specific to their responsibilities with PREA. This auditor reviewed the training curriculum to ensure it provided detailed information on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of specialized training, medical and mental health care as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #410.30.01, <i>Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness &amp; Sexual Victimization</i>  DOC-2863, <i>Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR) Form - PREA</i>  DOC PREA Admission – <i>Adult Male Facility</i> risk screening form  DOC WICS User Guide – <i>Special Handling (SH) PREA</i>  Interview with PREA director  Interview with PREA compliance manager  Interviews with staff responsible for conducting risk screening  Interviews with randomly selected PIOC  PIOC file reviews</p> <p>(a-e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, <i>“PIOCs shall be assessed during an intake screening within 72 hours of arrival at the facility, and again within 72 hours of transfer to another facility, for risk of being sexually abused by other PIOC or sexually abusive towards other PIOC. The objective screening instrument shall include, at minimum, the following criteria [§115.41(a-e), §115.341(a-c)]:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The presence of a mental, physical or developmental disability;</i></li> <li>2. <i>Level of emotional and cognitive development (juvenile facilities only)</i></li> <li>3. <i>Age;</i></li> <li>4. <i>Physical build;</i></li> <li>5. <i>Previous incarcerations;</i></li> <li>6. <i>Exclusively nonviolent criminal history;</i></li> <li>7. <i>Prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child;</i></li> <li>8. <i>Is, or is perceived to be, gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender</i></li> </ol>

*nonconforming; 9. Previously experienced sexual victimization;*

*10. Prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses and/or history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse; and*

*11. PIOC's perception of vulnerability."*

DOC has created a *WICS [Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System] User Guide* that outlines the purpose of the screening: *"PREA Standard 115.41 requires that the Wisconsin Department of Corrections screen all PIOCs for risk of being sexually abused by other PIOCs or sexually abusive towards other PIOCs with the goal of keeping those at high risk separated from one another."*

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page three, *"Inmates shall be screened within 72-hours of admission to any DAI facility for risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive towards other inmates."* Screenings have been documented in WICS since 2017. Screenings prior to 2017 were completed in paper format. If the electronic system is not available, a paper format of the screening is completed, and responses are transferred to WICS as soon as possible. All screenings are administered in a private location, in the social worker's office.

DOC uses a different screening form for male and female PIOCs. Sections A and B of the electronic PREA screening form contains all ten considerations to assess an PIOC's risk for sexual victimization as described in the standard. The PREA screening form also assesses an PIOC's aggressive/predatory factors. Section C will be completed if the screener believes an override of the automatic scoring is necessary. Section D is used for the 30-day follow up risk screening.

This auditor reviewed the 72-hour screenings completed at WSPF for each month in the audit documentation period. There was a total of 221 admissions during that time. Fourteen 72-hour screenings were conducted late, for an overall on-time percentage of 93.6. In the four months immediately preceding the onsite portion of the audit, there were 66 admissions and all but one was conducted on time, for an overall on-time percentage of 98.4.

This auditor reviewed the 72-hour screenings completed for every PIOC selected for a targeted or random interview. Four of the 35 received late screenings for an on-time percentage of 88.57. As part of corrective action, this auditor will review 72-hour screenings for the months of November, December, and January. To meet compliance, November/December/January must have an on-time percentage of 95 or higher.

On December 5, 2024, this auditor received the 72-hour screenings for November 2024. WSPF received 21 new admits. All 21 received a risk screening within 72-hours of arrival, meeting compliance for the month of November.

On December 30, 2024, this auditor received the 72-hour screenings for December 2024. WSPF received 17 new admits. All 17 received a risk screening within 72-hours of arrival, meeting compliance for the month of November.

On February 2, 2025, this auditor received the 72-hour screenings for January 2025. WSPF received 15 new admits. One person did not receive a risk screening within 72 hours, for an on-time percentage of 93%. WSPF did not meet compliance for the month of January, and the corrective action period was extended by this auditor.

On February 28, 2025, this auditor received the 72-hour screenings for February 2025. WSPF received 12 new admits. All 12 received a risk screening within 72-hours of arrival, meeting compliance for the month of February, resolving the corrective action for this provision of the standard.

(f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, *“In addition to the intake screenings detailed in section XII.A., within 30 days of arrival the facility shall reassess the PIOC’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the last screening [§115.41(f)].”*

This auditor reviewed the 30-day screenings completed at WSPF for each month in the audit documentation period. There was a total of 221 admissions during that time. All 30-day screenings were completed on time except for three screenings in the first month of the audit documentation period, for an overall on-time percentage of 98.6.

This auditor reviewed the 30-day screenings completed for every PIOC selected for a targeted or random interview. Two of the 35 received a late screening for an on-time percentage of 94.2. Eight of the 35 were marked as refusing to participate in the screening. As part of corrective action, this auditor will review 30-day screenings for the months of December, January, and February. To meet compliance, December/January/February must have an on-time percentage of 95 or higher.



On January 6, 2025, this auditor received and reviewed the 30-day screenings for December 2024. All 16 PIOC's admitted in November 2024 received their 30-day screening within 30 days, meeting compliance for the month of December.

On February 13, 2025, this auditor received and reviewed the 30-day screenings for January 2025. All 17 PIOC's admitted in December 2024 received their 30-day screening within 30 days, meeting compliance for the month of January.

On February 28, 2025, this auditor received and reviewed the 30-day screenings for February 2025. All 15 PIOC's admitted in January 2025 received their 30-day screening within 30 days, resolving the corrective action for this provision of the standard.

(g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, *"Thereafter, a PIOC's risk level shall be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on the PIOC's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness [§115.41(g)]."*

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page three, *"Thereafter, an inmate may be referred for a follow-up rescreening by any staff member if and when: the inmate is the alleged victim or suspect of sexual abuse; the inmate discloses identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex and their identification was not revealed during the last screening; the inmate discloses a past unwanted or abusive sexual experience(s) while confined and the experience(s) was not revealed during the last screening; the inmate requests a screening; the inmate is referred for a rescreening by facility staff; or, additional information is received that bears on an inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness."*

To ensure part of the requirement is not missed, the DOC-2863 form reminds those participating in a sexual abuse incident review that the alleged victim and suspected perpetrator should have been rescreened for risk.

(h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, *"PIOCs may not be disciplined for refusing to answer or for failing to disclose information in regards to the screening questions [§115.41(h)]."*

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page three, *"Inmates may not be disciplined for refusing to answer or for failing to disclose responses to the screening questions."*

Interviews with staff who conduct risk screening indicated that if an PIOC refused to answer questions, they would complete the screening with information otherwise available to them. Staff are permitted to review and record a minimum amount of protected health information to determine an PIOC’s risk. There were no interviews of PIOCs that indicated they had been disciplined for refusing to answer screening questions. None of the screenings reviewed indicated the PIOC refused to answer questions.

(i) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *“Appropriate controls shall be placed on the dissemination of information gathered from the screenings to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the PIOC’s detriment by staff or other PIOCs [§115.41(i), §115.341(e)].”* Interviews with screening staff indicated they are aware that information obtained during the screening process is to remain confidential unless there is a legitimate need to know.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness as it relates to PREA.

<b>115.42</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #306.00.72, <i>Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness &amp; Sexual Victimization</i>  DAI Policy #500.70.27, <i>Transgender Management &amp; Care</i>  DOC-3793 form, <i>Transgender Housing Evaluation</i>  DOC-2570 form, <i>PIOC Offsite Review</i>  Interview with PREA director  Interview with PREA compliance manager  Interview with staff responsible for risk screening</p>

Interview with PIOC's who identify as gay or bisexual  
PIOC file reviews  
Observation of facility operations while onsite

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *"Further, any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness occurring in an institutional setting shall be confidential and strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff members, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education and program assignments or as otherwise required by law [§115.81(d), §115.381(c)]."*

DAI Policy #306.00.72 states on pages three and four, *"Screening information shall be used to inform staff making housing and bed assignments. The expectation is to keep inmates who score as a high risk of being sexually victimized separate from those scoring as a high risk for being sexually abusive."*

*Screening information shall be used to inform staff making work, education, and program assignments. The expectation is to supervise or separate inmates who score as a high risk of being sexually victimized from those scoring as a high risk for being sexually abusive.*

*Depending upon each inmate's responses and history, the screening tool categorizes each as being designated a ROV [Risk of Victimization], ROA [Risk of Abusiveness], or none. ROV and ROA categorizations shall be recorded as a security special handling type and security housing recommendation in WICS."*

Information from the screening form is considered in the final determination of the PIOC's housing and program assignments. Those at risk of abusiveness may participate in programming and work assignments with those at risk of victimization if there is adequate staff supervision. A PREA status review is part of all offsite work requests and noted on form DOC-2570.

(b) DAI Policy #306.00.72 states on page four, *"Individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate shall be made."* This auditor reviewed the February 2024 Staffing Plan, which shows the facility takes care to identify those PIOC's with unique needs who may be especially vulnerable to any form of abuse, including sexual.

The screening tool has an additional Section C at the bottom of the form for the risk screener to document other factors related to aggressive/vulnerability that may be significant, but not otherwise addressed in the scored questions, that warrant an override. There may be special circumstances indicated by the PIOC's behavior, criminal history, needs, or medical/mental health status that have not been addressed adequately and warrant placement in a living unit other than what has been indicated. Staff are instructed to provide detailed information. Overrides can be requested to change an PIOC's housing consideration from a lower or a higher level. Overrides are encouraged when an PIOC's score does not seem to be an accurate reflection of their actual risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. This auditor reviewed risk screenings that had been overridden to ensure someone at risk of being victimized or at risk of being abusive were properly identified.

(c, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 11, *"When making facility, cell/unit housing and programmatic assignments for transgender or intersex PIOC's the DOC shall consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the PIOC's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems [§115.42(c), §115.342(d)], in addition to serious consideration of the PIOC's own views with respect to their own safety [§115.42(e), §115.342(f)]."*

DAI Policy #300.00.72 states on page four, *"If an inmate identifies as transgender or discloses an intersex condition, the screener shall notify the facility's PSU Supervisor or designated staff member to, in consultation with classification, security and/or healthcare staff, ensure:*

- 1. An inmate is not placed in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely on the basis of such identification or status.*
- 2. Housing and programming assignments are made on a case-by-case basis. Such placement decisions shall ensure the inmate's health and safety, which includes giving serious consideration to the inmate's own view of safety and any management or security problems."*

DAI Policy #500.70.27 outlines the agency's approach to providing appropriate treatment and accommodations for transgender PIOC's, meet DSM-5 criteria for Gender Dysphoria (GD), or have a verified intersex condition. PIOC's may identify as transgender or intersex at intake or at any other time while incarcerated. Upon identification, staff will notify the PSU supervisor who will make further notifications. DOC utilizes a Transgender Committee to make individualized facility placement decisions for transgender PIOC's. Committee members include the Bureau of Health Services (BHS) Director, Medical Director, Mental Health Director, GD Medical Consultant, Psychology Director, Psychiatry Director, Division of Community Corrections (DCC) Psychology Manager, PREA Director, Nursing Director, DAI Security

Chief, Facility head or Deputy Warden, and others as deemed appropriate. The committee convenes at least quarterly and is required by policy to address issues pertaining to PIOC's or offenders who are transgender or diagnosed with GD or an intersex condition. The committee may consult with community-based providers who specialize in the evaluation and treatment of GD to make recommendations regarding medically necessary treatment and will make recommendations as needed regarding management issues, allowed property, and accommodations.

All facilities are approved for transgender or intersex PIOC's except Gordon Correctional Center, McNaughton Correctional Center, Chippewa Valley Correctional Treatment Facility, Flambeau Correctional Center, Prairie du Chien Correctional Institution, and St. Croix Correctional Center. Placement may occur at any approved site. PIOC's who have completed gender affirming surgery *"...shall be placed in a facility consistent with the reassignment treatment."*

WSPF had two transgender PIOC's at the time of the onsite review; both were interviewed by this auditor.

Transgender PIOC's are permitted by policy to wear undergarments corresponding to the desired gender. Cosmetics are allowed for all PIOC's, regardless of facility. Indigent PIOC's may submit a written request to HSU for chemical depilatory products for hair removal.

The policy implements 11 specific guidelines to be considered after a person in custody requests placement at a facility consistent with their gender identity. The policy implementation includes form DOC-3793, *Transgender Housing Evaluation*, for use when a transgender person in custody requests new placement. The form captures each guideline, benchmarks, comments from the psychological services unit, and transgender housing committee notes. Reasons for the ultimate decision and any recommended follow up are documented and moved forward to the agency head, who ultimately approves, denies, or defers the decision.

(d-e) DAI Policy #500.70.27 states on page six, *"Placement and programming assignments shall be reassessed at a minimum of every six months in a reclassification hearing and shall include a review of any threats to safety experienced by the PIOC. The assigned OCS [Offender Classification Specialist] shall document in the WICS current offense description box: DAI Policy 500.70.27 applies to the management of this PIOC with the requirement for classification review every six months."*

DAI Policy #300.00.72 states on page four, *“In accordance with DAI policy 500.70.27, placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate shall be reviewed at a reclassification hearing a minimum of every six months to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate.”*

WSPF had two transgender PIOC's at the time of the onsite review; four were interviewed by this auditor. Each transgender PIOC has a reclassification hearing every six months. None of the four transgender PIOC's indicated they were asked about their safety or if they had experienced any threats based on their gender identity ahead of the reclassification hearing. This auditor requested and reviewed the last two bi-annual classifications for two transgender PIOC's interviewed while onsite. This auditor was unable to determine from the documentation if trans PIOC's were asked directly about any threats to their safety or if their own views with respect to their own safety were given serious consideration. As part of corrective action, the facility is required to meet with each transgender PIOC, ask them directly about any threats to their safety based on their gender identity, and provide documentation of the meetings to this auditor for review.

On December 11, 2024, this auditor received and reviewed documentation related to three transgender PIOC's who were asked about any threats they have experienced to their safety, their perception of their safety in housing and programming assignments, and if they feel WSPF gives their safety serious consideration. Two of the three transgender PIOC's stated they had not experienced any threats to their safety at WSPF, believed their perceptions of safety were considered, and their safety was given serious consideration. The third transgender PIOC appeared to be suffering symptoms of mental illness and was unable to provide clear answers directly related to the questions.

All Unit Managers and Social Workers at WSPF attended a training on December 18, 2024 to review the revised DAI Classification Report Documentation Standards. The questions about transgender PIOC safety and documentation of the answers were highlighted as a required part of the re-classification pre-hearing process, satisfying this element of corrective action.

(f) DAI Policy #300.00.72 states on page four, *“Transgender and intersex inmates shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates who are not transgender or intersex.”*

DAI Policy 500.70.27 states on page four, *“Transgender and intersex PIOC shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other PIOC. PIOC taking cross-gender hormones or with secondary sex characteristics of the desired gender (e.g., biological males with breast development) shall shower separately from PIOC who are not transgender or intersex. Security, PSU, or HSU staff shall make this determination. For any PIOC who showers separately, PSU staff shall enter a ‘Shower Separately’ designation into the WICS Special Handling module.”*

WSPF had two transgender PIOCs at the time of the onsite review; both were interviewed by this auditor. Both indicated they had no issues with the showers. Trans PIOCs shower in their cell and the cell tier is locked down to other PIOCs during their designated shower time.

(g) According to the agency PREA Director, WSPF is not subject to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for protecting LGBTI PIOCs, and does not place those PIOCs in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely based on such identification. No gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex PIOCs indicated in their interviews that they had been housed in such a manner.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of use of screening information as it relates to PREA.

115.43	Protective Custody
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses            DOC Executive Directive 72            DAI Policy #306.05.01, <i>Protective Confinement</i>            DAI Policy #306.00.72, <i>Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness &amp; Sexual Victimization</i>            DOC-30 form, <i>Review of PIOC in Restrictive Housing</i>            PIOC housing records            Interview with facility head</p>

Interviews with random PIOC

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *“Adult PIOC*s at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be separated from the general population unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the facility may separate the PIOC involuntarily from the general population for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment [§115.43(a)].”

DAI Policy #306.05.01 states on page two, *“Inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary restrictive housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. An inmate shall not be held for more than 24 hours pending this assessment.”*

DAI Policy #306.00.72 states on pages four and five, *“Inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be separated from the general population unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has likely ben made there are no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the facility may separate the inmate involuntarily from the general population for less than 24 hours while complete the assessment. If an inmate is voluntarily separated from the general population the facility shall document the basis for the facility’s concern for the inmate’s safety and the reason an alternate placement cannot be arranged.”*

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *“PIOCs separated from the general population for this purpose shall have access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities to the extent possible. If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities the facility shall document the opportunities limited, the reason for such limitations and the duration of the limitation [§115.43(b)].”*

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *“Involuntary separation of adult PIOC*s from the general population shall only be until alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and shall not ordinarily exceed 30 calendar days [§115.43(c)].”

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *“If a PIOC is involuntarily*



*separated from the general population the facility shall document the basis for the facility's concern for the PIOC's safety and the reason an alternate placement cannot be arranged [§115.43(d)]."*

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *"Every 30 days, the facility shall review the PIOC's circumstances to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population and document accordingly [§115.43(e)]."*

DAI Policy #306.05.01 states on page three, *"Ensure inmate's protective confinement placement is reviewed every 30 days to determine if placement remains necessary. Document reviews of existing protective confinement placement on DOC-30."*

The PAQ stated that WSPF has not used involuntary segregation as a means of separation or protection for PIOC's at high risk for sexual victimization. No PIOC's interviewed indicated they had been placed in involuntary segregation as a means of protection from being sexually victimized. All staff interviewed indicated they would utilize a move to a different housing unit or move an alleged perpetrator, prior to utilizing involuntary segregation.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for risk of protective custody as it relates to PREA.

115.51	Inmate reporting
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>  The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:  WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC PIOC posters within the facility DOC "Third Party" posters within the facility DAI <i>Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Prevention &amp; Intervention</i> handbook

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager  
Interviews with random staff  
Interviews with random contractors and volunteers  
Interviews with random PIOCs

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 11-12, *“The DOC shall provide multiple ways for PIOCs to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other PIOCs or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.”*

Internal and external reporting options are readily available to PIOCs on the PREA signs (in English and Spanish) posted throughout the facility. PIOCs are encouraged to tell any staff person, write any staff person, dial an internal or external telephone number, file a grievance, tell a third party, or write to local law enforcement.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, *“In addition, the DOC shall provide at least one way for PIOCs to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the DOC [§115.51(a, b), §115.351(a, b)].”* PIOCs are notified of the external reporting option on the PREA signs throughout the facility. A PIN is not needed to make an external report.

WSPF has an agreement with the Capitol Police in Madison, Wisconsin as an external reporting mechanism. Any PIOC can make a report to them by dialing #888 on the PIOC telephone system. Calls made on this line are provided to the agency PREA office and then to the facility.

Interviews with random PIOCs indicated they are aware of available reporting mechanisms. WSPF does not house PIOCs detained solely for civil immigration purposes.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, *“Staff members shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties; promptly document any verbal reports...”*

Staff are trained on the expectation to immediately report during PREA-related trainings, as verified by curriculum review and through interviews with random staff.

	<p>(d) WSPF staff, volunteers, and contractors can report sexual abuse and sexual harassment privately to any supervisor. Interviews with staff and contractors did not indicate that any person had concerns with regards to private reporting mechanisms and all stated that they felt comfortable reporting.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for PIOC reporting as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	---

<b>115.52</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #310.00.01, <i>Inmate Complaints Regarding Staff Misconduct</i>  Agency Administrative Code, Chapter 310.08, PREA Complaint Procedure  WSPF <i>Inmate Handbook</i>  Interview with the agency PREA Director  Interview with Institution Complaint Examiner</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72, states on page 13, <i>“All sexual abuse and sexual harassment complaints filed through the Inmate Complaint Review System shall be immediately referred to facility leadership for review and sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation. See Investigations (section XVII.) for guidelines. PIOC’s shall be notified within 30 days of the initial complaint that the portion of the complaint alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment has been referred for review and possible investigation and the Inmate Complaint Review process has concluded.”</i></p> <p>DAI Policy #310.00.01 states on page two, <i>“Complaints regarding staff sexual misconduct shall be handled according to provisions of Executive Directive 72. Upon review of an inmate complaint that has an allegation of any action which may appear</i></p>

*to be sexual harassment or sexual abuse, the ICE [Institution Complaint Examiner] shall forward the inmate complaint to the PCM/PCM backup to determine if the allegations meet the definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment under PREA, and to determine if the allegation has been previously reported. Upon receiving an inmate complaint from an ICE, the PCM/PCM backup shall review to determine if the allegation meets the definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment under PREA and whether the allegation has been previously reported. The PCM/PCM backup shall inform the ICE if the allegation meets the definition and if it has been reported. If the allegation meets the definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and has previously been reported but not investigated, the ICE shall dismiss the complaint per ED 72 and refer for investigation.”*

*Page 13 of the WSPF Inmate Handbook states, “An inmate may file a complaint alleging sexual abuse using the procedures under this chapter.”*

*(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, “A time limit shall not be imposed on when a PIOC may submit a complaint regarding an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment though other applicable time limits may still apply to any portion of the complaint that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. All appeals shall be made in accordance with Wisconsin State statutory time limits and referred to the appropriate reviewing authority [§115.52(b), §115.352(b)].”*

*DOC 310.08 states, “Notwithstanding s. DOC 310.07(2), an inmate may file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment at any time. If a portion of the complaint alleges an issue that does not related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the time limits under s. DOC 310.07 apply. Notwithstanding s. DOC 310.07(1) or (8), an inmate is not required to attempt to resolve the issue with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint or to file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The inmate may use an alternative method of filing, including submission of the complaint directly to the warden. Complaints filed under this section will be referred for a PREA investigation. Department policy shall address the requirements that investigations regarding allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be completed within established time frames.”*

*Page 13 of the WSPF Inmate Handbook states, “An inmate may file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment at any time. If a portion of the complaint alleges an issue that does not relate to sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the time limits apply.”*

WSPF did not deny any grievances of this nature due to a time limitation during the audit review period.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, *“Each facility shall ensure that a PIOC who alleges sexual abuse or sexual harassment may submit a complaint without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint and that such a complaint is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The PIOC may use an alternate method of filing [§115.52(c), §115.352(c)].”*

Page 13 of the *WSPF Inmate Handbook* states, *“An inmate is not required to attempt to resolve the issue with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint or to file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint.”*

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72, states on page 13, *“All sexual abuse and sexual harassment complaints filed through the Inmate Complaint Review System shall be immediately referred to facility leadership for review and sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation. See Investigations (section XVII.) for guidelines. PIOC’s shall be notified within 30 days of the initial complaint that the portion of the complaint alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment has been referred for review and possible investigation and the Inmate Complaint Review process has concluded.”*

Page 13 of the *WSPF Inmate Handbook* states, *“Complaints filed under this section will be referred for a PREA investigation.”*

During the audit review period, WSPF received 29 grievances related to PREA, which were reviewed by this auditor. Ten grievances were related to unclothed searches; seven were related to pat searches; five were related to reporting allegations; four were related to investigational outcomes; two were related to staff sexual harassment; and one was related to requiring a cell window to be uncovered. All 29 grievances were appropriately referred for resolution.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, *“Third parties, including fellow PIOC’s, staff, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist a PIOC in filing complaints related to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. A parent or legal guardian of a juvenile shall be permitted to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse on behalf of such juvenile. Such a*

*grievance shall not be conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on their behalf. Complaints filed shall be referred for sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation [§115.52(e), §115.352(e)].”*

*DOC 310.08 states, “Third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist an inmate in filing a request for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates. Requests for administrative remedies filed under this section will be referred for a PREA investigation.”*

*Page 13 of the WSPF Inmate Handbook states, “Third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist an inmate in filing a request for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates.”*

There were no grievances filed by a third party during the audit review period.

*(f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, “If a PIOC alleges that he or she is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the PIOC may contact any staff member who is not the subject of the allegation. Staff shall immediately forward the allegation to facility leadership for immediate corrective action. Facility leadership shall provide an initial response within 48 hours and issue a final decision within 5 calendar days. The initial response and final facility decision shall document the facility’s determination whether the PIOC is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency complaint. Further response shall be in accordance with Staff Reporting (section XIV. C.) [§115.52(f), §115.352(f)].”*

*DOC 310.08 states, “Emergency grievance procedures for complaints alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be handled in the following manner: the inmate may contact any staff member who is not the subject of the allegation for immediate corrective action. The inmate may file a complaint. Complaints collected under s. DOC 310.08 shall be immediately forwarded to the warden to determine if immediate action is warranted. Reports of substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment outside of the complaint process under this chapter shall be immediately forwarded to the warden to determine if immediate action is warranted. Further response will be in accordance with department policy.”*

Page 13 of the *WSPF Inmate Handbook* states, “Emergency grievance procedures for complaints alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment are: (a) The inmate may contact any staff member who is not the subject of the allegation for immediate corrective action. (b) The inmate may file a complaint. Complaints collected under s. DOC 310.08 shall be immediately forwarded to the warden. (c) Reports of substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment outside of the complaint process under this chapter shall be immediately forwarded to the warden.”

There were not any emergency grievances filed during the audit review period.

(g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, “The DOC may Discipline a PIOC for a complaint filed alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment only where the DOC demonstrates that the complaint was filed in bad faith [§115.52(g), §115.352(g)].”

DOC 310.08 states, “The warden may Discipline an inmate for filing a complaint related to alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment only if the warden demonstrates that the inmate filed the complaint in bad faith.”

Page 13 of the *WSPF Inmate Handbook* states, “The warden may discipline an inmate for filing a complaint related to alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment only if the warden demonstrates the inmate filed the complaint in bad faith.”

WSPF did not discipline any PIOC's for filing a grievance in bad faith during the audit review period.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for exhaustion of administrative remedies as it relates to PREA.

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #410.50.04, *Support Services & Retaliation Monitoring*

DOC Memorandum of Understanding with Family Support Center

DAI *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook

Form POC-41B, *Sexual Abuse in Confinement: A Resource for Offenders*

DOC-2937 form, *Advocacy Request*

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Interviews with random PIOCs

(a-c) DAI Policy #410.20.01 directs that upon arrival at an intake facility, each PIOC will receive a copy of the DAI *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook and a copy of form POC-41B. Form POC-41B provides additional support information for victims of sexual abuse, including the name, address, and telephone number for the local sexual assault service provider. The information is provided again at any subsequent facilities. The bottom of the form includes information about the extent to which communications will be monitored – *“Every effort will be made to ensure that your communication with the local sexual assault service provider remain confidential. Your PIN is not needed to make this call. These calls are not recorded or monitored. Written correspondence may be opened or inspected and may be read with the written approval of the Security Director. In person communication will be arranged in as private and confidential manner as possible.”*

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, *“...the facility shall provide PIOCs with access to outside victim advocates, with whom the DOC shall maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding with, for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. Access includes giving PIOCs mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available. The facility shall enable reasonable communication between PIOCs and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible and, in advance, provide notification to PIOCs of the extent to which such conversations will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws [§115.53, §115.353(a-c)].”*

DOC has a written and signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Family Advocates that was executed on February 13, 2017. The term of the MOU is until any



party gives written notice that they intend to terminate the agreement. Through the agreement, Family Advocates provides an advocate to accompany and support victims of sexual abuse through the forensic medical examination and investigatory interview processes, as requested by the victim via DOC, if available. Family Advocates will provide emotional support services, to include crisis intervention, information, and referral. These services may be conducted by mail, in person, by telephone, or an approved telecommunications method.

PREA postings within WSPF state, *“Even if you choose not to report you can still receive support. This community has a sexual assault service provider. Sexual assault service providers are trained to provide confidential support after sexual abuse. They will listen and provide information and education. Their services are free and not connected to WI Department of Corrections.”* The posting identifies Family Support Center, provides an internal telephone number, and their mailing address. It notes that written correspondence may be opened or inspected and may be read with the written approval of the security director.

DAI Policy #410.50.04 states on page two, *“For every alleged victim of sexual abuse, the VSC [Victim Services Coordinator] is tasked with the following responsibilities, which are described in greater detail below: victim accompaniment, facilitating support services, and monitoring for retaliation.”* Page four states, *“Upon notification of an alleged sexual abuse victim, the VSC shall meet with the alleged victim as soon as possible to offer internal and external support services. If the alleged victim accepts offered services, the VSC shall refer to internal supports (i.e. PSU, HSU, Chaplain, etc.) and/or facilitate contact with the local SASP.*

*1. The SASP may meet with the alleged victim via telephone, videoconferencing or in person. In person SASP visits shall be managed as all other professional visits within a correctional facility and shall be held in the same location, or equivalent, as attorney visits to ensure confidentiality. 2. Prior to any SASP contact, the VSC shall inform the alleged victim of the extent to which communication with the SASP may be monitored.*

*3. The VSC shall serve as the SASPs facility-based point of contact and shall coordinate all contact between the SASP and the victim. The VSC shall ensure the SASP has proper clearance to enter the facility.*

*4. Following an investigation, if the incident is determined unfounded, the VSC may discontinue support services. If the incident is determined unsubstantiated or substantiated, the VSC shall periodically review the need for continued support with the alleged victim, SASP and internal support providers, as needed.*

*During periodic retaliation monitoring status checks described below, the VSC shall*

*ask the alleged victim about the individual's perceived degree of wellness. Support services shall be modified, as needed. If the alleged victim declines support services initially, but requests support at a later date, the VSC shall make accommodations."*

After a reported experience of sexual abuse, PIOC's are provided with an Advocacy Request form. The form outlines the assistance available from the community's local sexual assault service provider, in addition to the on-site facility-related support. If requested by the incarcerated survivor, the facility's victim services coordinator will share their name with Family Advocates and indicate their interest in receiving support services. The form notes that PIOC's are free to reach out on their own by calling #999 from any PIOC phone. The original is maintained by the facility's victim services coordinator, with a copy provided to the incarcerated survivor.

Family Advocates provides counseling for survivors, families, and friends; assistance with medical help; legal advocacy; safety planning; emergency shelter; outreach and awareness; and operates a 24-hour crisis line. Family Advocates advised this auditor they provide services to incarcerated survivors over the phone, in writing and in person, and at forensic medical exams. They advised they have received contact from incarcerated survivors at WSPF approximately over the last year.

Survivors can only meet with an advocate in person regarding an incident of sexual abuse that was experienced during their incarceration, and not prior to their incarceration. This auditor recommends that any incarcerated person who has experienced sexual abuse be permitted contact with an advocate.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of PIOC access to outside confidential support services as it relates to PREA.

115.54	Third-party reporting
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this

standard:

WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DOC postings within the facility

DAI *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook

WSPF *Inmate Handbook*

DOC website

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, *“The DOC shall provide a method for third parties to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a PIOC. Information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf on a PIOC shall be posted publicly [§115.54, §115.354].”*

The DOC website states, *“To notify DOC on behalf of an PIOC or youth, a third party may report by email. Please provide as much detail as possible, including...Reports may be discussed with the victim named in the report. Information related to the report will only be shared with those who need to know to ensure the victim’s safety and begin an administrative investigation.”* The passage includes a link to send an email to [docsecosopreainvestigations@wisconsin.gov](mailto:docsecosopreainvestigations@wisconsin.gov).

Page ten of the DAI *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook states, *“If you experience, witness, or suspect sexual abuse or sexual harassment you can report in ANY of these ways: Tell ANY staff person. Send a request to ANY staff person. Call the PREA Reporting Hotline. Tell a family member, friend, or outside support person; they may report on your behalf by telling any staff person or submitting a report at [www.doc.wi.gov](http://www.doc.wi.gov) (click on ‘Prison Rape Elimination Act’). File a complaint. Contact local law enforcement.”* The DAI *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook is available to the public on the agency’s website at [PIOCPREAHandbook.pdf \(wi.gov\)](#).

The *WSPF Inmate Handbook* is available to the public on the facility’s website at [WSPFInmateHandbookEnglish.pdf \(wi.gov\)](#).

DOC has created a Third-Party poster (in English and Spanish) for the entrance and in visiting areas of the facility with the following information:

*“Wisconsin Department of Corrections has ZERO TOLERANCE for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. To report on behalf of an PIOC: Tell ANY staff person. Go to [www.doc.wi.gov](http://www.doc.wi.gov). Click on Prison Rape Elimination Act. Contact local law enforcement.”*

	<p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of third-party reporting as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.61</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #410.50.04, <i>Support Services &amp; Retaliation Monitoring</i>  DOC PREA training curriculum  DOC First Responder cards  Interview with facility head  Interview with PREA director  Interviews with random staff  Interviews with medical and mental health staff</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, <i>“Staff members shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties; promptly document any verbal reports [§115.51(c), §115.351(c)]; and immediately report [§115.61(a), §115.361(a)]:</i></p> <p><i>a. Any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the DOC;</i></p> <p><i>b. Any incidents of retaliation against PIOC’s or staff who reported such an incident; and/or</i></p> <p><i>c. Any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.</i></p> <p><i>Reports shall be immediately reported to a supervisor who is not the subject of the allegation, unless reporting to such person compromises the safety of the alleged</i></p>

*victim, witness(es) or reporter. In those instances, a private report shall be made to the PREA Office or submitted electronically via the DOC's public website [§115.51(d), §115.351(e)]."*

DOC staff training directs that all employees *"...must accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties"* and *"...must report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is a part of the DOC"*. They are required to *"...report any incidents of retaliation against offenders or employees who reported an incident, and report any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation."* The reports must be immediately provided to a supervisor who is not the subject of the allegation, unless reporting compromises the safety of the alleged victim, any witnesses, or the reporter. In those cases, the report shall be made to the PREA Office, local law enforcement, or submitted electronically via the DOC's website.

Interviews with random staff indicated they are aware of their responsibility to immediately report, as required by agency policy, staff training, and the standard.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, *"Staff members shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment report to anyone other than to supervisors, investigators, and designated officials. Such information shall be limited to information necessary to make treatment, investigation and other security and management decisions [§115.61(b), §115.361(c)]."*

DAI Policy #410.50.04 states on page three, *"When working with an alleged victim, the VSC shall maintain an appropriate degree of confidentiality at all times. Apart from discussing with designated supervisors, staff may not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse incident to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions."*

DOC staff training directs, *"Apart from reporting to designated supervisors, staff shall not reveal any knowledge, suspicion, or information related to sexual abuse other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions."*

DOC has created a series of First Responder cards based on position - Community Corrections staff, Healthcare staff, Youth Counselor, Non-Security staff, and Security

staff. The card has a notice of confidentiality as a reminder - *“Apart from reporting to designated supervisors, staff shall not reveal any knowledge, suspicion, or information related to sexual abuse other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.”*

Interviews with random staff indicated they are aware of their responsibility to keep information related to sexual abuse confidential, as required by agency policy, staff training and the standard.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, *“Medical and mental health practitioners shall be required to report sexual abuse and to inform PIOC’s of the practitioner’s duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services [§115.61(c), §115.361(d)].”*

DOC-mandated training for all staff directs, *“Professionals, including correctional officers, teachers, medical and mental health clinicians, are mandated reporters.”*

DOC medical and mental health staff are required to report information regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews with those staff indicated they are aware of their responsibility. DOC PIOC’s sign an informed consent form prior to receiving services that states medical and mental health staff will report if PIOC’s disclose that they have been sexually assaulted or harassed by other PIOC’s or staff.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, *“If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult in accordance with State or local statute, the DOC shall report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws [§115.61(d), §115.361(b)].”*

There were no reports of this nature during the audit review period.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, *“The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources.”*

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of staff and agency reporting duties as it relates to PREA.

**115.62 Agency protection duties**

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

- WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses
- DOC Executive Directive 72
- DOC First Responder cards
- Interview with facility PREA Compliance Manager
- Interviews with random staff

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 13-14, *“When the department or facility learns that a PIOC is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the PIOC [§115.62, §115.362].”*

To ensure staff are aware of their role as a first responder, DOC has created a series of First Responder cards based on position – Community Corrections staff, Healthcare staff, Youth Counselor, Non-Security staff, and Security staff. The card directs staff to take the following action in the event there is suspicion of or a report of imminent harm:

- “Act to protect the offender from immediate harm.*
- Gather basic information about the offender’s risk.*
- Notify a security supervisor.*
- Document the reported concern and response.”*

Interviews with random staff indicated they are aware of their responsibility to take immediate action if they learn an PIOC is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The facility PREA Compliance manager indicated the facility did not have to take any immediate actions during the audit period due to an PIOC being at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.

	<p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of agency protection duties as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	---

<b>115.63</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  Interview with facility head/PREA Compliance Manager  Interviews with investigative staff</p> <p>(a-d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 12-13, <i>“Within 72 hours of receiving an allegation that a PIOC was the victim of sexual abuse while confined at another facility, the information shall be reported by the head, or designee, of the facility to the head, or designee, of the facility where the alleged abuse occurred [§115.63(a, b), §115.363(a, b)]. In the event the alleged victim is a juvenile, facility staff shall also notify the appropriate investigative agency [§115.363(a)]. All notifications shall be documented and the appointing authority that receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated [§115.63(c, d), §115.363(c, d)].”</i></p> <p>DOC has developed a template to be sent from the facility head where the allegation was received to the facility head where the allegation was reported to have occurred. The template reflects the language in the standard, and includes the reporting PIOC’s name, date of incident and incident details. The template is completed in the electronic database, which automatically notifies a list of recipients at the location where the allegation was reported to have occurred. If the report has not previously been responded to, the PCM notifies their warden, who then notifies the warden at the facility where the report was alleged to have occurred.</p> <p>The PAQ indicated that the facility received three allegations that a PIOC was abused</p>



	<p>while confined at another facility during the audit documentation period. All three notifications were reported back to the facility where the abuse was alleged to have occurred within the required time.</p> <p>Interviews with the facility head/PCM and investigative staff confirmed they are aware of these requirements.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of reporting to other confinement facilities as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.64</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC PREA Training Curriculum  DOC First Responder cards  Interviews with random staff</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, <i>“Upon learning of an allegation that a PIOC was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall, at a minimum [§115.64(a), §115.364(a)]:</i></p> <p><i>a. Separate the alleged victim and abuser;</i></p> <p><i>b. Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence;</i></p> <p><i>c. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth,</i></p>

*changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating; and*

*d. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating.”*

DOC Staff PREA training curriculum explains first responder actions may be different based on the employee’s position and may need to be adjusted based on the incident or reported information. The training states, *“For example, in an emergency situation you may have to separate the alleged victim and suspect or respond to acute medical needs, before notifying a supervisor or asking questions. Or, if the alleged incident happened long ago there may be no need to ask the victim to refrain from actions that could destroy or damage physical evidence.”*

To ensure staff are aware of their role as a first responder, DOC has created a series of First Responder cards based on position – Community Corrections staff, Healthcare staff, Youth Counselor, Non-Security staff, and Security staff.

The Security staff First Responder card reminds staff to ask basic questions; notify their supervisor immediately; separate the alleged victim and suspect; notify HSU/PSU; preserve and protect any crime scene; maintain custody of evidence; and document the incident and response.

During the audit review period there were three allegations of sexual abuse reported; none were reported within time to collection physical evidence. Interviews with random security staff indicated they understood their responsibilities.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, *“If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, the responder shall request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff [§115.64(b), §115.364(b)].”*

The Non-Security First Responder card reminds staff to ask basic questions; notify their supervisor immediately; request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence; and document the incident and response.

	<p>During the audit review period there were three allegations of sexual abuse reported; none were reported within time to collection physical evidence. Interviews with random non-security staff indicated they understood their responsibilities.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency is fully compliant with this standard of staff first responder duties as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.65</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  WSPF Coordinated Response Plan, February 2024</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, <i>“Each facility shall develop a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership [§115.65, §115.365].”</i></p> <p>DOC provides a template for facilities to be used as a guide during the construction of a facility-specific coordinated response plan. WSPF last revised their facility-specific plan February 2024, and it outlines the actions taken by facility staff in response to an incident of sexual assault. The response includes when the initial disclosure is within 120 hours of a sexual assault incident, investigative actions, the forensic examination, after action and follow-up care, court referral/presentation and the after-action review. The plan includes a flow chart for the process from report through investigation and a list of critical contacts for notification purposes.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p>

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of coordinated response as it relates to PREA.
--	---

<b>115.66</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>DOC Executive Directive 72  Interview with agency head/designee  Interview with facility head</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, <i>“Neither the DOC nor any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the DOC’s behalf shall enter into or renew any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the DOC’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any PIOC’s pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted [§115.66(a), §115.366(a)].”</i></p> <p>Interviews with the agency head and facility head confirmed they do not engage in any form of collective bargaining.</p> <p>(b) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of protecting people in custody from contact with abusers as it relates to PREA.</p>

<b>115.67</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>
---------------	--

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #410.50.04, *Support Services & Retaliation Monitoring*

DOC-2805 form, *Sexual Abuse Allegation Staff Retaliation Monitoring*

DOC-2767 form, *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Incident VSC Response Checklist*

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Review of investigative files

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 17, *“Each facility shall designate a staff member(s) to monitor retaliation to ensure that all PIOC’s and staff involved in the reporting or investigation of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment are protected [§115.67(a), §115.367(a)].”*

DAI Policy #410.50.04 states on page four, *“Following a report of sexual abuse, the VSC [Victim Services Coordinator] shall monitor the conduct and treatment of the reporter and alleged victim once every 30 days for at least 90 days. Monitoring efforts shall continue beyond 90 days if there is a continuing need. If the report is determined to be unfounded, efforts to monitor retaliation may be discontinued. In addition to monthly conversations with the reporter and victim, items to monitor include any inmate disciplinary reports, housing, or program changes. The VSC shall work with appropriate supervisors to promptly remedy retaliation concerns and document actions taken.”*

The facility PCM is tasked with monitoring staff members involved in the reporting or investigation of sexual abuse, using the DOC-2805 form. The facility Victim Services Coordinator is tasked with monitoring any PIOC’s involved in the reporting or investigation of sexual abuse, using the DOC-2767 form.

(b, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, *“For PIOC’s or staff members who express fear of retaliation, the facility shall take appropriate protective measures. [§115.67(b, e), §115.367(b, e)].”*

An interview with the facility PCM indicated there are multiple protective measures that can be taken for staff or PIOC’s who express fear of retaliation. For staff, it could

include a change of job assignment, shift, or transfer to another facility. For PIOC's, it could include a move to unit, change in programming or work assignment, or transfer to another facility.

(c-d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, *"For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the designated facility-based employee(s) shall monitor the conduct and treatment of the staff member(s) who reported the sexual abuse to determine if retaliation occurred. Monitoring shall be documented and may include reviews, performance evaluations or work reassignments. Employees shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. Monitoring beyond 90 days shall continue if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need [§115.67(c), §115.367(c)]."*

The warden (for staff members) and the facility Victim Services Coordinator (for PIOC's) monitor retaliation for at least 90 days or beyond when there is an ongoing need. Any status checks and follow-up action steps are documented.

WSPF did not receive any allegations of retaliation during the audit documentation period, as documented on the PAQ. An interview with the facility warden and the VSC indicated they are knowledgeable of the requirements associated with retaliation monitoring.

(f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, *"The DOC's obligation to monitor shall terminate if DOC determines that the allegation is unfounded [§115.67(f), §115.367(f)]."*

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of agency protection against retaliation as it relates to PREA.

<b>115.68</b>	<b>Post-allegation protective custody</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>

	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, <i>“Any use of restricted status housing to protect a PIOC who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse shall be subject to the requirements of §115.43 and §115.343 as found within Placement (section XIII.) [§115.68, §115.368].”</i></p> <p>The facility PREA Compliance Manager reported that WSPF did not use segregated housing to protect an PIOC who was alleged to have suffered sexual abuse during the audit period. There were three allegations of sexual abuse reported at WSPF during the audit documentation period. None of the PIOC’s who reported sexual abuse were still incarcerated at WSPF and able to be interviewed during the onsite phase of the audit.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of post-allegation protective custody as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	---

<b>115.71</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC <i>Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Investigations</i> training curriculum  DOC <i>Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Investigations Resource Guide</i>  DAI Policy #306.00.15, <i>Inmate Investigations</i>  DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, <i>Employee Disciplinary Investigations</i>  Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</p>

Interviews with investigative staff

Review of administrative and criminal investigations

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, *“The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources. DOC shall maintain a policy(ies) that governs the conduct of such investigations [§115.22(a, d), §115.322(a, d), [§115.71(a), §115.371(a)].”*

DAI Policy #306.00.15 indicates that investigations will be completed in a timely manner and strongly recommends an investigation be completed within 30 days.

The Internal Affairs Office (IAO) was expanded in July 2021 to enhance the agency’s ability to provide fair, impartial, thorough, and timely investigations into allegations of misconduct. IAO investigates all new allegations of sexual harassment and sexual abuse where a staff member is the subject.

Per DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, all PREA investigations are retained in the PREA Office.

A review of investigative files indicated there were three allegations of staff sexual abuse and three allegations of PIOC-to-PIOC sexual harassment reported during the audit documentation period. This auditor reviewed all six investigative reports. The facility received 107 allegations during the audit documentation period. This auditor selected 13 additional allegations to review, to ensure the facility was appropriately coding allegations.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, *“Employees who investigate incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall receive specialized training on techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Garrity/Oddsden warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecutorial referral. The DOC shall maintain documentation of training completion [§115.34, §115.334, §115.71(b), §115.371(b), §115.371(b)].”*

This auditor reviewed the DOC *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations* training curriculum and accompanying *Resource Guide*. The training includes instruction on interviewing sexual abuse victims, the proper use of Miranda and



Garrity warnings, evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. Interviews with investigative staff indicated they were knowledgeable in each aspect of sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations.

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304 states on page four, *"In investigations regarding conduct which could constitute a criminal offense, pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's decision in Garrity v. New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493 (1967), a public employee's refusal to answer cannot be used as grounds for discharge where he/she is required to answer the questions unless he/she has been warned that the statements cannot be used against the employee in criminal proceedings. Therefore, the investigators must give a Garrity Warning to the subject of the investigation. Investigators will utilize the Employee Investigation Reports —Investigations Involving Criminal Matters (DOA 15807 — G) form to document which of the following two options were read to the employee prior to starting an investigatory interview."*

The "following two options" noted in the policy are to either require answers from the employee and withhold any statements from a criminal proceeding, or to allow the employee the option of remaining silent or answers questions without withholding any statements from a criminal proceeding.

DOC has a total of 617 trained investigators, to include 10 WSPF facility investigators. The PREA Director's office maintains a spreadsheet noting all trained investigators employed by the agency. This auditor reviewed training dates for all facility staff to ensure the required training was received.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *"Investigators shall preserve and/or collect direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator [§115.71(c), §115.371(c)]."*

An interview with investigative staff indicated they are knowledgeable on evidence collection, interviewing and interrogation techniques and the requirement to review prior reports of sexual abuse involving the alleged perpetrator.

(d) An interview with investigative staff indicated they do not conduct compelled interviews; such interviews may be conducted by local law enforcement.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *“The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person’s status as PIOC or staff member. The DOC shall not require a PIOC who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation [§115.71(e), §115.371(f)].”*

An interview with investigative staff indicated they are conducting credibility assessments properly, and do not require incarcerated survivors to submit to a polygraph or other truth-telling device as a condition for investigation.

(f-g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *“Administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse [§115.71(f), §115.371(g)]... Administrative investigative reports shall include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments and the investigative facts and findings [§115.71(f, i), §115.371(g, j)].”*

An interview with investigative staff indicated they are knowledgeable on report writing requirements and conducting credibility assessments. Agency and facility investigators do not conduct criminal investigations.

(h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *“Allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior shall be referred for investigation to local law enforcement. All referrals to law enforcement shall be documented. The policy describing such referrals, in addition to the investigative responsibilities of the DOC and local law enforcement, shall be published and maintained on the DOC’s website [§115.22(b, c), §115.322(b, c), §115.71(h), §115.371(i)].”*

DAI Policy #306.00.15 states on page four, *“All reports of sexual abuse shall be reported to law enforcement by the facility PREA Compliance Manager/designee. Reports of sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior shall be referred to law enforcement.”*

During the audit documentation period, WSPF did not have any allegations for prosecution.

(i) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *“Administrative and criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report to be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the DOC, plus ten years.”*

(j) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *“The departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or the DOC, or the recantation of the allegation, shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation [§115.71(j), §115.371(d, k)].”*

(k) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

(l) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, *“When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, the facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall work to remain informed about the progress of the investigation [§115.71(l), §115.371(m)].”*

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of criminal and administrative agency investigations as it relates to PREA.

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses</li> <li>DOC Executive Directive 72</li> <li>DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, <i>Employee Disciplinary Investigations</i></li> <li>DAI Policy #306.00.15, <i>Inmate Investigations</i></li> <li>Interview with the facility head</li> <li>Interview with investigative staff</li> <li>Review of administrative and criminal investigations</li> </ul>

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, “*The DOC shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether the allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated [§115.72, §115.372].*”

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304 defines *preponderance of evidence* on page two as *more likely than not. It is the evidentiary standard when determining if an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is substantiated.*

DAI Policy #306.00.15 defines preponderance of evidence on page two as more likely than not; a burden of proof, which requires more than 50% of the evidence supports a specific outcome.

Interviews with the facility head and investigative staff indicated they are aware of this standard in determining if allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. This auditor’s review of seven administrative investigations indicated determinations are appropriately made.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of evidentiary standard for administrative investigations as it relates to PREA.

<b>115.73</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC forms 2768, 2768A, 2768B and 2768C - <i>Investigative Finding</i>  DAI <i>Sexual Abuse &amp; Sexual Harassment Prevention &amp; Intervention</i> handbook</p>

Review of administrative and criminal investigations  
Six notifications to PIOC  
Interview with PREA Compliance Manager  
Interview with investigative staff

(a-b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 16-17, *“Following an investigation of an allegation that a PIOC suffered sexual abuse in a DOC facility, the facility shall inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. If the DOC did not conduct the investigation, it shall request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the alleged victim.”*

The DAI *Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention* handbook states on page 13, *“Following an investigation, a report will be determined substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. You will be notified in writing of the outcome.”*

DOC and WSPF utilize a series of form letters to make notifications to PIOC. The information on the form includes the victim’s name and identification number, identification numbers assigned to the case, the date the case was closed, and the outcome of each allegation. Interviews with the agency PREA Director, facility PCM, and investigative staff indicated they obtain the appropriate information from investigative agencies to inform PIOC. WSPF completed six investigations during the audit documentation period. This auditor reviewed notification letters for all investigations.

(c, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, *“Following a substantiated or unsubstantiated allegation of staff-on-PIOC sexual abuse the DOC shall inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, whenever the staff member is no longer posted within the alleged victim’s unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; or the DOC learns that the staff member has been indicted or convicted on a charge related to the initial allegation of sexual abuse [§115.73(c, e), §115.373(c, e)].”*

The DOC 2768 forms include checkboxes to indicate if the alleged staff member is no longer posted in the PIOC’s assigned living unit; is no longer employed at the facility; has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse committed within the facility; has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; and not applicable.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, *“Following an allegation of PIOC-on-PIOC sexual abuse, the DOC shall inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, whenever the DOC learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted or convicted on a charge related to the initial allegation of sexual abuse [§115.73(d, e), §115.373(d, e)].”*

The DOC 2768 forms include checkboxes to indicate if the alleged PIOC suspect has been indicted or convicted on a charged related to sexual abuse committed within the facility. There were not any notifications of this nature required during the audit documentation period.

(f) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of reporting to PIOC as it relates to PREA.

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses            DOC Executive Directive 2, <i>Employee Discipline</i>            DOC Executive Directive 72            Review of criminal and administrative investigations</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, <i>“Employees who are found to have violated the DOC sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation policies shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination [115.76(a), §115.376(a)].”</i></p>

	<p>WSPF had no substantiated cases of staff/PIOC sexual abuse during the audit documentation period.</p> <p>(b, d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, <i>“Termination is the presumptive sanction for an employee who engaged in sexual abuse. All terminations for violations of the DOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, including resignations that would have resulted in termination if not for the resignation, shall be reported to any relevant licensing bodies [§115.76(b, d), §115.376 (b, d)].”</i></p> <p>WSPF had no substantiated cases of staff/PIOC sexual abuse during the audit documentation period.</p> <p>(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 17-18, <i>“Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the violation, the staff member’s disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories [§115.76(c), §115.376(c)].”</i></p> <p>DOC Executive Directive 2 states on page five, <i>“If it is determined a work rule violation has occurred, the appointing authority will consider all of the following factors in determining the appropriate level of Discipline: aggravating or mitigating circumstances surrounding the violation; progression schedule; just cause for Discipline; and, department policies and procedures.”</i></p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of disciplinary sanctions for staff as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.77</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

	<p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  Interview with the facility head/PREA Compliance Manager  Interview with Agency PREA Director  Interviews with contractors and volunteers</p> <p>(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, <i>“Any volunteer or contractor who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with PIOC’s and shall be reported to relevant licensing bodies.”</i></p> <p>The PAQ indicated that WSPF did not have any contractors or volunteers who engaged in the sexual abuse of a PIOC during the audit documentation period.</p> <p>(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, <i>“Appropriate remedial measures shall be taken by the facility to ensure the safety of PIOC’s in contact with volunteers and contractors [§115.77, §115.377)].”</i></p> <p>The PAQ indicated during interview that WSPF did not have any instances of remedial measures with contractors or volunteers during the audit documentation period.</p> <p>Interviews with the facility head, agency PREA Director, and facility PCM indicated that any contractor suspected of engaging in any prohibited activity is immediately removed from the facility and prohibited from contact with PIOC’s. Interviews with contractors and volunteers indicated they are aware of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy and action the agency will take if they engage in prohibited conduct.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of corrective action for contractors and volunteers as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	---

<b>115.78</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>



The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

Agency Administrative Code Chapter 303, *Discipline*

Interviews with medical and mental health staff

Interview with PIOC disciplinary officer

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, *“PIOCs who have committed PIOC-on-PIOC sexual abuse are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process [§115.78(a), §115.378(a)].”*

The PAQ indicated that WSPF did not have any substantiated occurrences of PIOC-on-PIOC sexual abuse during the audit documentation period. WSPF did not receive any allegations of PIOC-on-PIOC sexual abuse during the audit documentation period.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, *“Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the violation, the PIOC’s disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other PIOCs with similar histories [§115.78(b), §115.378(b)]. ”*

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, *“The disciplinary process shall consider whether a perpetrating PIOC’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to their behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed [§115.78(c), §115.378(c)].”*

If there are concerns about the PIOC’s mental health, the PIOC disciplinary officer may request psychological input as appropriate regarding the mental health status of seriously mentally ill PIOCs at the time of the behavior. At WSPF, the PIOC disciplinary officer for major infractions is a captain.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, *“The facility shall consider requiring perpetrating PIOCs to participate in interventions, such as therapy or counseling, to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse [§115.78(d)].”*

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, *“A PIOC may only be Disciplined for*

*sexual contact with a staff member upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact [§115.78(e), §115.378(e)].”*

The PAQ indicated that no instances of sexual contact with a staff member of this nature occurred during the audit documentation period. WSPF PIOC's who are victims of staff sexual misconduct are not Disciplined.

(f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, *“Reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence to substantiate the allegation [§115.78(f), §115.378(f)].”*

WSPF did not discipline any PIOC's for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith during the audit period. Interviews with the agency PREA Director and facility PCM indicated allegations are determined to be in bad faith only when there is conclusive evidence the allegation did not occur, such as through video surveillance records.

(g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, *“While consensual sexual activity between PIOC's is prohibited in the DOC facilities, the DOC may not deem consensual sexual activities as sexual abuse if it is determined that the activity is not coerced [§115.78(g), §115.378(g)].”*

Agency Administrative Code 303.14 states, *“An inmate who does any of the following is guilty of sexual conduct:*

- a) Requests, hires, or tells another person to have sexual intercourse, sexual contact, or engage in sexual conduct.*
- b) Exposes the inmate's own intimate parts to another person for the purpose of sexual arousal or gratification.*
- c) Has contact with or performs acts with an animal that would be sexual intercourse or sexual contact if with another person.*
- d) Clutches, fondles, or touches the inmate's own intimate body parts, whether clothed or unclothed, while observable by another.*
- e) Simulates a sexual act while observable by another.*
- f) Kissing, hand holding, hugging, stroking, or other physical displays of affection*

except for that allowed under department policy.

g) *Engages in sexual harassment including repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature.*"

Agency Administrative Code 303.15 states, "An inmate who does any of the following is guilty of sexual contact or intercourse:

a) *Has sexual intercourse.*

b) *Has sexual contact.*

c) *Commits an act of sexual gratification with another person."*

Both chapter notations indicate that consensual acts are prohibited. The facility PCM indicated upon interview that while sexual conduct/contact are prohibited, they are not treated as sexual abuse as defined by the PREA standards.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of disciplinary sanctions for PIOC as it relates to PREA.

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #410.30.01, Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness &amp; Sexual Victimization DAI Policy #500.70.01, Mental Health Screening, Assessment &amp; Referral DOC-1923 form, <i>Limits of Confidentiality of Health Information</i> Interviews with staff responsible for risk screening</p>

Interviews with medical and mental health staff  
Interviews with PIOC's who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening  
Review of PIOC files

(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages nine-ten, *"If the intake screening, transfer screening or rescreening indicates a PIOC has previously experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional or community setting, staff shall ensure the PIOC is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the screening. If the screening indicates a PIOC has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether in an institutional or community setting, staff shall ensure the PIOC is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the screening [§115.81(a, b), §115.381(a, b)]."*

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page five, *"If the screening indicates an inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization and/or previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in a confinement setting or in the community, the inmate shall be offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. If accepted, the screener shall make a prompt referral to PSU or HSU. A follow-up meeting shall be held within 14 days of the intake screening."*

If the screening indicates the PIOC has experienced prior sexual victimization or perpetrated sexual abuse, the PIOC is asked if they would like a follow up meeting. Their answer is documented on the screening form. If they indicate they do wish to have a follow up meeting, and electronic medical record note is automatically sent to PSU staff. There were 31 PIOC's at WSPF who reported prior victimization or perpetration during the audit documentation period. Nine PIOC's indicated they experienced victimization and wanted a follow up meeting. No PIOC's indicated they perpetrated sexual abuse and wanted a follow up meeting. This auditor reviewed screening records to ensure referrals took place as required. This auditor interviewed four PIOC's who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening and requested a follow up meeting with mental health staff.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *"Appropriate controls shall be placed on the dissemination of information gathered from the screenings to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the PIOC's detriment by staff or other PIOC's [§115.41(i), §115.341(e)]. Further, any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness occurring in an institutional setting shall be confidential and strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff members, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments or as otherwise required by law [§115.81(d), §115.381(c)]."*

Interviews with staff indicated they are aware of and adhere to the requirements around confidentiality.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, *“Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from PIOC’s before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the PIOC is under the age of 18 [§115.81(e), §115.381(d)].”*

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page five, *“Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from inmates and document such consent on a DOC-1163A before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in a confinement setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18.”*

DOC medical and mental health staff utilize form DOC-1923 to obtain informed consent from PIOC’s. The form states, *“Health care providers must report otherwise confidential information to the appropriate DOC authorities if it raises concern about a threat to you, a DAI or DJC correctional facility, community corrections operations, and/or public safety. This may include...reports of confinement-based sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or retaliation related to reporting either.”*

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of medical and mental care as it relates to PREA.

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:  WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #500.30.19, *Sexual Abuse – Health Services Unit Procedure in the Event of Sexual Abuse*

DAI Policy #316.00.01, *PIOC Co-Payment for Health Services*

Interviews with medical and mental health staff

(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, *“Victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment [§115.82(a), §115.382(a)]. In the event that no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, security staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim and shall immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioner(s) [§115.82(b), §115.352(b)].”* Page 15 states, *“The DOC’s medical response shall include the timely dissemination of information and access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis.”*

DAI Policy #500.30.19 states on page four, *“The medical plan of care shall include...timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment without cost to the inmate patient [and] transfer to offsite for a SANE assessment when determine evidentiarily or medically appropriate by health care staff in consultation with the SANE.”*

The PAQ indicated no PIOC at WSPF received a forensic medical exam during the audit period. Interviews with medical staff indicated incarcerated survivors are offered sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis medication and treatment during the forensic medical exam and upon their return to the facility. Medical and mental health referrals are documented in the investigation reports for each incident.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, *“All medical and mental health treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident, and in a manner consistent with the community level of care [§115.82(d), §115.382(d), §115.83(c, g), §115.383(c, g)].”*

An attachment DAI Policy #316.00.01 shows that crisis intervention, evaluation, and treatment related to sexual abuse in confinement are provided without co-payment by the PIOC patient.

	<p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of access to emergency medical and mental health services as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	---

<b>115.83</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy 500.70.01, <i>Mental Health Screening, Assessment &amp; Referral</i>  Review of PIOC files  Interviews with medical and mental health staff</p> <p>(a-c, f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, <i>“The facility shall offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all PIOC’s who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any confinement setting. The evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities or their release from custody [§115.83(a, b), §115.383(a, b)]. Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections.”</i></p> <p>Files for each PIOC selected for a targeted or random interview were reviewed. Referrals to mental health were completed as required when an PIOC indicated they wished to speak with someone. Interviews with four PIOC’s who reported previously perpetrating sexual abuse or prior victimization of sexual abuse and requested a follow up meeting with mental health providers indicated they had been offered the opportunity.</p> <p>Interviews with medical and mental health services staff members indicated ongoing treatment is provided to victims of sexual abuse, as well as to known PIOC-on-PIOC abusers. When asked about the comparison with a community-level of care, they indicated they believed the facility’s standard of care to be higher, as PIOC’s are</p>

scheduled for appointments and do not have to seek these services out on their own.

Interviews with medical staff indicated initial testing for sexually transmitted infections would occur at the hospital during the forensic medical examination, but any follow up testing would occur at the facility. Incarcerated survivors who declined to receive a forensic medical examination would have any testing conducted at the facility, upon their request.

(d-e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, *“Victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration shall be offered pregnancy tests, in addition to timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to lawful pregnancy related medical services [§115.83(d-f), §115.383(d-f)].”*

WSPF did not have any female PIOC or transgender PIOC who may require pregnancy-related services at the time of the onsite review or since their last audit.

(g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, *“Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections.”* Page 14 states, *“All medical and mental health treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident, and in a manner consistent with the community level of care [§115.82(d), §115.382(d), §115.83(c, g), §115.383(c, g)].”*

There were no incarcerated survivors at WSPF who had received forensic medical exams within the audit period to be interviewed by this auditor, to determine if they had been held financially responsible for any charges related to a forensic medical exam or STI prophylaxis or treatment. Interviews with medical staff indicated the usual PIOC co-pay for medical exams is waived for these circumstances.

(h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, *“Further, facilities shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known PIOC on- PIOC abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners [§115.83(h), §115.383(h)].”*

DAI Policy 500.70.01 states on page four, *“PSU staff shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of when DOC staff first learn of the abuse history.”* PSU staff are generally informed of



	<p>PIOC-on-PIOC abusers through risk screenings or after a current investigation has substantiated sexual abuse. DOC staff are required to refer the PIOC to PSU within two business days. Treatment is offered when deemed appropriate.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	---

<b>115.86</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DAI Policy #410.50.01, <i>Sexual Abuse Incident Review</i>  DOC-2863 form, <i>Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR) Form - PREA</i>  SAIRs completed at WSPF during the audit documentation period  Interview with the facility head/PREA Compliance Manager  Interview with an incident review team member</p> <p>(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, <i>“All facilities shall conduct a review within 30 days of the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. The team shall consist of upper-level management officials with input from supervisors, investigators, and medical and mental health practitioners [§115.86(a-c), §115.386(a-c)].”</i></p> <p>DAI Policy #410.50.01 states the facility head and facility PCM will determine the composition of the committee, based on the nature of the incident, but at a minimum the team will include the deputy facility head/superintendent, security direction, PCM, PSU Supervisor/designee, HSU Supervisor/designee, Victim Services Coordinator, and investigator.</p>

As reported on the PAQ, there were two sexual abuse incident reviews completed by WSPF during the audit documentation period. This auditor reviewed both incident reviews. Both were conducted within 30 days of investigational outcome.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 18-19, *"The review team shall [§115.86(d), §115.386(d)]:*

*1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse;*

*2. Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex identification, status or perceived status; gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility;*

*3. Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;*

*4. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts;*

*5. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff members; and*

*6. Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made in the above items, and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA Compliance Manager."*

Notes about these considerations are made on form DOC-2863.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, *"The facility shall implement the recommendations for improvement, or shall document its reasons for not doing so [§115.86(e), §115.386(e)]."*

Recommendations for improvement or reasons improvements cannot be made are noted on the reverse of form DOC-2863. The PCM modifies the DOC-2863 with applicable updates, as improvements are discussed or implemented.

As reported on the PAQ, there were two sexual abuse incident reviews completed by WSPF during the audit documentation period. This auditor reviewed both incident reviews. Neither of the incident reviews included recommendations from the review team to address identified concerns.

	<p>Interviews with the facility head, facility PCM and other potential members of the incident review team indicated they were aware of the required considerations.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with and exceeds this standard of sexual abuse incident reviews as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	---

<b>115.87</b>	<b>Data collection</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC website  DOC <i>PREA Annual Reports</i></p> <p>(a-f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, <i>“The DOC shall collect accurate, uniform data from incident-based documents such as reports, investigation files and sexual abuse incident reviews for every allegation of sexual abuse within facilities, including facilities with which it contracts for the confinement of PIOC’s, using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The extracted data, at minimum, shall include the information to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Department of Justice Survey of Sexual Victimization. This data shall be aggregated annually, reported to the Department of Justice as requested and, with personal identifiers removed, posted publicly to the DOC’s website annually [§115.87, §115.387, §115.89(b, c), §115.389(b, c)].”</i></p> <p>The annual reports for 2010 through 2023 are available on the agency website at <a href="http://www.wisconsin.gov">DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov)</a>. The website also provides the public with access to the BJS Summary forms for years 2012-2021.</p>

	<p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of data collection as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.88</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC website  DOC <i>PREA Annual Reports</i></p> <p>(a-d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, <i>“The data collected and aggregated shall be analyzed to assess and improve effectiveness of the DOC’s sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices, and training by identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility as well as the DOC as a whole. The report shall, additionally, include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from previous years and shall provide an assessment of the DOC’s progress in addressing sexual abuse. Corrective action reports shall also be posted publicly to the DOC’s website. The DOC may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility but must indicate the nature of the material redacted [§115.88, §115.388].”</i></p> <p>DOC collects and reviews data to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response polices, practices and training to identify problem areas, take corrective action on an ongoing basis, compare the current year’s data/corrective action with data/corrective action from previous years, and assess the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse within its facilities. The report is prepared by the agency PREA Director and signed by the DOC Secretary.</p> <p>The annual reports for 2010 through 2023 are available on the agency website at</p>

	<p><u>DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov).</u></p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of data review for corrective action as it relates to PREA.</p>
--	--

<b>115.89</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC Executive Directive 72  DOC website  DOC <i>PREA Annual Reports</i>  Interview with Agency PREA Director  Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</p> <p>(a, d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, <i>“All data shall be securely retained and maintained for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection [§115.89(a, d), §115.389(a, d)].”</i> The agency and facility utilize an electronic database to collect and secure data, and includes all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.</p> <p>(b-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, <i>“The DOC shall collect accurate, uniform data from incident-based documents such as reports, investigation files and sexual abuse incident reviews for every allegation of sexual abuse within facilities, including facilities with which it contracts for the confinement of PIOC’s, using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The extracted data, at minimum, shall include the information to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Department of Justice Survey of Sexual Victimization. This data shall be aggregated annually, reported to the Department of Justice as requested and, with personal identifiers removed, posted publicly to the DOC’s website annually [§115.87, §115.387, §115.89(b, c), §115.389(b, c)].”</i></p>

Data from the agency's public and privately-operated facilities is maintained in an electronic database. The annual reports for 2010 through 2023 are available on the agency website at [DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act \(wi.gov\)](http://wi.gov). The reports on the website do not contain any personal identifiers. The website also provides the public with access to the BJS Summary forms for years 2012-2021.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of data storage, publication, and destruction as it relates to PREA.

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC website  Interview with Agency PREA Director</p> <p>(a) DOC operates oversees 36 adult prisons. The agency began receiving audits in the first year of the first cycle. All audits were completed by DOJ-certified auditors, and all final audit reports have been posted on DOC's website, available to the public at <a href="http://wi.gov">DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov)</a>. During the prior three-year audit period, Cycle Three, the agency was unable to ensure that each facility under their control was audited at least once. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, no facilities were audited in Cycle Three, Year Two.</p> <p>(b) This is the third year of Cycle Four. During the second year of Cycle Four, the agency ensured that one-third of their facilities (12) were audited.</p> <p>(h, l, m, n) While onsite at WSPF, this auditor was provided with access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the facility. The auditor was permitted to conduct</p>

	<p>private interviews with staff and PIOCs. PIOCs were permitted to send confidential correspondence to the auditor, prior to the onsite review.</p> <p>There were no barriers to conducting the audit.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.403</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:</p> <p>WSPF Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses  DOC website  Interview with Agency PREA Director</p> <p>(f) DOC operates oversees 36 adult prisons. The agency began receiving audits in the first year of the first cycle. All audits were completed by DOJ-certified auditors, and all final audit reports have been posted on DOC's website, available to the public at <a href="#">DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov)</a>.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard.</p>

<b>Appendix: Provision Findings</b>		
<b>115.11 (a)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.11 (b)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
<b>115.11 (c)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
<b>115.12 (a)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates</b>	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes
<b>115.12 (b)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates</b>	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure	yes



	that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	
<b>115.13 (a)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into	yes

	consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
<b>115.13 (b)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
<b>115.13 (c)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
<b>115.13 (d)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

<b>115.14 (a)</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
<b>115.14 (b)</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
<b>115.14 (c)</b>	<b>Youthful inmates</b>	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
<b>115.15 (a)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
<b>115.15 (b)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the	na

	facility does not have female inmates.)	
<b>115.15 (c)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	na
<b>115.15 (d)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
<b>115.15 (e)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
<b>115.15 (f)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

<b>115.16 (a)</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication	yes

	with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
<b>115.16 (b)</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
<b>115.16 (c)</b>	<b>Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
<b>115.17 (a)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who	yes

	may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
<b>115.17 (b)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
<b>115.17 (c)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.17 (d)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes

<b>115.17 (e)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
<b>115.17 (f)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
<b>115.17 (g)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
<b>115.17 (h)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
<b>115.18 (a)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
<b>115.18 (b)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	



	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
<b>115.21 (a)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.21 (b)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.21 (c)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
<b>115.21 (d)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
<b>115.21 (e)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
<b>115.21 (f)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.21 (h)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
<b>115.22 (a)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	

	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.22 (b)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
<b>115.22 (c)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.31 (a)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes

	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
<b>115.31 (b)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
<b>115.31 (c)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
<b>115.31 (d)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.32 (a)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	

	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
<b>115.32 (b)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
<b>115.32 (c)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.33 (a)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.33 (b)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.33 (c)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes

	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
<b>115.33 (d)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
<b>115.33 (e)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
<b>115.33 (f)</b>	<b>Inmate education</b>	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
<b>115.34 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.34 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and	yes

	Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.34 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.35 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or	yes

	suspicious of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	
<b>115.35 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	yes
<b>115.35 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
<b>115.35 (d)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
<b>115.41 (a)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
<b>115.41 (b)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
<b>115.41 (c)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective	yes



	screening instrument?	
<b>115.41 (d)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10)	yes

	Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	
<b>115.41 (e)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.41 (f)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes
<b>115.41 (g)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
<b>115.41 (h)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
<b>115.41 (i)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive	yes

	information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	
<b>115.42 (a) Use of screening information</b>		
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
<b>115.42 (b) Use of screening information</b>		
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
<b>115.42 (c) Use of screening information</b>		
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would	yes

	present management or security problems?	
<b>115.42 (d)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	no
<b>115.42 (e)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	no
<b>115.42 (f)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
<b>115.42 (g)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing	yes

	solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	
<b>115.43 (a)</b>	<b>Protective Custody</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
<b>115.43 (b)</b>	<b>Protective Custody</b>	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
<b>115.43 (c)</b>	<b>Protective Custody</b>	

	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
<b>115.43 (d) Protective Custody</b>		
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
<b>115.43 (e) Protective Custody</b>		
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
<b>115.51 (a) Inmate reporting</b>		
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.51 (b) Inmate reporting</b>		
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain	yes

	anonymous upon request?	
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
<b>115.51 (c)</b>	<b>Inmate reporting</b>	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.51 (d)</b>	<b>Inmate reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
<b>115.52 (a)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
<b>115.52 (b)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (c)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from	yes

	this standard.)	
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (d)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (e)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (f)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	



	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.52 (g)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.53 (a)</b>	<b>Inmate access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers,	na

	including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
<b>115.53 (b)</b>	<b>Inmate access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.53 (c)</b>	<b>Inmate access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
<b>115.54 (a)</b>	<b>Third-party reporting</b>	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
<b>115.61 (a)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual	yes

	abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	
<b>115.61 (b)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
<b>115.61 (c)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
<b>115.61 (d)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.61 (e)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
<b>115.62 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
<b>115.63 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
<b>115.63 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes

<b>115.63 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
<b>115.63 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
<b>115.64 (a)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
<b>115.64 (b)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
<b>115.65 (a)</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in	yes

	response to an incident of sexual abuse?	
<b>115.66 (a)</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers</b>	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
<b>115.67 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
<b>115.67 (b)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
<b>115.67 (c)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of	yes

	sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
<b>115.67 (d)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
<b>115.67 (e)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
<b>115.68 (a)</b>	<b>Post-allegation protective custody</b>	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
<b>115.71 (a)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations	yes

	of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.71 (b)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
<b>115.71 (c)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
<b>115.71 (d)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
<b>115.71 (e)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
<b>115.71 (f)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes

	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
<b>115.71 (g)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
<b>115.71 (h)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
<b>115.71 (i)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
<b>115.71 (j)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
<b>115.71 (l)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
<b>115.72 (a)</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
<b>115.73 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes



<b>115.73 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
<b>115.73 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
<b>115.73 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually	yes

	abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	
<b>115.73 (e)</b>	<b>Reporting to inmates</b>	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
<b>115.76 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
<b>115.76 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.76 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.76 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.77 (a)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.77 (b)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
<b>115.78 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
<b>115.78 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.78 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
<b>115.78 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
<b>115.78 (e)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
<b>115.78 (f)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish	yes

	evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	
<b>115.78 (g)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</b>	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
<b>115.81 (a)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
<b>115.81 (b)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
<b>115.81 (c)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	na
<b>115.81 (d)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
<b>115.81 (e)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior	yes

	sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	
<b>115.82 (a)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
<b>115.82 (b)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.82 (c)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
<b>115.82 (d)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
<b>115.83 (a)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
<b>115.83 (b)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
<b>115.83 (c)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse</b>	

	<b>victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
<b>115.83 (d)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
<b>115.83 (e)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
<b>115.83 (f)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
<b>115.83 (g)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
<b>115.83 (h)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes

<b>115.86 (a)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
<b>115.86 (b)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
<b>115.86 (c)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.86 (d)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
<b>115.86 (e)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes

<b>115.87 (a)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
<b>115.87 (b)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
<b>115.87 (c)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
<b>115.87 (d)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
<b>115.87 (e)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes
<b>115.87 (f)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
<b>115.88 (a)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant	yes



	to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	
<b>115.88 (b)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.88 (c)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.88 (d)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
<b>115.89 (a)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
<b>115.89 (b)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.89 (c)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
<b>115.89 (d)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
<b>115.401 (a)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	

	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
<b>115.401 (b)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	yes
<b>115.401 (h)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
<b>115.401 (i)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
<b>115.401 (m)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
<b>115.401 (n)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
<b>115.403</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>	

<b>(f)</b>		
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes