

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Marshall E. Sherrer Correctional Center

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 10/24/2025

Auditor Certification

The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.



No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.



I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.



Auditor Full Name as Signed: Amanda van Arcken

Date of Signature: 10/24/2025

AUDITOR INFORMATION

Auditor name: van Arcken, Amanda

Email: amanda.vanarcken@doc.oregon.gov

Start Date of On-Site Audit: 09/29/2025

End Date of On-Site Audit: 09/29/2025

FACILITY INFORMATION

Facility name: Marshall E. Sherrer Correctional Center

Facility physical address: 1318 North 14th Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin - 53205

Facility mailing address:

Primary Contact

Name:	Andre Cartagena
Email Address:	Andre.Cartagena@wisconsin.gov
Telephone Number:	1-414-640-9712

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Clinton Bryant
Email Address:	Clinton.Bryant@Wisconsin.Gov
Telephone Number:	608 240-5531

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	Andre Cartagena
Email Address:	andre.cartagena@wisconsin.gov
Telephone Number:	608 343 5000

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	60
Current population of facility:	58
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	58
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
What is the facility's population designation?	Men/boys
In the past 12 months, which population(s) has the facility held? Select all that apply (Nonbinary describes a person who does not identify exclusively as a boy/man or a girl/woman. Some people also use this term to describe their gender expression. For	

definitions of “intersex” and “transgender,” please see https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/standard/115-5	
Age range of population:	21-79
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Minimum
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	19
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	4
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	2

AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of agency:	Wisconsin Department of Corrections
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	State of Wisconsin
Physical Address:	3099 East Washington Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin - 53704
Mailing Address:	PO Box 7925, Madison, Wisconsin - 53707
Telephone number:	(608) 240-5000

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	Kevin Carr
Email Address:	Kevin.Carr@wisconsin.gov
Telephone Number:	(608) 240-5065

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information**Name:** Leigha Weber**Email Address:** leigha.weber@wisconsin.gov**Facility AUDIT FINDINGS****Summary of Audit Findings**

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:

0

Number of standards met:

45

Number of standards not met:

0

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

Please note: Question numbers may not appear sequentially as some questions are omitted from the report and used solely for internal reporting purposes.

GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2025-09-29
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2025-09-29

Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	Just Detention International Aurora Healing & Advocacy

AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	60
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	58
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	3
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

23. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	57
25. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
26. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
27. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
28. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	3
29. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
30. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1

31. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
32. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
33. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2
34. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
35. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	No additional comments.
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
36. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	19
37. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	2

38. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	4
39. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No additional comments.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
40. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	13
41. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	☒ Age ☐ Race ☐ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ☒ Length of time in the facility ☒ Housing assignment ☐ Gender ☐ Other ☐ None
42. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	Using a roster sorted by housing unit, this auditor started by selecting the name of every fifth PIOC that wasn't otherwise identified as targeted. To fill in gaps, other PIOCs were randomly selected if they were onsite and not deployed offsite in the community on a work crew.

43. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?	☒ Yes ☐ No
44. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	No additional comments.
Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
45. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	5
As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".	
47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	☒ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility reported there were no inmates with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random inmates if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.
48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	☒ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility reported there were no inmates with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random inmates if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.

49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	☒ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility reported there were no inmates with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random inmates if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.
50. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
51. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1

52. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	1
53. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	☒ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility reported there were no inmates with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random inmates if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.
54. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	☒ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility reported there were no inmates with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random inmates if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.
55. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	2
56. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	☒ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility reported there were no inmates with characteristics required for this targeted category. This auditor did not identify any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while reviewing the facility's documentation prior to the onsite review. The audit team did not observe any inmates who may qualify for this targeted category while onsite at the facility. When appropriate, this auditor asked random staff and random inmates if they were aware of anyone who may have characteristics for the targeted category.
57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	No additional comments.
Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews	
Random Staff Interviews	
58. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	8

59. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	☐ Length of tenure in the facility ☐ Shift assignment ☐ Work assignment ☐ Rank (or equivalent) ☐ Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) ☐ None
60. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	☐ Yes ☒ No
a. Select the reason(s) why you were unable to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews: (select all that apply)	☐ Too many staff declined to participate in interviews. ☐ Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). ☐ Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. ☐ Other
61. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	All staff at the facility during the onsite review were interviewed.

Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews

Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.

62. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):

22

63. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?

☒ Yes

☐ No

64. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?

☒ Yes

☐ No

65. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?

☒ Yes

☐ No

66. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

67. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)

- ☒ Agency contract administrator
- ☒ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- ☐ Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- ☐ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- ☒ Medical staff
- ☒ Mental health staff
- ☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- ☒ Administrative (human resources) staff
- ☒ Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- ☒ Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- ☐ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- ☒ Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- ☐ Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- ☒ Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- ☒ Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- ☒ First responders, both security and non-security staff
- ☒ Intake staff

	☒ Other
If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed:	Inmate Complaint Examiner (Grievance Coordinator) Inmate Disciplinary Officer Maintenance Staff Food Services Staff
68. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	☐ Education/programming ☐ Medical/dental ☐ Mental health/counseling ☒ Religious ☐ Other
69. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	1

b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	☐ Security/detention ☐ Education/programming ☒ Medical/dental ☐ Food service ☐ Maintenance/construction ☐ Other
70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	No additional comments.
SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING	
Site Review	
PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.	
71. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:	
72. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?	☒ Yes ☐ No

73. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
74. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
75. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
76. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).	No additional comments.
Documentation Sampling	
Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.	
77. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?	☒ Yes ☐ No
78. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).	No additional comments.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

79. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

80. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

81. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

82. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

83. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

84. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

85. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:

0

a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files:

The facility has not had any reported allegations of sexual abuse since the last audit.

86. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files	
87. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
88. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files	
90. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
91. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review	
93. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:	The facility has not had any reported allegations of sexual harassment since the last audit.
94. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
95. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
96. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

97. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
98. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
99. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
100. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
101. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	No additional comments.

SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION

DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff

102. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

☒ Yes

☐ No

a. Enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS who provided assistance at any point during this audit:

1

Non-certified Support Staff

103. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

☐ Yes

☒ No

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

108. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

☐ The audited facility or its parent agency

☒ My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)

☐ A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)

☐ Other

Identify your state/territory or county government employer by name:

Oregon Department of Corrections

Was this audit conducted as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Standards	
Auditor Overall Determination Definitions	
- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard) - Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period) - Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)	
Auditor Discussion Instructions	
Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.	

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 MSCC PIOC Handbook DOC Organizational chart Wisconsin Correctional Center System Annual Report 2024 Interview with the PREA Director Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page four, “The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation in its facilities, including those with which it contracts for the confinement of PIOCs.” This policy outlines the agency’s comprehensive and

	coordinated approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including definitions of prohibited behaviors and consequences for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. Page 27 of the MSCC PIOC Handbook states, “The DOC has zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment within its facilities.” (b) DOC employs an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator. This position is titled “PREA Director”. The PREA Director reports to the Assistant Deputy Secretary. This position is reflected in agency organizational charts. When interviewed, the PREA Director indicated that they have the time, resources, and authority required to manage their responsibilities. (c) MSCC has designated a captain as the facility PREA Compliance Manager, who reports directly to the facility head. The captain has been designated until a permanent superintendent (facility head) is hired at MSCC. Once a superintendent is hired and trained, they will become the facility PREA Compliance Manager, reporting directly to the Wisconsin Correctional Center System Warden, and the captain will be the back-up PCM. When interviewed, the facility PCM indicated that they have the time to manage all their PREA-related responsibilities. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency and facility are in full compliance with the standard of zero-tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and employment of the PREA Coordinator, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.12 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy 410.00.01, PREA Compliance Review of Contracted Facilities

Contracts for the 13 contracted facilities noted

Interview with agency contract monitor

(a-b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page four, "The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation in its facilities, including those with which it contracts for the confinement of PIOC's." Division of Adult Institutions Policy 410.00.01 directs how the agency will review its contracted facilities for the confinement of PIOC's to ensure compliance with PREA.

Over the 12 months preceding the audit, Wisconsin DOC contracted with 13 jails. Each facility received a federal audit during Cycle Three. Each facility agreement contains language around the contracted facility's compliance with PREA, timely completion of the Bureau of Justice Statistics Annual Survey on Sexual Victimization, compliance reviews, and DOC's requirement to report all sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations within 24 hours.

1. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Fond du Lac County Sheriff's Office was executed on October 17, 2016 and ended on October 17, 2017. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page eight of the agreement contains the required PREA-related information.
2. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Sauk County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
3. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Juneau County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
4. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Vernon County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
5. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Vilas County was executed on January

1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.

6. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Oneida County was executed on January 1, 2016 and ended on December 31, 2016. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page eight of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
7. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Ozaukee County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
8. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Jefferson County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
9. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with the Milwaukee County Community Reintegration Center was executed on April 25, 2023, and ended on April 30, 2024. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
10. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Racine County was executed on January 1, 2019 and ended on December 31, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
11. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Rock County was executed on June 1, 2018 and ended on June 1, 2019. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Pages nine and ten of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
12. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Marquette County was executed on July 14, 2021 and ended on June 30, 2022. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year. Page seven of the contract contains the required PREA-related information.
13. DOC's Memorandum of Agreement with Lincoln County was executed on September 6, 2024 and ends on July 31, 2025. In the absence of a new or modified agreement, the terms and costs of the original agreement are automatically renewed for the next consecutive calendar year, in perpetuity. Pages seven and eight of the contract contain the required PREA-related information.

	Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy 410.00.01 states that contract compliance will be monitored annually, except during the year in which the facility has a federal PREA audit. If the compliance reviewer is not a certified PREA auditor, they must complete compliance review training with the DOC PREA Officer prior to reviewing a contracted facility. The contracted facility completes a self-report, which is evaluated by the compliance reviewer during the site review. The compliance reviewer makes determinations using observation, policy review, documentation review, staff interviews, and PIOC interviews. All information is documented on the Contract Compliance Review Report (form DOC-2845). The contracted facility is required to document any follow-up or remedial actions taken to comply with any unsatisfactory determinations. An interview with the agency contract monitor indicated they conduct site visits annually and checks to ensure signs are posted, PIOC's are receiving required PREA education, and reporting mechanisms are operational. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency is in full compliance with the standard of contracting with other entities for the confinement of PIOC's, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.13 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 March 2025 Staffing Plan for MSCC Interview with the facility head/PCM Interview with the PREA Director Interview with intermediate or higher-level facility staff Supervisor Shift reports Staff duty rosters Observation of facility operations while onsite

(a, c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, "Each facility shall develop, document and make its best efforts to comply with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of employees and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect PIOC's against sexual abuse." MSCC is a minimum-security facility with an operational capacity of 60 adult male PIOC's. The facility averaged 58 people in custody over the 12 months preceding the audit.

The Marshall Sherrer Correctional Center is comprised of one building which includes three wings that contain all PIOC rooms. There is a day room and a weight room; an Administration area, where office support staff, social workers, and supervisors have offices; a kitchen and dining area; a Health Services Unit; a day room/TV viewing area; a weight room; and a Work Release Office. All hallways lead to a centrally located Control Center. PIOC showers and restrooms are located at the ends of the hallways by the Control Center. Staff assigned to the Control Center are not able to view directly into shower or toilet areas. There are four storage sheds housing some of the maintenance equipment and center supplies.

Surveillance cameras provide clear coverage in areas where cameras are present. Those areas with limited visibility and blind spots require more frequent and unscheduled rounds by staff. All areas with PIOC access have video monitoring, locked/controlled doors, mirrors, and/or direct staff supervision.

No less than once each year, each facility, in collaboration with the agency PREA Director, will review the staffing plan, the deployment of monitoring technology and the allocation of facility resources committed to the staffing plan to ensure compliance. Any adjustments are documented. This auditor reviewed documentation from the most recent staffing plan meeting, held in March 2025. The overall staffing plan remained unchanged with the primary method of PIOC supervision remaining direct staff supervision, augmented by using surveillance equipment.

MSCC has one superintendent and one captain, who supervise 13 sergeants. Due to the increased responsibility of line staff and limited presence of supervisors, the Center is staffed with correctional sergeants, rather than correctional officers. Sergeant assignments are based upon programming, PIOC movement, and behavioral needs. There is a minimum of two sergeants on each shift. First watch operates from 0600 to 1500 hours; second watch operates from 1500 to 2300 hours; and third watch operates from 2300 to 0700 hours. While the superintendent's core hours are 0630-1530 and the captain's core hours are 0745-1630, their actual work hours are varied to ensure adequate supervision of staff.

In addition to security staffing, MSCC has one full-time social worker; one full-time Financial Specialist; one Corrections Food Service Leader to manage the kitchen; one Facility Maintenance Specialist; two part-time registered nurses; and one full-time Treatment Specialist.

MSCC has not had any judicial findings of inadequacy, or findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies, internal or external oversight bodies. There were no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment at MSCC during the audit documentation period. MSCC has not observed a trend in sexual abuse incidents that would indicate a need to adjust staffing patterns.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, "In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility shall document in written form and justify all deviations from the plan."

The institution has prepared plans to effectively and efficiently respond when there is not enough staff to cover all posts. In the event of a staff shortage, overtime is hired. If the vacancy is pre-scheduled, staff are pre-ordered to fill the vacancy and is hired the week before the need. If the vacancy is unanticipated, MSCC engages a system of forced overtime. MSCC's forced overtime system ensures that no post goes unfilled, therefore not deviating from the staffing plan. The minimum staffing pattern for sergeants is maintained. Overtime is hired when necessary to ensure a minimum of two sergeants are present, following both processes for unscheduled and scheduled overtime. Unscheduled overtime is hired when the need arises (i.e. unexpected medical trip, transportation for temporary lock up, etc.) or one and a half hours before the start of the shift due to unanticipated sick calls. When necessary, the captain or superintendent may fill a position normally held by a sergeant.

While onsite, the auditor observed custody and/or support staff in all areas of the facility.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, "Supervisory staff shall conduct and document unannounced rounds, covering all shifts to identify and deter employee sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The DOC employees are prohibited from alerting other employees that these supervisory rounds are occurring unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility."

	An interview with the captain confirmed they conduct unannounced rounds on all shifts to detect and deter any staff misconduct, including staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The captain reports to the Center at various times during a 24-hour period to ensure adequate supervision of all staff. Supervisory rounds are made of all areas of the Center, including the housing wings. These rounds are unanticipated and sporadic. Rounds are documented in the captain's logbook, maintained in their office. Hourly rounds and counts are conducted by the sergeants. This auditor recommends the superintendent conduct supervisory rounds as well, at various times during a 24-hour period. This auditor checked the unannounced rounds logbook while onsite to ensure unannounced rounds take place as required. Unannounced rounds occurred on first, second, and third shifts. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of supervision and monitoring, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.14 Youthful inmates	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.14 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #302.00.20, Placement of Juveniles in Adult Correctional Sites MSCC population reports Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager Interviews with random staff and random PIOC's (a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 10-11, "Youthful inmates shall not be placed in a housing unit in which they have sight, sound, or ED 72 Page 11 of 20 physical contact with any adult PIOC through use of a shared dayroom or other

	common space, shower area or sleeping quarters. In areas outside of housing units, DOC shall either: maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult PIOC's or provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult PIOC's have sight, sound, or physical contact. Adult facilities shall make best efforts to avoid isolating youthful inmates to comply with this provision. Absent exigent circumstances, adult facilities shall not deny youthful inmates daily large muscle exercise and any legally required special education services to comply with this provision. Youthful inmates shall also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible. Such exigent circumstances leading to the denial of large-muscle exercise, legally required education services and/or other programming shall be documented [§115.14]." In December 2016, the Division of Adult Institutions Administrator issued written direction that all youthful PIOC's who were previously housed in some DOC facilities be moved to Division of Juvenile Corrections facilities. No youthful PIOC's were permitted to be housed at any DAI facilities after December 2016. DAI Policy #302.00.20 states on page two, "Adjudicated juveniles who are less than 18 years of age shall not be admitted to a DAI facility or the WRC [Wisconsin Resource Center]. Juveniles sentenced as adults shall be...transferred to a DAI facility on or after their 18th birthday to account for birthdays that fall on a weekend or a holiday." This auditor reviewed MSCC population reports and did not find any PIOC's under the age of 18 listed. No interviews of staff or PIOC's indicated a youthful PIOC may have been housed at MSCC. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of youthful PIOC's, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.15	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

115.15

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #306.17.02, Searches of PIOC

DAI Policy #500.70.24, Clinical Observation

Lesson Plan for Introduction to Searches of PIOC

MSCC PIOC Handbook

Interviews with random staff and random PIOC

Observation of facility operations while onsite

(a) Frequent, unannounced searches of PIOC, their living quarters and other areas of the facility are necessary to maintain the safety, security, and orderly operations of prisons. All unclothed searches of compliant PIOC in DOC must be conducted by two staff members unless there is an emergency or other exigent circumstance.

(Unclothed searches of non-compliant PIOC require a minimum of four staff, including a supervisor.) One staff member directly observes the PIOC during the search, while the second staff member observes the first staff member to ensure proper search procedures are followed. DAI Policy #306.17.02 states on page three, "Staff directly observing the PIOC during a strip search shall be required to be the same gender as the PIOC. A second staff (of any gender) shall only observe the staff performing the strip search." Page five states, "Cross gender strip searches of PIOC are prohibited, except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners." This directive is articulated again in DOC Executive Directive 72 on page seven.

This auditor reviewed the MSCC lesson plan and training curriculum for Introduction to Searches of PIOC. Pages three and four of the lesson plan reiterate the policy directives.

(b) Because MSCC does not house female PIOC, this provision of the standard is not applicable. DAI Policy #306.17.02 states on page five, "[Transgender PIOC] assigned to a male facility shall be strip searched by male staff members. [Transgender PIOC] assigned to a female facility shall be strip searched by female staff members."

(c) DAI Policy #306.17.02 states on page three, "PIOC searches shall be documented utilizing DOC-1523. Documentation of all searches shall be kept in locations designated by the Warden/designee. Documentation of exigent circumstances where cross-gender pat-down searches of female PIOC by male staff are conducted shall be

maintained. Documentation of exigent circumstance where cross-gender strip, body cavity or body contents searches are performed shall be maintained. Records shall be readily accessible for audit purposes.” Because MSCC does not house female PIOC’s, the requirement to document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female PIOC’s is not applicable.

Interviews with staff and PIOC’s did not indicate that cross-gender unclothed searches have occurred, nor did the auditor observe any cross-gender unclothed searches while onsite at MSCC.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages six and seven, “In order to enable PIOC’s to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without nonmedical staff members of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell ED 72 Page 7 of 20 checks, staff members of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering a PIOC housing unit. If opposite gender status quo changes during that shift, then another announcement is required. Facilities shall not restrict access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell or housing unit opportunities in order to comply with this provision [§115.15(d), §115.315(d)].”

DAI Policy #500.70.24 states on page four, “Cross gender constant observation may be conducted when privacy accommodations are provided for toileting, showering, and changing clothing. Exceptions are allowed in exigent circumstances. Privacy accommodations may be accomplished through a variety of means, including but not limited to:

1. Ensuring the individual has a smock, paper gown, etc., to maintain privacy while toileting.
2. Providing a shower with a partial curtain or other privacy shields which still allow staff to observe the patient and ensure his/her wellbeing.
3. Having staff of the same gender provide the constant observation or at minimum, substituting staff of the same gender during these activities.
4. Exigent circumstances shall be documented.”

MSCC utilizes a blue light and an audible tone over the public announcement system to make opposite gender announcements. The activation button is inside the control center near the entrance to the unit. Control center staff are responsible for monitoring the traffic in and out of the unit and will press the tone when a female staff member enters the unit.

Page 17 of the MSCC PIOC Handbook states, “In accordance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards, an audio and visual (blue light) notification will be made when a person, who is the opposite gender of PIOC assigned to a housing unit, enters the unit.”

While conducting the site review, opposite gender announcements were made as required. Interviews with PIOC confirmed the announcements were taking place as required, on all shifts. Interviews with random and specialized staff confirmed they are aware of and adhere to their responsibility related to opposite gender announcements.

During the physical plant review, the audit team looked for potential blind spots in areas accessible to PIOC, and areas where cross-gender viewing may occur. The audit team did not identify any areas that required remedial action.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page seven, “Facilities may not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex PIOC for the sole purpose of determining the PIOC’s genital status. If the PIOC’s genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversations with the PIOC, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner [§115.15(e), §115.315(e)].”

This auditor reviewed the MSCC lesson plan and training curriculum for Introduction to Searches of PIOC. Page five of the lesson plan reminds staff of the prohibition to search or physically examine a transgender or intersex PIOC for the sole purpose of determining genital status. Interviews with random staff indicated they are aware that searches to determine genital status are prohibited by standard and agency policy. There were no transgender PIOC at MSCC at the time of the onsite review to interview.

(f) It is the policy of the Wisconsin Department of Corrections to conduct all searches in a professional, respectful, and least intrusive manner as possible, consistent with security needs. DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, “All security staff shall be trained on how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex PIOC to ensure professionalism and to utilize the least intrusive manner possible consistent with security needs [§115.15(f), §115.315(f)].”

	This auditor reviewed the MSCC lesson plan and training curriculum for Introduction to Searches of PIOC's. The lesson plan reiterates policy directives about professionalism and respect. Interviews with random staff confirmed they were knowledgeable of proper pat-down search techniques. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of limits to cross-gender viewing and searches, as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.16	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 71, Language Assistance Policy & Implementation for Addressing Needs of Offenders with Limited English Proficiency (LEP) DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #300.00.61, Language Assistance for Limited English Proficiency (LEP) PIOCs DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook Interview with the PREA Director Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager Interviews with random staff Interviews with targeted PIOC's (a-b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, "PIOCs with disabilities or who have limited English proficiency shall have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the DOC's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary, in addition to the provision of PIOC

education in formats accessible to all. Written materials shall be provided in formats or methods that ensure effective communication with PIOC's with disabilities [§115.16(a, b), §115.316(a, b), §115.33(d), §115.333(d)].”

DOC utilizes contracts procured by the Wisconsin Department of Administration and facilities are authorized to use any of the contracts. There are seven contract options for in-person American Sign Language; four contracts for American Sign Language/ Limited-English Proficiency services by video; three contracts for in-person Limited-English Proficiency services; and five contracts for written Limited-English Proficiency services.

This auditor noted that page five of DAI Policy #300.00.61 recognizes that some PIOC's may inaccurately report English-language skills and/or may not request language assistance for many reasons, and language assistance may be needed even if the PIOC lists English as their primary language. The “I Speak” cards developed by the US Census Bureau are required by policy to be posted in facility lobbies, visiting areas, HSU/DSU/PSU waiting rooms, property rooms, intake/reception areas, near forms bins, in libraries and educational areas, mailrooms, housing areas, and any other area deemed appropriate by the facility. Once determined, the PIOC's primary language is documented in the Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) database.

While onsite, the auditor observed PREA postings in both English and Spanish. The DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook for PIOC's is available in English, Spanish, and large print.

At the time of the onsite review there were three PIOC's with limitations to their hearing and one PIOC with limited English proficiency. There were no PIOC's with limitations to their vision. This auditor interviewed one PIOC who was hard of hearing (the other two were offsite) and the one PIOC with limited English proficiency. Upon being interviewed, the PIOC with limited English proficiency confirmed he was provided with written material in his language of origin as he requested but was able to communicate verbally and in writing in English. Both targeted PIOC's interviewed confirmed they were able to benefit from all aspects of the agency's PREA program.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, “The facility shall not rely on PIOC interpreters, PIOC readers or other types of PIOC assistants except in exigent circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the PIOC's safety, the performance of first responder duties or the investigation of the PIOC's allegations. The exigent circumstances in which PIOC

	assistants are used shall be documented [§115.16(c), §115.316(c)].” DOC Executive Directive 71 states on page three, “DOC shall evaluate and determine what interpretation services shall be provided based on identified needs. Each Division shall: Not rely upon fellow offenders to provide language services in situations with potentially significant consequences involving LEP offenders unless an emergency arises. Situations in which another offender may not be used include, but are not limited to, medical and psychological appointments or treatment; information or hearings associated with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA); parole hearings, disciplinary and grievance proceedings and filings, and Program Review Committee (PRC) hearings.” At the time of the onsite review there were three PIOC with limitations to their hearing and one PIOC with limited English proficiency. There were no PIOC with limitations to their vision. This auditor interviewed one PIOC who was hard of hearing (the other two were offsite) and the one PIOC with limited English proficiency. Neither of the two targeted PIOC required translation services or had another PIOC interpret information for them. Interviews with random staff confirmed they were aware of translation services and would not use another PIOC to translate. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of PIOC with disabilities and PIOC who are limited-English proficient, as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.17 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 42, Police Contact, Arrest, and Conviction Policy for Current Employees

DOC Executive Directive 72

DOC Human Resources Procedures, Background Check Procedure

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507, Reference Checks

DAI Policy #309.06.03, Volunteers, Pastoral Visitors, Program Guests & Interns

DAI Volunteer Application

DOC-1098D form, Background Check Authorization

DOC-1098R form, Candidate Reference Check

Employee, contractor, and volunteer file reviews

Interview with the facility head

Interview with Human Resource staff

Interview with agency PREA Director

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, "The DOC shall not hire, promote, or enlist the services of anyone who has engaged in sexual abuse in a confinement facility; has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in nonconsensual sexual activity in the community; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in activity described above."

DOC Human Resources Background Check Procedure states on page five, "In addition to the criteria set forth in WHRH Ch. 246, and in accordance with the PREA standards, DOC will not hire or promote an applicant, or enlist the services of a contractor for a position which may have contact with PIOC's, offenders or juveniles who has:

1. Engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution or place of detention.
2. Convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse.
3. Civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in (1) or (2) above."

DOC's Background Check Authorization form was last revised in February 2021 and includes the required questions about misconduct. This audit team was required to submit authorizations prior to being admitted to the facility to conduct the audit.

DOC Executive Directive 42 reiterates the requirements of DOC Executive Directive 72 and the DOC Human Resource procedure quoted above.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, "The DOC shall consider any

incidents of sexual harassment when determining whether to hire, promote or enlist the services of any staff member [§115.17(a, b), §115.317(a, b)].” DOC Human Resources Background Check Procedure states on page five, “...the agency will consider incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with PIOC, offenders, or juveniles.”

Interviews with the facility head and Human Resource staff indicated the policy is implemented in practice. The facility head indicated they would not enlist the services of a contractor who had allegations of sexually harassing PIOC.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, “Prior to hiring new employees and enlisting the services of any staff member who may have contact with PIOC, the DOC shall perform a criminal background records check [§115.17(c, d), §115.317(c, d)]. The DOC shall make its best effort to obtain (and, when requested, provide) reference information from all prior institutional employers on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or any resignation during a pending investigation of a sexual abuse allegation [§115.17(c, h), §115.317(c, h)].”

DOC utilizes a standardized form for reference checks, Candidate Reference Check. The form guides supervisors to ask about workplace sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as if the candidate has ever been found to have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, other institution, or place of detention.

Human Resource staff are tasked with collecting the Candidate Reference Check and ensuring the background check is completed through their portal and the Circuit Court Access Program (CCAP) to check for any convictions or pending litigation.

Human Resource staff are also tasked with obtaining information about prior institutional employers and contacting them for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507 states on page five, “In accordance with PREA standards, if a candidate lists a prior confinement entity as a current or past employer on their resume (e.g. federal or state prison, county or local jail, lockup, or community confinement facility), best efforts shall be made to contact the entity as a

reference, even if the employee does not list them as a reference.”

(d) DAI Policy #309.06.03 states on page four that all potential volunteers are required to submit to a background check. Page nine states that violation of any facility rules, DOC/DAI rules, or state/federal law may result in suspension or revocation. The DAI Volunteer Application includes the required questions regarding misconduct.

Human Resource staff utilize the same process for volunteers and contractors as they do for permanent employees, with the exception that Health Services and Religious Services staff manage their own contractor/volunteer background checks. File reviews indicated criminal record checks are completed.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, “The DOC shall conduct a criminal background record check every five years for current staff members [§115.17(e), §115.317(e)].” DOC Human Resources Background Check Procedure states on page seven, “To maintain compliance with PREA as well as the FBI’s CJIS security policies, fingerprints must be retaken at least once every five years.” Fingerprints may be taken no earlier than one week prior to when the individual will report to the worksite.

Interviews with Human Resource staff and the agency PREA Director indicted these checks take place as required. Fingerprints for employees are obtained and submitted every five years, by policy.

This auditor reviewed background check information for contractors, volunteers, and each MSCC employee that was interviewed at MSCC to ensure compliance with the policy and standard.

(f-g) DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507 states on page six, “The following questions are included in the reference check form (DOC-1098R) and will be incorporated in any enterprise-approved on-line reference check software (if available) for DOC positions. These questions must be asked when references are conducted for any positions, including limited -term, project, seasonal, permanent, and unclassified employees.

1. To your knowledge, has it been determined that the candidate has ever engaged in any incident of sexual misconduct or sexual harassment, while employed with your company? If so, what were the circumstances and outcome?

2. Did the candidate resign during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment before the investigation was completed?

3. Has the candidate ever been found to have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution or place of detention?"

DOC applicants are required to fill out a DOC-1098R. The form requires applicants to answer questions related to the misconduct in paragraph (a) of this section.

DOC Executive Directive 42 states on page four, "A current employee is required to notify his or her supervisor in writing of any non-work-related police contact with the exception of employees who are victims of a crime...In the event of an arrest or charge, the employee must also notify the supervisor of any updates related to the court proceedings as well as the final outcome of the arrest or charge." The policy expands that this requirement also pertains to an employee learning they have been identified as a subject in a police investigation, a warrant has been issued against them, they are subject to a restraining order or other injunction, or the employee has been placed under a deferred prosecution agreement. Any traffic violations must be reported if the employee is required to drive or maintain a fleet as part of their position. All notifications must take place by the start of the employee's next scheduled workday or within 48 hours, whichever occurs first.

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, "All applicants shall be required to disclose instances of sexual misconduct as described above. Applicants who fail to disclose such information shall be ineligible for hire for the current vacancy and, if applicable, may be grounds for termination [§115.17(f, g), §115.317(f, g)]." Failure to make the notification, providing false information related to convictions, and failure to cooperate with the background check process is met with disciplinary action up to and including termination. An interview with Human Resource staff indicated disciplinary action, including termination, is taken when material omissions are discovered.

Employees of DOC do not conduct self-evaluations. DOC Human Resource Policy #200.30.306 is applicable to all permanent and probationary employees and guides performance reviews. Employee performance reviews are conducted annually, based on the job-related requirements and performance for the previous year. Performance reviews are completed by the employee's supervisor.

(h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, "The DOC shall make its best

	effort to obtain (and, when requested, provide) reference information from all prior institutional employers on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or any resignation during a pending investigation of a sexual abuse allegation [§115.17(c, h), §115.317(c, h)].” When a facility requests information pertaining to a former DOC employee, human resource staff will contact the agency PREA Director to determine if there are allegations associated with the former employee. DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.507 states on page two, “If a confinement entity (e.g. federal or state prison, county or local jail) requests information regarding prior sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations, these requests must be forwarded to the Bureau of Human Resources (BHR) Employment Relations Chief who will work with the ODES and PREA Directors to verify. The Department shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee.” Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of hiring and promotion decisions, as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.18 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC-2635 form, Maintenance Project Request for Approval Interview with agency head/designee Interview with agency PREA Director Interview with the facility head Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager Observation of facility operations while onsite

	(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, “When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the DOC shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the DOC’s ability to protect PIOC’s from sexual abuse [115.18(a), §115.318(a)].” Maintenance project requests must be submitted on a DOC-2635 form, Maintenance Project Request for Approval. The project initiator is required to describe how the proposed project will enhance the facility’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. Interviews with the agency head/designee, agency PREA Director, and facility head confirmed the agency has not designed or acquired any new facilities. During the site review, the audit team did not observe any other areas that appeared to be under construction for a substantial expansion or modification. (b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page six, “When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the DOC shall consider how such technology may enhance the DOC’s ability to protect PIOC’s from sexual abuse [§115.18(b), §115.318(b)].” Interviews with the facility head and facility head/PREA Compliance Manager confirmed that the prevention of sexual abuse and sexual harassment was a factor in determining camera placement and if an upgrade for a specific camera was necessary to aid in detection. MSCC has 38 cameras. Video records are retained for a minimum of 120 days. Security supervisors can view all camera feeds. Cameras can be moved or augmented upon request by the facility PCM or agency PREA Director. The facility did not have any allegations of sexual abuse reported during the audit documentation period. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of upgrades to facilities and technologies, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion 115.21 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: - MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses - DOC Executive Directive 72 - DAI Policy #500.30.19, Sexual Abuse – Health Services Unit Procedure in the Event of Sexual Abuse - DOC Agency Healthcare Manual - DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook - Memorandums of Understanding with Aurora Healing & Advocacy Services - Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager - Interview with Victim Services Coordinator - Interview with SAFE/SANE - Interviews with medical staff - Interview with investigations staff - Interviews with random staff and random PIOC (a, f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, “The DOC shall follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for preserving and/or collecting usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. Such protocol shall be developmentally appropriate for youth, where applicable, and adapted from a comprehensive and authoritative protocol developed after 2011 [§115.21(a, b), §115.321(a, b)]. When the DOC is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, the DOC shall request that the investigating law enforcement agency follow the requirements outlined in §115.21(a-e) and §115.321(a-e) [§115.21(f), §115.321(f)].” The agency provided this auditor with documentation of their request of the Milwaukee Police Department to follow the requirements outlined in §115.21(a-e) and §115.321(a-e). (b) Per the DOC Agency Healthcare Manual, “Wisconsin Department of Corrections (DOC) healthcare clinicians do not conduct SANE examinations. PIOC alleging sexual abuse are transported to a local community hospital for treatment and evidence collection. As such, DOC does not implement a forensic medical examination protocol, which is developmentally appropriate or based upon ‘A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents’ or similarly comprehensive and authoritative source. Rather, DOC conforms to healthcare
--	--

standards in [Standards for Health Services in Prisons (2014 ed)].” MSCC does not house youthful PIOC.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, “Further, all victims shall be offered access to forensic medical examinations at an offsite medical facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If SANEs cannot be made available, the examination can be performed at an offsite medical facility by other qualified medical practitioners. The facility shall document its efforts to provide SANEs [§115.21(c), §115.321(c)].”

DAI Policy #500.30.19 states on page four, “The medical plan of care shall include timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment without cost to the PIOC patient.”

Forensic medical examinations of incarcerated survivors at MSCC are offered at Aurora Sinai Medical Center in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

The DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook educates PIOC on page four of their right to “receive free medical and mental health care and ongoing support following an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment”. Page 12 states, “With your consent, the investigation may include a physical exam by a qualified medical professional in a local hospital; this free and confidential exam is conducted to ensure your health and to collect any evidence.”

All forensic medical exams are provided offsite by Sexual Assault Nurse Practitioners, as verified through interview. The PAQ indicated there were no forensic medical exams provided during the audit period, as there were no allegations of sexual abuse reported during the audit documentation period. Interviews with medical staff verified PIOC are not financially responsible for forensic medical exams.

(d, e, h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, “The facility shall attempt to make available to the victim an advocate from a local sexual assault service provider. As requested by the victim, such a person shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and shall provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. If a sexual assault service provider is not available to provide victim advocate services, the DOC shall make available a staff member (i.e., VSC) who has been screened for

	appropriateness to serve in this role and has received education concerning general sexual assault and forensic examination issues. Facilities shall document efforts to secure services from a local sexual assault service provider [§115.21(d, e, h), §115.321(d, e, h)].” DOC has written and signed Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) with Aurora Healing & Advocacy Services that were executed on August 19, 2019. Through the agreements, Aurora Healing & Advocacy Services provide an advocate to accompany and support victims of sexual abuse through the forensic medical examination and investigatory interview processes, as requested by the victim via DOC, if available. Aurora Healing & Advocacy Services will provide emotional support services, to include crisis intervention, information, and referral. These services may be conducted by mail, in person, by telephone, or other approved telecommunications method. If a confidential, community-based advocate is not available, the facility utilizes an employee at MSCC to provide counseling and support. This auditor was provided with documentation that the staff member in this position has successfully completed a Support Services Workshop (including training on Gender Inclusive Response, Forensic Medical Examinations, Victim Accompaniment, Support Services, and PREA Compliance) facilitated by Forge, the Wisconsin Coalition Against Sexual Assault, Aurora Healthcare, and the DOC PREA Office. (g) Auditor is not required to audit this provision. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of evidence protocols and forensic medical examinations, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.22

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses
DOC Executive Directive 72
DAI Policy #303.00.05, Law Enforcement Referrals
DOC Webpage
Interview with agency head/designee
Interviews with investigative staff

(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, “The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources. DOC shall maintain a policy(ies) that governs the conduct of such investigations [§115.22(a, d), §115.322(a, d), [§115.71(a), §115.371(a)].”

Page one of DAI Policy #303.00.05 states that law enforcement referrals must be made for allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (as defined in DOC Executive Directive 72) that involve potentially criminal behavior, and sexual assault (as defined in Wisconsin Statutes). There were no allegations requiring such referral reported at MSCC during the audit documentation period.

The DOC PREA policy (DOC Executive Directive 72) is available on the DOC website at ED 72 Final 9.22.2022.pdf (wi.gov). It reiterates the agency’s zero-tolerance policy and outlines the process for investigations and referrals.

Interviews with investigative staff confirmed they are knowledgeable of the process for case referral. The interview of the agency head/designee confirmed the agency is committed to creating a sexually safe environment for all PIOC’s and has an established relationship with agency investigators to ensure allegations are investigated and referred properly.

(d) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

(e) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is in full compliance with the standard of policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations, as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.31	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 Wisconsin Statute 301.28, Training of Correctional Officers DOC Executive Directive 33, Pre-service Training Requirements for Correctional Officers, Correctional Sergeants, Supervising Officer 1 & 2 DOC Staff Training curriculum Agency Newsletters DOC-1158 form, Employment Statement of Acknowledgement Staff training reports Interviews with random staff (a-c) To ensure a professionally trained and competent security force, Wisconsin statute requires that all security staff hired by DOC successfully complete the WI DOC Pre-Service Training program regardless of the level at which they are hired. This requirement does not include any correctional officer appointed prior to July 31, 1981. The pre-service training includes 2.5 hours of PREA-related instruction, 2.5 hours of training related to DOC’s zero-tolerance policy for staff sexual misconduct, and two hours of victims’ rights. DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page seven, “The DOC shall train all new employees on the department’s zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All employees shall receive training every two years; in years in which an employee does not receive such refresher training, the DOC shall provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. The training shall include but is not limited to the subparts listed below.” The subparts referenced in policy language are the ten elements required by the standards, as well as relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent, instruction tailored to male and female PIOC’s, and instruction specific to the unique needs and attributes of juveniles.

This auditor reviewed all curriculum to ensure a comprehensive training program that provides detailed information on all ten required elements. DOC's training is tailored for male, female, and transgender PIOC's, as verified through curriculum review by this auditor. All new staff complete this training upon being hired. All existing staff were required to complete it in 2015.

Employees are provided refresher information between trainings regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment using Agency Newsletters that are published multiple times each year. This newsletter includes data related to the total sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations in the agency, standard highlights, and reminders about professionalism. Staff completed refresher training modules in the fall of 2017, 2019, 2021, 2023, and 2025. Knowledge checks are spaced throughout the module with an understanding assessment at the end.

This auditor reviewed training information for contractors at MSCC to verify compliance with policy and the standard. This auditor reviewed training information for all staff at MSCC to verify compliance with policy and the standard.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page seven, "Each employee shall acknowledge and certify to the DOC, through signature or electronic verification, that they understand the training they received [§115.31, §115.331]."

New employees are required to read and acknowledge their understanding of several agency policies, to include Executive Directive 72. Employees attest to their responsibility to read, understand, and abide by all DOC policies and procedures by signature on form DOC-1558. The agency training module for all staff requires a score of 80% or higher on a final exam for successful completion. Refresher trainings include knowledge checks that are spaced throughout the module with an understanding assessment at the end.

Interviews with random staff confirmed they received and understood their most recent training.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of employee training as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.32 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #309.06.03, Volunteers, Pastoral Visitors, Program Guests & Interns Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment in Confinement: A Guide for Volunteers & Contractors brochure Volunteer & Contractor Training curriculum, revised February 2018 DAI Volunteer, Pastoral Visitor, Program Guest & Intern Orientation Manual DAI Brief Volunteer Orientation, revised May 2019 Agency Volunteer Orientation Presentation DOC-2786 form, PREA Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment in Confinement Training Contractor Statement of Acknowledgment DOC-2809 form, Volunteer Orientation Roster Attendance Record PREA Acknowledgment DOC-0080 form, DAI Brief Volunteer Orientation Email from the Religious Practices Coordinator & DAI LEP Coordinator, dated March 2, 2018 re Documenting Volunteer PREA Compliance Volunteer & Contractor training records Interview with facility head Interviews with volunteers and contractors (a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, "All volunteers and contractors who have contact with PIOC's shall be trained, in accordance with the type of service and level of contact they have with PIOC's, on the DOC's zero-tolerance policy as it relates to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. They shall, additionally, be trained on their responsibilities under the DOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures. Each volunteer or contractor shall acknowledge and certify to the DOC, through signature or electronic verification, that they understand the training they received [§115.32, §115.332]."

All contractors and volunteers are provided with a Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment in Confinement: A Guide for Volunteers & Contractors brochure, providing written information about establishing and maintaining professional relationships; PREA definitions; reporting and response duties; indicators of abuse; and characteristics of vulnerable offenders.

Per DAI Policy #309.06.03, volunteers are required to complete an orientation prior to facility entry and PIOC interaction, based upon the type, frequency, and level of PIOC contact. The minimum expectations have been established by policy for all DAI volunteers:

- Full orientation for any volunteer entering any one or combination of facilities five or more times a year
- Brief orientation for any volunteer entering any facility four or less times a year
- Any volunteer increasing facility entry to five or more times a year must complete full orientation

The facility head or their designee can require full orientation for any volunteer on a case-by-case basis, may limit a volunteer's one-to-one contact with PIOC's, or provide direct staff supervision.

This auditor reviewed the Agency Volunteer Orientation presentation, used in conjunction with DAI Volunteer, Pastoral Visitor, Program Guest & Intern Orientation Manual. The curriculum includes information and directives about boundaries, a reminder that PIOC's cannot consent to any sexual contact, the agency's zero-tolerance policy, and information on reporting.

Volunteers and contractors complete Volunteer & Contractor Training prior to providing service in a facility. The training covers the elements required by standard.

Contractors sign a statement of acknowledgment indicating they have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures; have received training based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with PIOC's; and acknowledge receipt and understanding of such training.

	Volunteers sign an orientation roster attendance record indicating they have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures; and have received training based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with PIOC's. Volunteers began signing these forms in March 2018 as directed through an email by the Religious Practices Coordinator & DAI LEP Coordinator to all volunteer coordinators. Once the forms are signed, they are scanned into an electronic database and the original is provided to the facility PCM. The email directive has been codified in DAI Policy #309.06.03. An interview with the facility head confirmed they would immediately discontinue the services of any volunteer that they believed engaged in sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment. Interviews with volunteers and contractors confirmed they are aware of the agency's zero-tolerance policy and their reporting responsibilities. At the time of the onsite review, there were four contractors, and two volunteers approved to enter MSCC. This auditor requested and reviewed training records to confirm training was completed as required. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of volunteer and contractor training as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.33 Inmate education	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.33 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook
MSCC PIOC Handbook
DAI Policy #410.20.01, PIOC PREA Education
POC-41B, Sexual Abuse in Confinement – A Resource for Offenders form
Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention video
POC-0041C, PIOC PREA Education Facilitator Guide
DOC PIOC postings within the facility
PIOC file reviews
Interview with intake staff
Interviews with random PIOC

(a-c, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, “At intake, PIOC shall receive information detailing the DOC’s zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report such incidents or suspicions [§115.33(a), §115.333(a)].”

The DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook states on page three, “The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment within its facilities.”

DAI Policy #410.20.01 directs that upon arrival at an intake facility, each PIOC will receive a copy of the DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook and a copy of form POC-41B. Form POC-41B provides additional support information for victims of sexual abuse, including the name, address, and telephone number for the local sexual assault service provider.

Upon arrival at MSCC, each PIOC receives a copy MSCC PIOC Handbook, which was last revised in 2018. Pages 26-27 outline basic information about PREA – “You have the right to be safe from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and report-related retaliation. The Wisconsin Department of Corrections (DOC) values safety – that includes keeping you safe from others while you are serving your sentence. The DOC has zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment within its facilities. Sexual abuse and sexual harassment among inmates and among staff and inmates in confinement is against the law. Violators will be disciplined and may be referred to outside law enforcement for prosecution.” The section provides ways to report – notify any staff person in person or in writing; dial 777 (internal reporting hotline); dial 888 (external reporting hotline); submit a grievance; tell a family member, friend, or support person (they may report on your behalf); call local law enforcement. An inmate pin number is not needed when dialing 777 or 888.”

In January 2016, as part of DOC's compliance efforts with the standards, each facility was directed to provide all PIOC's with PREA education. There were no PIOC's at MSCC who were admitted to the facility prior to August 20, 2012.

Effective December 19, 2018, the agency's zero tolerance statement and reporting methods were printed on the reverse side of new and reissued PIOC identification cards. The identification card states, "WI DOC has ZERO TOLERANCE for sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation related to reporting. You have the right to remain safe. To report sexual abuse or sexual harassment use any of these methods:

1. Tell or write any staff member.
2. Dial 777 or 888.
3. Submit a grievance.
4. Tell a family member or friend to report at www.doc.wi.gov.
5. Write to law enforcement."

This auditor believes this practice exceeds the requirement for this subsection of the standard.

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, "Within 30 days of intake at adult facilities and within 10 days at juvenile facilities, the facility shall provide comprehensive education to PIOC's either in person or through video regarding [§115.33(b), (§115.333(b))]:

- a. The DOC's zero tolerance policy, including PIOC's' right to be free of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and disclosure-related retaliation; and
- b. The DOC's policies and procedures for responding to such incidents.

Upon transfer to another facility, PIOC's shall receive education specific to the facility's sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation policies and procedures to the extent they differ from the previous facility [§115.33(c), §115.333(c)]."

The staff member assigned to provide PIOC education at an intake facility shows a video (Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention) and utilizes the PIOC PREA Education Facilitator Guide to facilitate discussion afterwards. The agency's zero-tolerance policy is repeated. The cross-gender announcement

procedure is explained. The staff member assigned to provide PIOC education at a transfer facility is not required to show the video but may elect to do so; the staff member at MSCC does show the video to each incoming group/individual. The guide may stand alone or follow the video. At both intake and transfer facilities, PIOC acknowledge receipt of the comprehensive education by signing the Acknowledgment of PREA Education offender standard form in WICS using an electronic signature pad.

The video, Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention, was produced in 2017 by the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Wisconsin Coalition Against Sexual Assault, and a local media firm. The video is available to the public at <https://doc.wi.gov/Pages/AboutDOC/PrisonRapeEliminationAct.aspx>. This auditor believes this practice exceeds the requirements of the standard as it allows friends and family to view the information and reinforces their ability to report concerns and see the steps the agency and facility take to keep their loved one safe.

All PIOC interviewed confirmed they had received the required information. This auditor reviewed the education documentation for each PIOC that was selected for a random interview. All PIOC received the required education within 30 days of their arrival at MSCC.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, "PIOCs with disabilities or who have limited English proficiency shall have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the DOC's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary, in addition to the provision of PIOC education in formats accessible to all. Written materials shall be provided in formats or methods that ensure effective communication with PIOC with disabilities [§115.16(a, b), §115.316(a, b), §115.33(d), §115.333(d)]."

There are nine versions of the video available, depending on the needs of the intended audience – three versions for male PIOC, female PIOC, and youth. The three versions for each audience include one in English, one with English subtitles, and one in Spanish. The facilitator guide directs staff to provide the information in an alternate format if an PIOC has a known limitation that inhibits their ability to understand PREA education. The DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook and PIOC posters are available in English, Spanish, and large print.

(f) The DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook is

	provided to each PIOC upon arrival at an intake facility. Information is readily available on the reverse side of their PIOC identification card. Page 27 of the MSCC PIOC Handbook contains information about the Prison Rape Elimination Act, including reporting options and the zero-tolerance policy. Key information is continuously and readily available on posters throughout the facility, as observed by the audit team. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of PIOC education as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.34 Specialized training: Investigations	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.34 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, Employee Disciplinary Investigations DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations training curriculum DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations Resource Guide Investigative staff training records Interviews with investigative staff (a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, "Employees who investigate incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall receive specialized training on techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Garrity/Oddsen warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecutorial referral. The DOC shall maintain documentation of training completion [§115.34, §115.334, §115.71(b), §115.371(b), §115.371(b)]."

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304 states on page four, "In investigations regarding conduct which could constitute a criminal offense, pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's decision in Garrity v. New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493 (1967), a public employee's refusal to answer cannot be used as grounds for discharge where he/she is required to answer the questions unless he/she has been warned that the statements cannot be used against the employee in criminal proceedings. Therefore, the investigators must give a Garrity Warning to the subject of the investigation. Investigators will utilize the Employee Investigation Reports —Investigations Involving Criminal Matters (DOA 15807 — G) form to document which of the following two options were read to the employee prior to starting an investigatory interview." The "following two options" noted in the policy are to either require answers from the employee and withhold any statements from a criminal proceeding, or to allow the employee the option of remaining silent or answers questions without withholding any statements from a criminal proceeding.

Facility and agency investigators conduct administrative investigations. Investigations involving potentially criminal behavior are referred to the Milwaukee Police Department.

This auditor reviewed the DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations training curriculum and accompanying Resource Guide. The training includes instruction on interviewing sexual abuse victims, the proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. Training on Miranda, Garrity and Wisconsin's Oddsen warnings take place on day three of the investigator training. Interviews with investigative staff indicated they were knowledgeable in each aspect of sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations.

DOC has a total of 688 trained investigators, to include three MSCC facility investigators. The PREA Director's office maintains a spreadsheet noting all trained investigators employed by the agency. This auditor reviewed training dates for the three MSCC staff to ensure the required training was received.

(d) This provision is not required to be audited.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of specialized training for investigations as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.35 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC PREA for Healthcare Staff curriculum Staff training records Interviews with medical staff (a, c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, “All medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in a DOC facility(ies) shall be trained on the subparts below. The DOC shall maintain documentation that such training has been received [§115.35, §115.335]. a. How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; b. How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; c. How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and d. How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.” This auditor reviewed the curriculum to ensure a comprehensive training program that provides detailed information on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

	The PAQ indicated there six two medical practitioners subject to this standard during the audit review period. MSCC does not have mental health services onsite. This auditor reviewed training records for all six staff members. Interviews with medical staff confirmed they were knowledgeable of the required elements. (b) As the agency does not employ medical staff to conduct forensic medical examinations, this subsection of the standard does not apply. (d) In addition to the PREA training provided to all employees, medical and mental health staff receive additional training specific to their responsibilities with PREA. This auditor reviewed the training curriculum to ensure it provided detailed information on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of specialized training, medical and mental health care as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.41 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #410.30.01, Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness & Sexual Victimization DOC-2863, Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR) Form - PREA DOC PREA Admission – Adult Male Facility risk screening form DOC WICS User Guide – Special Handling (SH) PREA

Interview with PREA director
Interview with PREA compliance manager
Interviews with staff responsible for conducting risk screening
Interviews with randomly selected PIOC
PIOC file reviews

(a-e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, "PIOCs shall be assessed during an intake screening within 72 hours of arrival at the facility, and again within 72 hours of transfer to another facility, for risk of being sexually abused by other PIOC or sexually abusive towards other PIOC. The objective screening instrument shall include, at minimum, the following criteria [§115.41(a-e), §115.341(a-c)]:

1. The presence of a mental, physical or developmental disability;
2. Level of emotional and cognitive development (juvenile facilities only)
3. Age;
4. Physical build;
5. Previous incarcerations;
6. Exclusively nonviolent criminal history;
7. Prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child;
8. Is, or is perceived to be, gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming;
9. Previously experienced sexual victimization;
10. Prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses and/or history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse; and
11. PIOC's perception of vulnerability."

DOC has created a WICS [Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System] User Guide that outlines the purpose of the screening: "PREA Standard 115.41 requires that the Wisconsin Department of Corrections screen all PIOC for risk of being sexually abused by other PIOC or sexually abusive towards other PIOC with the goal of keeping those at high risk separated from one another."

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page three, "Inmates shall be screened within 72-hours of admission to any DAI facility for risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive towards other inmates." Screenings have been documented in WICS since 2017. Screenings prior to 2017 were completed in paper format. If the electronic system is not available, a paper format of the screening is completed, and responses are transferred to WICS as soon as possible. All screenings

are administered in a private location.

DOC uses a different screening form for male and female PIOC's. Sections A and B of the electronic PREA screening form contains all ten considerations to assess an PIOC's risk for sexual victimization as described in the standard. The PREA screening form also assesses an PIOC's aggressive/predatory factors. Section C will be completed if the screener believes an override of the automatic scoring is necessary. Section D is used for the 30-day follow up risk screening.

(f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, "In addition to the intake screenings detailed in section XII.A., within 30 days of arrival the facility shall reassess the PIOC's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the last screening [§115.41(f)]."

This auditor reviewed the 72-hour and 30-day screenings completed at MSCC from September 2024 through July 2025. During that time, 40 PIOC's were admitted to the facility. Two 72-hour screenings and two 30-day screenings were conducted late, for an on-time percentage of 95%. The most recent late 72-hour screening occurred in May 2025; five months prior to the onsite review. The most recent late 30-day screening occurred in June 2025; three months prior to the onsite review.

This auditor reviewed the 72-hour and 30-day screenings completed for every PIOC selected for a random interview, for a total of 18 files. One 72-hour and one 30-day risk screenings were completed late, for an on-time percentage of 94.4% for each category. Both late screenings were previously calculated in the 12-month review.

(g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, "Thereafter, a PIOC's risk level shall be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on the PIOC's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness [§115.41(g)]. "

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page three, "Thereafter, an inmate may be referred for a follow-up rescreening by any staff member if and when: the inmate is the alleged victim or suspect of sexual abuse; the inmate discloses identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex and their identification was not revealed during the last screening; the inmate discloses a past unwanted or abusive sexual experience(s) while confined and the experience(s) was not revealed during the last screening; the inmate requests a screening; the inmate is referred for a

rescreening by facility staff; or, additional information is received that bears on an inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness."

To ensure part of the requirement is not missed, the DOC-2863 form reminds those participating in a sexual abuse incident review that the alleged victim and suspected perpetrator should have been rescreened for risk. There were no allegations of sexual abuse reported at MSCC during the audit documentation period that would have required additional screening.

(h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page nine, "PIOCs may not be disciplined for refusing to answer or for failing to disclose information in regards to the screening questions [§115.41(h)]."

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page three, "Inmates may not be disciplined for refusing to answer or for failing to disclose responses to the screening questions."

Interviews with staff who conduct risk screening confirmed that if a PIOC refused to answer questions, they would complete the screening with information otherwise available to them. Staff are permitted to review and record a minimum amount of protected health information to determine an PIOC's risk. There were no interviews of PIOCs that indicated they had been disciplined for refusing to answer screening questions. None of the screenings reviewed indicated a PIOC refused to answer questions.

(i) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "Appropriate controls shall be placed on the dissemination of information gathered from the screenings to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the PIOC's detriment by staff or other PIOCs [§115.41(i), §115.341(e)]." Interviews with screening staff confirmed they are aware that information obtained during the screening process is to remain confidential unless there is a legitimate need to know.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness as it relates to PREA.

115.42	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.42 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #306.00.72, Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness & Sexual Victimization DAI Policy #500.70.27, Transgender Management & Care DOC-3793 form, Transgender Housing Evaluation DOC-2570 form, PIOC Offsite Review Interview with PREA director Interview with PREA compliance manager Interview with staff responsible for risk screening PIOC file reviews Observation of facility operations while onsite (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, “Further, any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness occurring in an institutional setting shall be confidential and strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff members, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education and program assignments or as otherwise required by law [§115.81(d), §115.381(c)].” DAI Policy #306.00.72 states on pages three and four, “Screening information shall be used to inform staff making housing and bed assignments. The expectation is to keep inmates who score as a high risk of being sexually victimized separate from those scoring as a high risk for being sexually abusive. Screening information shall be used to inform staff making work, education, and program assignments. The expectation is to supervise or separate inmates who score as a high risk of being sexually victimized from those scoring as a high risk for being sexually abusive. Depending upon each inmate’s responses and history, the screening tool categorizes each as being designated a ROV [Risk of Victimization], ROA [Risk of Abusiveness], or

none. ROV and ROA categorizations shall be recorded as a security special handling type and security housing recommendation in WICS.”

Information from the screening form is considered in the final determination of the PIOC’s housing and program assignments. A PREA status review is part of all offsite work requests and noted on form DOC-2570. At the time of the onsite review, there were no PIOC’s identified as being at risk of vulnerability; there were three PIOC’s identified as being at risk of aggressiveness.

(b) DAI Policy #306.00.72 states on page four, “Individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate shall be made.” This auditor reviewed the March 2025 Staffing Plan, which shows the facility takes care to identify those PIOC’s with unique needs who may be especially vulnerable to any form of abuse, including sexual.

The screening tool has an additional Section C at the bottom of the form for the risk screener to document other factors related to aggressive/vulnerability that may be significant, but not otherwise addressed in the scored questions, that warrant an override. There may be special circumstances indicated by the PIOC’s behavior, criminal history, needs, or medical/mental health status that have not been addressed adequately and warrant placement in a living unit other than what has been indicated. Staff are instructed to provide detailed information. Overrides can be requested to change an PIOC’s housing consideration from a lower or a higher level. Overrides are encouraged when an PIOC’s score does not seem to be an accurate reflection of their actual risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

(c, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 11, “When making facility, cell/unit housing and programmatic assignments for transgender or intersex PIOC’s the DOC shall consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the PIOC’s health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems [§115.42(c), §115.342(d)], in addition to serious consideration of the PIOC’s own views with respect to their own safety [§115.42(e), §115.342(f)].”

DAI Policy #300.00.72 states on page four, “If an inmate identifies as transgender or discloses an intersex condition, the screener shall notify the facility’s PSU Supervisor or designated staff member to, in consultation with classification, security and/or healthcare staff, ensure:

1. An inmate is not placed in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely on the basis of such identification or status.

2. Housing and programming assignments are made on a case-by-case basis. Such placement decisions shall ensure the inmate's health and safety, which includes giving serious consideration to the inmate's own view of safety and any management or security problems."

DAI Policy #500.70.27 outlines the agency's approach to providing appropriate treatment and accommodations for PIOC's who are transgender, meet DSM-5 criteria for Gender Dysphoria (GD), or have a verified intersex condition. PIOC's may identify as transgender or intersex at intake or at any other time while incarcerated. Upon identification, staff will notify the PSU supervisor who will make further notifications. DOC utilizes a Transgender Committee to make individualized facility placement decisions for transgender PIOC's. Committee members include the Bureau of Health Services (BHS) Director, Medical Director, Mental Health Director, GD Medical Consultant, Psychology Director, Psychiatry Director, Division of Community Corrections (DCC) Psychology Manager, PREA Director, Nursing Director, DAI Security Chief, Facility head or Deputy Warden, and others as deemed appropriate. The committee convenes at least quarterly and is required by policy to address issues pertaining to PIOC's or offenders who are transgender or diagnosed with GD or an intersex condition. The committee may consult with community-based providers who specialize in the evaluation and treatment of GD to make recommendations regarding medically necessary treatment and will make recommendations as needed regarding management issues, allowed property, and accommodations.

All facilities are approved for transgender or intersex PIOC's except Gordon Correctional Center, McNaughton Correctional Center, Chippewa Valley Correctional Treatment Facility, Flambeau Correctional Center, Prairie du Chien Correctional Institution, and St. Croix Correctional Center. Placement may occur at any approved site. PIOC's who have completed gender affirming surgery "...shall be placed in a facility consistent with the reassignment treatment."

Transgender PIOC's are permitted by policy to wear undergarments corresponding to the desired gender. Cosmetics are allowed for all PIOC's, regardless of facility. Indigent PIOC's may submit a written request to HSU for chemical depilatory products for hair removal.

The policy implements 11 specific guidelines to be considered after a person in custody requests placement at a facility consistent with their gender identity. The policy implementation includes form DOC-3793, Transgender Housing Evaluation, for use when a transgender person in custody requests new placement. The form captures each guideline, benchmarks, comments from the psychological services unit, and transgender housing committee notes. Reasons for the ultimate decision

and any recommended follow up are documented and moved forward to the agency head, who ultimately approves, denies, or defers the decision.

There were no transgender or intersex PIOC's at the facility during the onsite review.

(d) DAI Policy #500.70.27 states on page six, "Placement and programming assignments shall be reassessed at a minimum of every six months in a reclassification hearing and shall include a review of any threats to safety experienced by the PIOC. The assigned OCS [Offender Classification Specialist] shall document in the WICS current offense description box: DAI Policy 500.70.27 applies to the management of this PIOC with the requirement for classification review every six months."

DAI Policy #300.00.72 states on page four, "In accordance with DAI policy 500.70.27, placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate shall be reviewed at a reclassification hearing a minimum of every six months to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate."

MSCC did not have any transgender PIOC's at the time of the onsite review. The facility PCM was knowledgeable of the requirement for reviews during an interview.

(f) DAI Policy #300.00.72 states on page four, "Transgender and intersex inmates shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates who are not transgender or intersex."

DAI Policy 500.70.27 states on page four, "Transgender and intersex PIOC shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other PIOC. PIOC taking cross-gender hormones or with secondary sex characteristics of the desired gender (e.g., biological males with breast development) shall shower separately from PIOC who are not transgender or intersex. Security, PSU, or HSU staff shall make this determination. For any PIOC who showers separately, PSU staff shall enter a 'Shower Separately' designation into the WICS Special Handling module."

MSCC did not have any transgender PIOC's at the time of the onsite review.

	(g) According to the agency PREA Director, MSCC is not subject to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for protecting LGBTI PIOC's, and does not place those PIOC's in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely based on such identification. MSCC did not have any transgender or intersex PIOC's at the time of the onsite review. This auditor interviewed on gay PIOC who stated they had no been housed in a dedicated part of the facility based on their sexual orientation. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of use of screening information as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.43 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #306.05.01, Protective Confinement DAI Policy #306.00.72, Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness & Sexual Victimization DOC-30 form, Review of PIOC in Restrictive Housing PIOC housing records Interview with facility head Interviews with random PIOC's (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "Adult PIOC's at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be separated from the general population unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the facility may separate the PIOC involuntarily from the general population for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment [§115.43(a)]."

DAI Policy #306.05.01 states on page two, "Inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary restrictive housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. An inmate shall not be held for more than 24 hours pending this assessment."

DAI Policy #306.00.72 states on pages four and five, "Inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be separated from the general population unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has likely been made there are no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the facility may separate the inmate involuntarily from the general population for less than 24 hours while complete the assessment. If an inmate is voluntarily separated from the general population the facility shall document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety and the reason an alternate placement cannot be arranged."

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "PIOCs separated from the general population for this purpose shall have access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities to the extent possible. If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities the facility shall document the opportunities limited, the reason for such limitations and the duration of the limitation [§115.43(b)]."

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "Involuntary separation of adult PIOCs from the general population shall only be until alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and shall not ordinarily exceed 30 calendar days [§115.43(c)]."

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "If a PIOC is involuntarily separated from the general population the facility shall document the basis for the facility's concern for the PIOC's safety and the reason an alternate placement cannot be arranged [§115.43(d)]."

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "Every 30 days, the facility shall review the PIOC's circumstances to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population and document accordingly [§115.43(e)]."

DAI Policy #306.05.01 states on page three, "Ensure inmate's protective confinement

	placement is reviewed every 30 days to determine if placement remains necessary. Document reviews of existing protective confinement placement on DOC-30.” The PAQ stated that MSCC has not used involuntary segregation as a means of separation or protection for PIOC’s at high risk for sexual victimization. No PIOC interviewed indicated they had been placed in involuntary segregation as a means of protection from being sexually victimized. All staff interviewed indicated they would utilize a move to a different housing unit or move an alleged perpetrator. MSCC does not have segregated housing space, due to the nature of the programs and work opportunities at the facility. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for risk of protective custody as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.51 Inmate reporting	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.51 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC PIOC posters within the facility DOC “Third Party” posters within the facility DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook Interview with PREA Compliance Manager Interviews with random staff Interviews with random contractors and volunteers Interviews with random PIOC’s (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 11-12, “The DOC shall provide multiple ways for PIOC’s to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other PIOC’s or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment,

and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents.”

Internal and external reporting options are readily available to PIOC's on the PREA signs (in English and Spanish) posted throughout the facility. PIOC's are encouraged to tell any staff person, write any staff person, dial an internal or external telephone number, file a grievance, tell a third party, or write to local law enforcement.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, “In addition, the DOC shall provide at least one way for PIOC's to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the DOC [§115.51(a, b), §115.351(a, b)].” PIOC's are notified of the external reporting option on the PREA signs throughout the facility. A PIN is not needed to make an external report.

MSCC has an agreement with the Wisconsin State Capitol Police Department as an external reporting mechanism. Any PIOC can make a report to them by dialing 888 on the PIOC telephone system.

Interviews with random PIOC's confirmed they are aware of available reporting mechanisms. MSCC does not house PIOC's detained solely for civil immigration purposes.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, “Staff members shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties; promptly document any verbal reports...”

Staff are trained on the expectation to immediately report during PREA-related trainings, as verified by curriculum review and through interviews with random staff.

(d) MSCC staff, volunteers, and contractors can report sexual abuse and sexual harassment privately to any supervisor. Interviews with staff, volunteers, and contractors did not indicate that any person had concerns with regards to private reporting mechanisms and all stated that they felt comfortable reporting.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for PIOC reporting as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.52 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #310.00.01, Inmate Complaints Regarding Staff Misconduct Agency Administrative Code, Chapter 310.08, PREA Complaint Procedure MSCC PIOC Handbook Interview with the agency PREA Director Interview with Institution Complaint Examiner (a) DOC Executive Directive 72, states on page 13, “All sexual abuse and sexual harassment complaints filed through the Inmate Complaint Review System shall be immediately referred to facility leadership for review and sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation. See Investigations (section XVII.) for guidelines. PIOC’s shall be notified within 30 days of the initial complaint that the portion of the complaint alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment has been referred for review and possible investigation and the Inmate Complaint Review process has concluded.” DAI Policy #310.00.01 states on page two, “Complaints regarding staff sexual misconduct shall be handled according to provisions of Executive Directive 72. Upon review of an inmate complaint that has an allegation of any action which may appear to be sexual harassment or sexual abuse, the ICE [Institution Complaint Examiner] shall forward the inmate complaint to the PCM/PCM backup to determine if the allegations meet the definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment under PREA, and to determine if the allegation has been previously reported. Upon receiving an inmate complaint from an ICE, the PCM/PCM backup shall review to determine if the allegation meets the definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment under PREA and whether the allegation has been previously reported. The PCM/PCM backup shall inform the ICE if the allegation meets the definition and if it has been reported. If the

allegation meets the definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and has previously been reported but not investigated, the ICE shall dismiss the complaint per ED 72 and refer for investigation.”

Pages seven through ten of the MSCC PIOC Handbook explain the Inmate Complaint Review System (ICRS) available to people in custody. Page ten states, “Complaints filed under this section will be referred for a PREA investigation.”

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, “A time limit shall not be imposed on when a PIOC may submit a complaint regarding an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment though other applicable time limits may still apply to any portion of the complaint that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. All appeals shall be made in accordance with Wisconsin State statutory time limits and referred to the appropriate reviewing authority [§115.52(b), §115.352(b)].”

DOC 310.08 states, “Notwithstanding s. DOC 310.07(2), an inmate may file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment at any time. If a portion of the complaint alleges an issue that does not related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the time limits under s. DOC 310.07 apply. Notwithstanding s. DOC 310.07(1) or (8), an inmate is not required to attempt to resolve the issue with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint or to file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The inmate may use an alternative method of filing, including submission of the complaint directly to the warden. Complaints filed under this section will be referred for a PREA investigation. Department policy shall address the requirements that investigations regarding allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be completed within established time frames.”

Page eight of the MSCC PIOC Handbook states, “An inmate may not file more than one complaint per calendar week except for complaints regarding the inmate’s health and personal safety or complaints made under PREA.” Page nine states, “An inmate may file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment at any time. If a portion of the complaint alleges an issue that does not relate to sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the time limits apply.” Page ten states, “Time frames are waived for PREA related complaints, this does not apply to PREA related complaint appeals.”

MSCC did not deny any grievances of this nature due to a time limitation during the audit review period.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, "Each facility shall ensure that a PIOC who alleges sexual abuse or sexual harassment may submit a complaint without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint and that such a complaint is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The PIOC may use an alternate method of filing [§115.52(c), §115.352(c)]."

Page ten of the MSCC PIOC Handbook states, "An inmate is not required to attempt to resolve the issue with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint or to file a complaint regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment with the staff member who is the subject of the complaint."

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72, states on page 13, "All sexual abuse and sexual harassment complaints filed through the Inmate Complaint Review System shall be immediately referred to facility leadership for review and sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation. See Investigations (section XVII.) for guidelines. PIOC's shall be notified within 30 days of the initial complaint that the portion of the complaint alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment has been referred for review and possible investigation and the Inmate Complaint Review process has concluded."

During the audit review period, MSCC did not receive any complaints alleging staff sexual misconduct.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, "Third parties, including fellow PIOC's, staff, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist a PIOC in filing complaints related to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. A parent or legal guardian of a juvenile shall be permitted to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse on behalf of such juvenile. Such a grievance shall not be conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on their behalf. Complaints filed shall be referred for sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation [§115.52(e), §115.352(e)]."

DOC 310.08 states, "Third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist an inmate in filing a request for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates. Requests for administrative remedies filed under this section will be referred for a PREA investigation."

Page ten of the MSCC PIOC Handbook states, "Third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist an inmate in filing a request for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates."

There were no grievances filed by a third party during the audit review period.

(f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, "If a PIOC alleges that he or she is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the PIOC may contact any staff member who is not the subject of the allegation. Staff shall immediately forward the allegation to facility leadership for immediate corrective action. Facility leadership shall provide an initial response within 48 hours and issue a final decision within 5 calendar days. The initial response and final facility decision shall document the facility's determination whether the PIOC is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency complaint. Further response shall be in accordance with Staff Reporting (section XIV. C.) [§115.52(f), §115.352(f)]."

DOC 310.08 states, "Emergency grievance procedures for complaints alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be handled in the following manner: the inmate may contact any staff member who is not the subject of the allegation for immediate corrective action. The inmate may file a complaint. Complaints collected under s. DOC 310.08 shall be immediately forwarded to the warden to determine if immediate action is warranted. Reports of substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment outside of the complaint process under this chapter shall be immediately forwarded to the warden to determine if immediate action is warranted. Further response will be in accordance with department policy."

Page ten of the MSCC PIOC Handbook states, "Emergency grievance procedures for complaints alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment are: (a) The inmate may contact any staff member who is not the subject of the allegation for immediate corrective action. (b) The inmate may file a complaint. Complaints collected under s. DOC 310.08 shall be immediately forwarded to the warden. (c) Reports of substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment outside of the complaint process under this chapter shall be immediately forwarded to the [superintendent]."

	There were no emergency grievances filed during the audit review period. (g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, “The DOC may discipline a PIOC for a complaint filed alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment only where the DOC demonstrates that the complaint was filed in bad faith [§115.52(g), §115.352(g)].” DOC 310.08 states, “The warden may discipline an inmate for filing a complaint related to alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment only if the warden demonstrates that the inmate filed the complaint in bad faith.” Page 11 of the MSCC PIOC Handbook states, “The [superintendent] may discipline an inmate for filing a complaint related to alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment only if the [superintendent] demonstrates that the inmate filed the complaint in bad faith.” MSCC did not discipline any PIOCs for filing a grievance in bad faith during the audit review period. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of screening for exhaustion of administrative remedies as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.53 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #410.50.04, Support Services & Retaliation Monitoring
DOC Memorandums of Understanding with Aurora and Reach Counseling
DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook
POC-41B form, Sexual Abuse in Confinement: A Resource for Offenders
DOC-2937 form, Advocacy Request
Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
Interview with confidential, community-based advocate
Interviews with random PIOC

(a-c) DAI Policy #410.20.01 directs that upon arrival at an intake facility, each PIOC will receive a copy of the DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook and a copy of form POC-41B. Form POC-41B provides additional support information for victims of sexual abuse, including the name, address, and telephone number for the local sexual assault service provider. The information is provided again at any subsequent facilities. The bottom of the form includes information about the extent to which communications will be monitored – “Every effort will be made to ensure that your communication with the local sexual assault service provider remain confidential. Your PIN is not needed to make this call. These calls are not recorded or monitored. Written correspondence may be opened or inspected and may be read with the written approval of the Security Director. In person communication will be arranged in as private and confidential manner as possible.”

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, “...the facility shall provide PIOC with access to outside victim advocates, with whom the DOC shall maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding with, for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. Access includes giving PIOC mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available. The facility shall enable reasonable communication between PIOC and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible and, in advance, provide notification to PIOC of the extent to which such conversations will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws [§115.53, §115.353(a-c)].”

DOC has a written and signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Aurora Healing & Advocacy Services that was executed on August 19, 2019. The term of the MOU is until any party gives written notice that they intend to terminate the agreement. Through the agreement, Aurora provides an advocate to accompany and support victims of sexual abuse through the forensic medical examination as requested by the victim via DOC, if available. As part of the process, Aurora is contacted by Aurora Sinai Medical Center whenever an incarcerated survivor presents to their emergency department for a forensic medical examination. Aurora staff will connect those incarcerated survivors with appropriate resources and service

providers. All services provided by Aurora are free, confidential, trauma-informed, and client-driven, so each situation can vary depending on the needs of the client.

An interview with a confidential, community-based advocate indicated that Aurora does not have much interaction with incarcerated survivors at MSCC.

PREA postings within MSCC state, "Even if you choose not to report you can still receive support. This community has a sexual assault service provider. Sexual assault service providers are trained to provide confidential support after sexual abuse. They will listen and provide information and education. Their services are free and not connected to WI Department of Corrections." The posting identifies Aurora, provides an internal telephone number, and their mailing address. It notes that a PIN is not needed to place a call that is not monitored or recorded. It notes that written correspondence may be opened or inspected and may be read with the written approval of the security director.

DAI Policy #410.50.04 states on page two, "For every alleged victim of sexual abuse, the VSC [Victim Services Coordinator] is tasked with the following responsibilities, which are described in greater detail below: victim accompaniment, facilitating support services, and monitoring for retaliation." Page four states, "Upon notification of an alleged sexual abuse victim, the VSC shall meet with the alleged victim as soon as possible to offer internal and external support services. If the alleged victim accepts offered services, the VSC shall refer to internal supports (i.e. PSU, HSU, Chaplain, etc.) and/or facilitate contact with the local SASP.

1. The SASP may meet with the alleged victim via telephone, videoconferencing or in person. In person SASP visits shall be managed as all other professional visits within a correctional facility and shall be held in the same location, or equivalent, as attorney visits to ensure confidentiality. 2. Prior to any SASP contact, the VSC shall inform the alleged victim of the extent to which communication with the SASP may be monitored.

3. The VSC shall serve as the SASPs facility-based point of contact and shall coordinate all contact between the SASP and the victim. The VSC shall ensure the SASP has proper clearance to enter the facility.

4. Following an investigation, if the incident is determined unfounded, the VSC may discontinue support services. If the incident is determined unsubstantiated or substantiated, the VSC shall periodically review the need for continued support with the alleged victim, SASP and internal support providers, as needed.

During periodic retaliation monitoring status checks described below, the VSC shall

	ask the alleged victim about the individual’s perceived degree of wellness. Support services shall be modified, as needed. If the alleged victim declines support services initially, but requests support at a later date, the VSC shall make accommodations.” After a reported experience of sexual abuse, PIOC’s are provided with an Advocacy Request form. The form outlines the assistance available from the community’s local sexual assault service provider, in addition to the on-site facility-related support. If requested by the incarcerated survivor, the facility’s victim services coordinator will share their name with Aurora and indicate their interest in receiving support services. The form notes that PIOC’s are free to reach out on their own by calling #999 from any PIOC phone. The original is maintained by the facility’s victim services coordinator, with a copy provided to the incarcerated survivor. Most of the PIOC’s interviewed while onsite were not able to provide detailed information related to advocacy or the services provided by advocates but were able to identify the advocacy center and knew how to contact an advocate if needed. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of PIOC access to outside confidential support services as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.54 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC postings within the facility DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook MSCC PIOC Handbook DOC website

DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, "The DOC shall provide a method for third parties to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a PIOC. Information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf on a PIOC shall be posted publicly [§115.54, §115.354]."

The DOC website states, "To notify DOC on behalf of an PIOC or youth, a third party may report by email. Please provide as much detail as possible, including...Reports may be discussed with the victim named in the report. Information related to the report will only be shared with those who need to know to ensure the victim's safety and begin an administrative investigation." The passage includes a link to send an email to docsecosopreainvestigations@wisconsin.gov.

Page ten of the DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook states, "If you experience, witness, or suspect sexual abuse or sexual harassment you can report in ANY of these ways: Tell ANY staff person. Send a request to ANY staff person. Call the PREA Reporting Hotline. Tell a family member, friend, or outside support person; they may report on your behalf by telling any staff person or submitting a report at www.doc.wi.gov (click on 'Prison Rape Elimination Act'). File a complaint. Contact local law enforcement." The DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook is available to the public on the agency's website at [PIOCPREAHandbook.pdf \(wi.gov\)](#).

The MSCC PIOC Handbook is available to the public in both Spanish and English on the agency's website at [KENOSHA CORRECTIONAL CENTER](#). While the website links to an address identified as Kenosha Correctional Center, the handbook is common to all facilities under the Wisconsin Correctional Center System, to include MSCC.

DOC has created a Third-Party poster (in English and Spanish) for the entrance and in visiting areas of the facility with the following information:

"Wisconsin Department of Corrections has ZERO TOLERANCE for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. To report on behalf of an PIOC: Tell ANY staff person. Go to www.doc.wi.gov. Click on Prison Rape Elimination Act. Contact local law enforcement."

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has

	determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of third-party reporting as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.61 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #410.50.04, Support Services & Retaliation Monitoring DOC PREA training curriculum DOC First Responder cards Interview with facility head Interview with PREA director Interviews with random staff Interviews with medical and mental health staff (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, “Staff members shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties; promptly document any verbal reports [§115.51(c), §115.351(c)]; and immediately report [§115.61(a), §115.361(a)]: a. Any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the DOC; b. Any incidents of retaliation against PLOCs or staff who reported such an incident; and/or c. Any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. Reports shall be immediately reported to a supervisor who is not the subject of the allegation, unless reporting to such person compromises the safety of the alleged victim, witness(es) or reporter. In those instances, a private report shall be made to the PREA Office or submitted electronically via the DOC’s public website [§115.51(d), §115.351(e)].”

DOC staff training directs that all employees "...must accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties" and "...must report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is a part of the DOC". They are required to "...report any incidents of retaliation against offenders or employees who reported an incident, and report any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation." The reports must be immediately provided to a supervisor who is not the subject of the allegation, unless reporting compromises the safety of the alleged victim, any witnesses, or the reporter. In those cases, the report shall be made to the PREA Office, local law enforcement, or submitted electronically via the DOC's website.

Interviews with random staff confirmed they are aware of their responsibility to immediately report, as required by agency policy, staff training, and the standard.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, "Staff members shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment report to anyone other than to supervisors, investigators, and designated officials. Such information shall be limited to information necessary to make treatment, investigation and other security and management decisions [§115.61(b), §115.361(c)]."

DAI Policy #410.50.04 states on page three, "When working with an alleged victim, the VSC shall maintain an appropriate degree of confidentiality at all times. Apart from discussing with designated supervisors, staff may not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse incident to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions."

DOC staff training directs, "Apart from reporting to designated supervisors, staff shall not reveal any knowledge, suspicion, or information related to sexual abuse other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions."

DOC has created a series of First Responder cards based on position - Community Corrections staff, Healthcare staff, Youth Counselor, Non-Security staff, and Security staff. The card has a notice of confidentiality as a reminder - "Apart from reporting to designated supervisors, staff shall not reveal any knowledge, suspicion, or information related to sexual abuse other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions."

Interviews with random staff confirmed they are aware of their responsibility to keep information related to sexual abuse confidential, as required by agency policy, staff training and the standard.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, "Medical and mental health practitioners shall be required to report sexual abuse and to inform PIOC's of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services [§115.61(c), §115.361(d)]."

DOC-mandated training for all staff directs, "Professionals, including correctional officers, teachers, medical and mental health clinicians, are mandated reporters."

DOC medical and mental health staff are required to report information regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews with those staff confirmed they are aware of their responsibility. DOC PIOC's sign an informed consent form prior to receiving services that states medical and mental health staff will report if PIOC's disclose that they have been sexually assaulted or harassed by other PIOC's or staff.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 12, "If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult in accordance with State or local statute, the DOC shall report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws [§115.61(d), §115.361(b)]."

There were no reports of this nature during the audit review period.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, "The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources."

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of staff and agency reporting duties as it relates to PREA.

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.62 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC First Responder cards Interview with facility PREA Compliance Manager Interviews with random staff (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 13-14, “When the department or facility learns that a PIOC is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the PIOC [§115.62, §115.362].” To ensure staff are aware of their role as a first responder, DOC has created a series of First Responder cards based on position – Community Corrections staff, Healthcare staff, Youth Counselor, Non-Security staff, and Security staff. The card directs staff to take the following action in the event there is suspicion of or a report of imminent harm: “Act to protect the offender from immediate harm. Gather basic information about the offender’s risk. Notify a security supervisor. Document the reported concern and response.” Interviews with random staff confirmed they are aware of their responsibility to take immediate action if they learn an PIOC is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The facility PREA Compliance manager indicated the facility did not have to take any immediate actions during the audit period due to a PIOC being at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has

	determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of agency protection duties as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.63 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 Interview with facility head/PCM Interviews with investigative staff (a-d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 12-13, “Within 72 hours of receiving an allegation that a PIOC was the victim of sexual abuse while confined at another facility, the information shall be reported by the head, or designee, of the facility to the head, or designee, of the facility where the alleged abuse occurred [§115.63(a, b), §115.363(a, b)]. In the event the alleged victim is a juvenile, facility staff shall also notify the appropriate investigative agency [§115.363(a)]. All notifications shall be documented and the appointing authority that receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated [§115.63(c, d), §115.363(c, d)].” DOC has developed a template to be sent from the facility head where the allegation was received to the facility head where the allegation was reported to have occurred. The template reflects the language in the standard, and includes the reporting PIOC’s name, date of incident and incident details. The template is completed in the electronic database, which automatically notifies a list of recipients at the location where the allegation was reported to have occurred. If the report has not previously been responded to, the PCM (who is also the MSCC facility head) notifies the warden or superintendent at the facility where the report was alleged to have occurred. Interviews with the facility head/PCM and investigative staff confirmed they are aware of these requirements. The facility had not received any allegations or referred any allegations during the audit documentation period.

	Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of reporting to other confinement facilities as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.64 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC PREA Training Curriculum DOC First Responder cards Interviews with random staff (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, "Upon learning of an allegation that a PIOC was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall, at a minimum [§115.64(a), §115.364(a)]: a. Separate the alleged victim and abuser; b. Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence; c. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating; and d. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating."

DOC Staff PREA training curriculum explains first responder actions may be different based on the employee's position and may need to be adjusted based on the incident or reported information. The training states, "For example, in an emergency situation you may have to separate the alleged victim and suspect or respond to acute medical needs, before notifying a supervisor or asking questions. Or, if the alleged incident happened long ago there may be no need to ask the victim to refrain from actions that could destroy or damage physical evidence."

To ensure staff are aware of their role as a first responder, DOC has created a series of First Responder cards based on position – Community Corrections staff, Healthcare staff, Youth Counselor, Non-Security staff, and Security staff.

The Security staff First Responder card reminds staff to ask basic questions; notify their supervisor immediately; separate the alleged victim and suspect; notify HSU/PSU; preserve and protect any crime scene; maintain custody of evidence; and document the incident and response.

During the audit review period, no allegations of sexual abuse were reported. Interviews with random security staff confirmed they understood their responsibilities to first response.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, "If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, the responder shall request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff [§115.64(b), §115.364(b)]."

The Non-Security First Responder card reminds staff to ask basic questions; notify their supervisor immediately; request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence; and document the incident and response.

During the audit review period there were no allegations of sexual abuse reported. Interviews with random non-security staff confirmed they understood their responsibilities related to first response.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency is fully compliant with this standard of staff first responder duties as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.65	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.65 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 MSCC Coordinated Response Plan (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 13, “Each facility shall develop a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership [§115.65, §115.365].” DOC provides a template for facilities to be used as a guide during the construction of a facility-specific coordinated response plan. MSCC last created/revised their facility-specific plan on September 29, 2025, and it outlines the actions taken by facility staff in response to an incident of sexual assault. The response includes when the initial disclosure is within 120 hours of a sexual assault incident, investigative actions, the forensic examination, after action and follow-up care, court referral/presentation and the after-action review. The plan includes a flow chart for the process from report through investigation and a list of critical contacts for notification purposes. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of coordinated response as it relates to PREA.

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with
---------------	---

	abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.66 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: DOC Executive Directive 72 Interview with agency head/designee Interview with facility head (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page five, “Neither the DOC nor any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the DOC’s behalf shall enter into or renew any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the DOC’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any PIOC’s pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted [§115.66(a), §115.366(a)].” Interviews with the agency head and facility head confirmed they do not engage in any form of collective bargaining. (b) Auditor is not required to audit this provision. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of protecting people in custody from contact with abusers as it relates to PREA.

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.67

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #410.50.04, Support Services & Retaliation Monitoring

DOC-2805 form, Sexual Abuse Allegation Staff Retaliation Monitoring

DOC-2767 form, Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Incident VSC Response Checklist

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Review of investigative files

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 17, "Each facility shall designate a staff member(s) to monitor retaliation to ensure that all PIOC's and staff involved in the reporting or investigation of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment are protected [§115.67(a), §115.367(a)]."

DAI Policy #410.50.04 states on page four, "Following a report of sexual abuse, the VSC [Victim Services Coordinator] shall monitor the conduct and treatment of the reporter and alleged victim once every 30 days for at least 90 days. Monitoring efforts shall continue beyond 90 days if there is a continuing need. If the report is determined to be unfounded, efforts to monitor retaliation may be discontinued. In addition to monthly conversations with the reporter and victim, items to monitor include any inmate disciplinary reports, housing, or program changes. The VSC shall work with appropriate supervisors to promptly remedy retaliation concerns and document actions taken."

The facility PCM or backup PCM is tasked with monitoring staff members involved in the reporting or investigation of sexual abuse, using the DOC-2805 form. The facility Victim Services Coordinator is tasked with monitoring any PIOC's involved in the reporting or investigation of sexual abuse, using the DOC-2767 form.

(b, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, "For PIOC's or staff members who express fear of retaliation, the facility shall take appropriate protective measures. [§115.67(b, e), §115.367(b, e)]."

An interview with the facility PCM confirmed there are multiple protective measures that can be taken for staff or PIOC's who express fear of retaliation. For staff, it could include a change of job assignment, shift, or transfer to another facility. For PIOC's, it could include a housing move, a change in programming or work assignment, or transfer to another facility.

	(c-d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, “For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the designated facility-based employee(s) shall monitor the conduct and treatment of the staff member(s) who reported the sexual abuse to determine if retaliation occurred. Monitoring shall be documented and may include reviews, performance evaluations or work reassignments. Employees shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. Monitoring beyond 90 days shall continue if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need [§115.67(c), §115.367(c)].” The facility PCM or back up PCM (for staff members) and the facility Victim Services Coordinator (for PLOCs) monitor retaliation for at least 90 days or beyond when there is an ongoing need. Any status checks and follow-up action steps are documented. MSCC did not receive any allegations of retaliation during the audit documentation period, as documented on the PAQ. Interview with the facility PCM, back up PCM, and the person responsible for retaliation monitoring confirmed they are knowledgeable of the requirements associated with retaliation monitoring. (f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, “The DOC’s obligation to monitor shall terminate if DOC determines that the allegation is unfounded [§115.67(f), §115.367(f)].” Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of agency protection against retaliation as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.68 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

	MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 Interview with PREA Compliance Manager (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, “Any use of restricted status housing to protect a PIOC who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse shall be subject to the requirements of §115.43 and §115.343 as found within Placement (section XIII.) [§115.68, §115.368].” Due to the nature of the facility, there is not a traditional segregated housing unit nor the ability to segregate one PIOC from the general population for a prolonged period. The facility PREA Compliance Manager reported that MSCC did not use segregated housing to protect an PIOC who was alleged to have suffered sexual abuse during the audit period. There were no allegations of sexual abuse reported at MSCC during the audit documentation period. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of post-allegation protective custody as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.71 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations training curriculum DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations Resource Guide DAI Policy #306.00.15, Inmate Investigations DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, Employee Disciplinary Investigations Interview with PREA Compliance Manager Interviews with investigative staff

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, “The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources. DOC shall maintain a policy(ies) that governs the conduct of such investigations [§115.22(a, d), §115.322(a, d), [§115.71(a), §115.371(a)].”

DAI Policy #306.00.15 states that investigations will be completed in a timely manner and strongly recommends an investigation be completed within 30 days.

The Internal Affairs Office (IAO) was expanded in July 2021 to enhance the agency’s ability to provide fair, impartial, thorough, and timely investigations into allegations of misconduct. IAO investigates all new allegations of sexual harassment and sexual abuse where a staff member is the subject.

Per DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, all PREA investigations are retained in the PREA Office.

Because there were no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment reported at MSCC, this auditor was unable to review any investigatory files.

(b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page eight, “Employees who investigate incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall receive specialized training on techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Garrity/Oddsen warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecutorial referral. The DOC shall maintain documentation of training completion [§115.34, §115.334, §115.71(b), §115.371(b), §115.371(b)].”

This auditor reviewed the DOC Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Investigations training curriculum and accompanying Resource Guide. The training includes instruction on interviewing sexual abuse victims, the proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. Interviews with investigative staff confirmed they were knowledgeable in each aspect of sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations.

DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304 states on page four, "In investigations regarding conduct which could constitute a criminal offense, pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Garrity v. New Jersey*, 385 U.S. 493 (1967), a public employee's refusal to answer cannot be used as grounds for discharge where he/she is required to answer the questions unless he/she has been warned that the statements cannot be used against the employee in criminal proceedings. Therefore, the investigators must give a Garrity Warning to the subject of the investigation. Investigators will utilize the Employee Investigation Reports —Investigations Involving Criminal Matters (DOA 15807 — G) form to document which of the following two options were read to the employee prior to starting an investigatory interview." The "following two options" noted in the policy are to either require answers from the employee and withhold any statements from a criminal proceeding, or to allow the employee the option of remaining silent or answers questions without withholding any statements from a criminal proceeding.

MSCC has three facility investigators. The PREA Director's office maintains a spreadsheet noting all trained investigators employed by the agency. This auditor reviewed training dates for all three facility staff to ensure the required training was received.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, "Investigators shall preserve and/or collect direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator [§115.71(c), §115.371(c)]."

An interview with investigative staff confirmed they are knowledgeable on evidence collection, interviewing and interrogation techniques and the requirement to review prior reports of sexual abuse involving the alleged perpetrator.

(d) An interview with investigative staff confirmed they do not conduct compelled interviews; such interviews may be conducted by local law enforcement.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, "The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as PIOC or staff member. The DOC shall not require a PIOC who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation [§115.71(e), §115.371(f)]."

An interview with investigative staff confirmed they are conducting credibility assessments properly, and do not require incarcerated survivors to submit to a polygraph or other truth-telling device as a condition for investigation.

(f-g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, "Administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse [§115.71(f), §115.371(g)]... Administrative investigative reports shall include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments and the investigative facts and findings [§115.71(f, i), §115.371(g, j)]."

An interview with investigative staff confirmed they are knowledgeable on report writing requirements and conducting credibility assessments. Agency and facility investigators do not conduct criminal investigations.

(h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, "Allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior shall be referred for investigation to local law enforcement. All referrals to law enforcement shall be documented. The policy describing such referrals, in addition to the investigative responsibilities of the DOC and local law enforcement, shall be published and maintained on the DOC's website [§115.22(b, c), §115.322(b, c), §115.71(h), §115.371(i)]."

DAI Policy #306.00.15 states on page four, "All reports of sexual abuse shall be reported to law enforcement by the facility PREA Compliance Manager/designee. Reports of sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior shall be referred to law enforcement."

The PAQ indicated MSCC did not receive any allegations of sexual abuse during the audit documentation period; therefore, no allegations were referred to law enforcement.

(i) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, "Administrative and criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report to be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the DOC, plus ten years."

	(j) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, “The departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or the DOC, or the recantation of the allegation, shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation [§115.71(j), §115.371(d, k)].” (k) Auditor is not required to audit this provision. (l) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, “When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, the facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall work to remain informed about the progress of the investigation [§115.71(l), §115.371(m)].” Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of criminal and administrative agency investigations as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.72 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304, Employee Disciplinary Investigations DAI Policy #306.00.15, Inmate Investigations Interview with the facility head Interview with investigative staff (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 16, “The DOC shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether the allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated [§115.72, §115.372].”

	DOC Human Resources Policy #200.30.304 defines preponderance of evidence on page two as more likely than not. It is the evidentiary standard when determining if an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is substantiated. DAI Policy #306.00.15 defines preponderance of evidence on page two as more likely than not; a burden of proof, which requires more than 50% of the evidence supports a specific outcome. Interviews with the facility head and investigative staff confirmed they are aware of this standard in determining if allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. Because there were no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment reported during the audit documentation period, this auditor was unable to review any investigation files. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of evidentiary standard for administrative investigations as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.73 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC forms 2768, 2768A, 2768B and 2768C – Investigative Finding DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook Review of administrative and criminal investigations Interview with PREA Compliance Manager Interview with investigative staff

(a-b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 16-17, "Following an investigation of an allegation that a PIOC suffered sexual abuse in a DOC facility, the facility shall inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. If the DOC did not conduct the investigation, it shall request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the alleged victim."

The DAI Sexual Abuse & Sexual Harassment Prevention & Intervention handbook states on page 13, "Following an investigation, a report will be determined substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. You will be notified in writing of the outcome."

DOC and MSCC utilize a series of form letters to make notifications to PIOC's. The information on the form includes the victim's name and identification number, identification numbers assigned to the case, the date the case was closed, and the outcome of each allegation. Interviews with the agency PREA Director, facility PCM, and investigative staff indicated they obtain the appropriate information from investigative agencies to inform PIOC's.

The PAQ indicated there were no criminal or administrative investigations of alleged PIOC sexual abuse that were completed in the past 12 months; therefore, no notifications of this nature were made during the audit documentation period. No investigations were completed as no allegations were received by MSCC during the audit documentation period.

(c, e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, "Following a substantiated or unsubstantiated allegation of staff-on-PIOC sexual abuse the DOC shall inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, whenever the staff member is no longer posted within the alleged victim's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; or the DOC learns that the staff member has been indicted or convicted on a charge related to the initial allegation of sexual abuse [§115.73(c, e), §115.373(c, e)]."

The DOC 2768 forms include checkboxes to indicate if the alleged staff member is no longer posted in the PIOC's assigned living unit; is no longer employed at the facility; has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse committed within the facility; has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; and not applicable.

	The PAQ indicated there were no criminal or administrative investigations of alleged PIOC sexual abuse that were completed in the past 12 months; therefore, no notifications of this nature were made during the audit documentation period. No investigations were completed as no allegations were received by MSCC during the audit documentation period. (d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, “Following an allegation of PIOC-on-PIOC sexual abuse, the DOC shall inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, whenever the DOC learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted or convicted on a charge related to the initial allegation of sexual abuse [§115.73(d, e), §115.373(d, e)].” The DOC 2768 forms include checkboxes to indicate if the alleged PIOC suspect has been indicted or convicted on a charged related to sexual abuse committed within the facility. The PAQ indicated there were no criminal or administrative investigations of alleged PIOC sexual abuse that were completed in the past 12 months; therefore, no notifications of this nature were made during the audit documentation period. No investigations were completed as no allegations were received by MSCC during the audit documentation period. (f) Auditor is not required to audit this provision. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of reporting to PIOC as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.76

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses
DOC Executive Directive 2, Employee Discipline
DOC Executive Directive 72
Review of criminal and administrative investigations

(a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 17, "Employees who are found to have violated the DOC sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation policies shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination [115.76(a), §115.376(a)]."

MSCC did not have any substantiated cases of staff/PIOC sexual abuse during the audit documentation period.

(b, d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "Termination is the presumptive sanction for an employee who engaged in sexual abuse. All terminations for violations of the DOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, including resignations that would have resulted in termination if not for the resignation, shall be reported to any relevant licensing bodies [§115.76(b, d), §115.376 (b, d)]."

MSCC did not have any cases of staff/PIOC sexual abuse during the audit documentation period.

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 17-18, "Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the violation, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories [§115.76(c), §115.376(c)]."

DOC Executive Directive 2 states on page five, "If it is determined a work rule violation has occurred, the appointing authority will consider all of the following factors in determining the appropriate level of discipline: aggravating or mitigating circumstances surrounding the violation; progression schedule; just cause for discipline; and department policies and procedures."

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of disciplinary sanctions for staff as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.77 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 Interview with the facility head/PREA Compliance Manager Interview with Agency PREA Director Interviews with contractors and volunteers (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, “Any volunteer or contractor who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with PIOC’s and shall be reported to relevant licensing bodies.” The PAQ indicated that MSCC did not have any contractors or volunteers who engaged in the sexual abuse of a PIOC during the audit documentation period. (b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, “Appropriate remedial measures shall be taken by the facility to ensure the safety of PIOC’s in contact with volunteers and contractors [§115.77, §115.377)].” The PAQ indicated that MSCC did not have any instances of remedial measures with contractors or volunteers during the audit documentation period. Interviews with the agency PREA Director and facility head/PCM confirmed that any contractor suspected of engaging in any prohibited activity is immediately removed from the facility and prohibited from contact with PIOC’s. Interviews with contractors

	and volunteers confirmed they are aware of the agency's zero-tolerance policy and action the agency will take if they engage in prohibited conduct. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of corrective action for contractors and volunteers as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.78 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 Agency Administrative Code Chapter 303, Discipline Interviews with medical and mental health staff Interview with PREA Director Interview with facility head/facility PREA Compliance Manager Interview with PIOC disciplinary officer (a) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "PIOCs who have committed PIOC-on-PIOC sexual abuse are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process [§115.78(a), §115.378(a)]." The PAQ indicated that MSCC did not have any occurrences of PIOC-on-PIOC sexual abuse during the audit documentation period. (b) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the violation, the PIOC's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other PIOCs with similar histories [§115.78(b), §115.378(b)]."

(c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "The disciplinary process shall consider whether a perpetrating PIOC's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to their behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed [§115.78(c), §115.378(c)]."

If there are concerns about the PIOC's mental health, the PIOC disciplinary officer may request psychological input as appropriate regarding the mental health status of seriously mentally ill PIOCs at the time of the behavior. At MSCC, the PIOC disciplinary officer for major infractions is a captain.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "The facility shall consider requiring perpetrating PIOCs to participate in interventions, such as therapy or counseling, to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse [§115.78(d)]."

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "A PIOC may only be disciplined for sexual contact with a staff member upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact [§115.78(e), §115.378(e)]."

The PAQ indicated that no instances of sexual contact with a staff member of this nature occurred during the audit period. MSCC PIOCs who are victim of staff sexual misconduct are not disciplined.

(f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "Reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence to substantiate the allegation [§115.78(f), §115.378(f)]."

MSCC did not discipline any PIOCs for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith during the audit period. Interviews with the agency PREA Director and facility head/PCM confirmed allegations are determined to be in bad faith only when there is conclusive evidence the allegation did not occur, such as through video surveillance records.

(g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "While consensual sexual activity between PIOC's is prohibited in the DOC facilities, the DOC may not deem consensual sexual activities as sexual abuse if it is determined that the activity is not coerced [§115.78(g), §115.378(g)]."

Agency Administrative Code 303.14 states, "An inmate who does any of the following is guilty of sexual conduct:

- a) Requests, hires, or tells another person to have sexual intercourse, sexual contact, or engage in sexual conduct.
- b) Exposes the inmate's own intimate parts to another person for the purpose of sexual arousal or gratification.
- c) Has contact with or performs acts with an animal that would be sexual intercourse or sexual contact if with another person.
- d) Clutches, fondles, or touches the inmate's own intimate body parts, whether clothed or unclothed, while observable by another.
- e) Simulates a sexual act while observable by another.
- f) Kissing, hand holding, hugging, stroking, or other physical displays of affection except for that allowed under department policy.
- g) Engages in sexual harassment including repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature."

Agency Administrative Code 303.15 states, "An inmate who does any of the following is guilty of sexual contact or intercourse:

- a) Has sexual intercourse.
- b) Has sexual contact.
- c) Commits an act of sexual gratification with another person."

Both chapter notations indicate that consensual acts are prohibited. The facility PCM confirmed through interview that while sexual conduct/contact is prohibited, it is not treated as sexual abuse as defined by the PREA standards.

Conclusion:

	Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of disciplinary sanctions for PIOC's as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.81 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #410.30.01, Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness & Sexual Victimization DAI Policy #500.70.01, Mental Health Screening, Assessment & Referral DOC-1923 form, Limits of Confidentiality of Health Information Interviews with staff responsible for risk screening Interviews with random staff Interviews with medical and mental health staff Interviews with PIOC's who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening Review of PIOC files (a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages nine-ten, "If the intake screening, transfer screening or rescreening indicates a PIOC has previously experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional or community setting, staff shall ensure the PIOC is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the screening. If the screening indicates a PIOC has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether in an institutional or community setting, staff shall ensure the PIOC is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the screening [§115.81(a, b), §115.381(a, b)]." DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page five, "If the screening indicates an inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization and/or previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in a confinement setting or in the community, the inmate shall be offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. If accepted, the screener shall make a prompt referral to PSU or HSU. A follow-up meeting shall be held within 14 days of the intake screening."

If the screening indicates the PIOC has experienced prior sexual victimization or perpetrated sexual abuse, the PIOC is asked if they would like a follow up meeting. Their answer is documented on the screening form. If they indicate they do wish to have a follow up meeting, an electronic medical record note is automatically sent to PSU staff. In the 12 months preceding the onsite portion of the audit, there were not any PIOC's who reported experiencing sexual abuse prior to incarceration and wanted a follow up meeting. This auditor interviewed two PIOC's who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening; both confirmed they did not want a follow up meeting with PSU.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "Appropriate controls shall be placed on the dissemination of information gathered from the screenings to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the PIOC's detriment by staff or other PIOC's [§115.41(i), §115.341(e)]. Further, any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness occurring in an institutional setting shall be confidential and strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff members, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments or as otherwise required by law [§115.81(d), §115.381(c)]."

Interviews with staff confirmed they are aware of and adhere to the requirements around confidentiality.

(e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page ten, "Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from PIOC's before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the PIOC is under the age of 18 [§115.81(e), §115.381(d)]."

DAI Policy #410.30.01 states on page five, "Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from inmates and document such consent on a DOC-1163A before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in a confinement setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18."

DOC medical and mental health staff utilize form DOC-1923 to obtain informed consent from PIOC's. The form states, "Health care providers must report otherwise confidential information to the appropriate DOC authorities if it raises concern about a threat to you, a DAI or DJC correctional facility, community corrections operations, and/or public safety. This may include...reports of confinement-based sexual abuse,

	sexual harassment, or retaliation related to reporting either.” Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed their practice of obtaining informed consent as required by this provision of the standard. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of medical and mental care as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.82 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DAI Policy #500.30.19, Sexual Abuse – Health Services Unit Procedure in the Event of Sexual Abuse DAI Policy #316.00.01, PIOC Co-Payment for Health Services Interviews with medical and mental health staff (a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, “Victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment [§115.82(a), §115.382(a)]. In the event that no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, security staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim and shall immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioner(s) [§115.82(b), §115.352(b)].” Page 15 states, “The DOC’s medical response shall include the timely dissemination of information and access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis.”

	DAI Policy #500.30.19 states on page four, “The medical plan of care shall include...timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment without cost to the inmate patient [and] transfer to offsite for a SANE assessment when determine evidentiarily or medically appropriate by health care staff in consultation with the SANE.” The PAQ indicated no PIOC’s at MSCC received a forensic medical exam during the audit period. Interviews with medical staff confirmed incarcerated survivors are offered sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis medication and treatment during the forensic medical exam and upon their return to the facility. Medical and mental health referrals are documented in the investigation reports for each incident. (d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 14, “All medical and mental health treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident, and in a manner consistent with the community level of care [§115.82(d), §115.382(d), §115.83(c, g), §115.383(c, g)].” An attachment DAI Policy #316.00.01 shows that crisis intervention, evaluation, and treatment related to sexual abuse in confinement are provided without co-payment by the PIOC patient. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of access to emergency medical and mental health services as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.83	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.83

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy 500.70.01, Mental Health Screening, Assessment & Referral

Review of PIOC files

Interviews with medical and mental health staff

(a-c, f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, "The facility shall offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all PIOCs who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any confinement setting. The evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities or their release from custody [§115.83(a, b), §115.383(a, b)]. Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections."

Files for each PIOC selected for a targeted or random interview were reviewed. This auditor interviewed two PIOCs who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening; both confirmed they did not want a follow up meeting with PSU. There were no allegations of sexual abuse that required a referral.

Interviews with medical and mental health services staff members confirmed ongoing treatment is provided to victims of sexual abuse, as well as to known PIOC-on-PIOC abusers. When asked about the comparison with a community-level of care, they indicated they believed the facility's standard of care to be higher, as PIOCs are scheduled for appointments and do not have to seek these services out on their own.

Interviews with medical staff confirmed initial testing for sexually transmitted infections would occur at the hospital during the forensic medical examination, but any required follow up testing would occur at the facility. Incarcerated survivors who declined to receive a forensic medical examination can opt to have testing conducted at the facility, upon their request.

(d-e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, "Victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration shall be offered pregnancy tests, in addition to timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to lawful pregnancy related medical services [§115.83(d-f), §115.383(d-f)]."

	MSCC did not have any female PIOC's or transgender PIOC's who may require pregnancy-related services at the time of the onsite review or since their last audit. (g) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, "Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections." Page 14 states, "All medical and mental health treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident, and in a manner consistent with the community level of care [§115.82(d), §115.382(d), §115.83(c, g), §115.383(c, g)]." There were no incarcerated survivors at MSCC who had received forensic medical exams within the audit period to be interviewed by this auditor, to determine if they had been held financially responsible for any charges related to a forensic medical exam or STI prophylaxis or treatment. Interviews with medical staff confirmed the usual PIOC co-pay for medical exams is waived under these circumstances. (h) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 15, "Further, facilities shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known PIOC-on- PIOC abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners [§115.83(h), §115.383(h)]." DAI Policy 500.70.01 states on page four, "PSU staff shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of when DOC staff first learn of the abuse history." PSU staff are generally informed of PIOC-on-PIOC abusers through risk screenings or after a current investigation has substantiated sexual abuse. DOC staff are required to refer the PIOC to PSU within two business days. Treatment is offered when deemed appropriate. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.86

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC Executive Directive 72

DAI Policy #410.50.01, Sexual Abuse Incident Review

DOC-2863 form, Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR) Form - PREA

Interview with the facility head/PREA Compliance Manager

Interview with an incident review team member

(a-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 18, "All facilities shall conduct a review within 30 days of the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. The team shall consist of upper-level management officials with input from supervisors, investigators, and medical and mental health practitioners [§115.86(a-c), §115.386(a-c)]."

DAI Policy #410.50.01 states the facility head and facility PCM will determine the composition of the committee, based on the nature of the incident, but at a minimum the team will include the deputy facility head/superintendent, security direction, PCM, PSU Supervisor/designee, HSU Supervisor/designee, Victim Services Coordinator, and investigator.

(d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on pages 18-19, "The review team shall [§115.86(d), §115.386(d)]:

1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse;
2. Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex identification, status or perceived status; gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility;
3. Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;
4. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts;
5. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff members; and
6. Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to

	determinations made in the above items, and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA Compliance Manager.” Notes about these considerations are made on form DOC-2863. (e) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, “The facility shall implement the recommendations for improvement, or shall document its reasons for not doing so [§115.86(e), §115.386(e)].” Recommendations for improvement or reasons improvements cannot be made are noted on the reverse of form DOC-2863. The PCM modifies the DOC-2863 with applicable updates, as improvements are discussed or implemented. As reported on the PAQ, there were no sexual abuse incident reviews completed by MSCC during the audit documentation period, as they did not receive any allegations of sexual abuse. Interviews with the facility head, facility PCM and other potential members of the incident review team indicated they were aware of the required considerations. Due to the lack of allegations requiring a review at MSCC, this auditor recommended MSCC incident review team members partner with a local DOC facility conducting such reviews for additional experience when possible. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with and exceeds this standard of sexual abuse incident reviews as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.87 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

	MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC website DOC PREA Annual Reports (a-f) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, “The DOC shall collect accurate, uniform data from incident-based documents such as reports, investigation files and sexual abuse incident reviews for every allegation of sexual abuse within facilities, including facilities with which it contracts for the confinement of PIOC’s, using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The extracted data, at minimum, shall include the information to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Department of Justice Survey of Sexual Victimization. This data shall be aggregated annually, reported to the Department of Justice as requested and, with personal identifiers removed, posted publicly to the DOC’s website annually [§115.87, §115.387, §115.89(b, c), §115.389(b, c)].” The annual reports for 2010 through 2024 are available on the agency website at DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov). The website also provides the public with access to the BJS Summary forms for years 2012-2023. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of data collection as it relates to PREA.
--	--

115.88	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion 115.88 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC website DOC PREA Annual Reports

	(a-d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, “The data collected and aggregated shall be analyzed to assess and improve effectiveness of the DOC’s sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices, and training by identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility as well as the DOC as a whole. The report shall, additionally, include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from previous years and shall provide an assessment of the DOC’s progress in addressing sexual abuse. Corrective action reports shall also be posted publicly to the DOC’s website. The DOC may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility but must indicate the nature of the material redacted [§115.88, §115.388)].” DOC collects and reviews data to access and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response polices, practices and training to identify problem areas, take corrective action on an ongoing basis, compare the current year’s data/corrective action with data/corrective action from previous years, and assess the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse within its facilities. The report is prepared by the agency PREA Director and signed by the DOC Secretary. The annual reports for 2010 through 2024 are available on the agency website at DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov). Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of data review for corrective action as it relates to PREA.
--	---

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.89 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this

	standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC Executive Directive 72 DOC website DOC PREA Annual Reports Interview with Agency PREA Director Interview with PREA Compliance Manager (a, d) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, “All data shall be securely retained and maintained for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection [§115.89(a, d), §115.389(a, d)].” The agency and facility utilize an electronic database to collect and secure data, and includes all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. (b-c) DOC Executive Directive 72 states on page 19, “The DOC shall collect accurate, uniform data from incident-based documents such as reports, investigation files and sexual abuse incident reviews for every allegation of sexual abuse within facilities, including facilities with which it contracts for the confinement of PLOCs, using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The extracted data, at minimum, shall include the information to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Department of Justice Survey of Sexual Victimization. This data shall be aggregated annually, reported to the Department of Justice as requested and, with personal identifiers removed, posted publicly to the DOC’s website annually [§115.87, §115.387, §115.89(b, c), §115.389(b, c)].” Data from the agency’s public and privately-operated facilities is maintained in an electronic database. The annual reports for 2010 through 2024 are available on the agency website at DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov). The reports on the website do not contain any personal identifiers. The website also provides the public with access to the BJS Summary forms for years 2012-2023. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard of data storage, publication, and destruction as it relates to PREA.
--	---

	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.401 The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard: MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses DOC website Interview with Agency PREA Director (a) DOC operates oversees 36 adult correctional facilities. The agency began receiving audits in the first year of the first cycle. All audits were completed by DOJ-certified auditors, and all final audit reports have been posted on DOC's website, available to the public at DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov). During the prior three-year audit period, Cycle Four, the agency ensured that each facility under their control was audited at least once. (b) This is the first year of Cycle Five. (h, l, m, n) While onsite at MSCC, this auditor was provided with access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the facility. The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with staff and PIOC. PIOC were permitted to send confidential correspondence to the auditor, prior to the onsite review. This auditor received all requested documents. There were no barriers to conducting the audit. Conclusion: Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.401

The auditor gathered, analyzed, and retained the following evidence related to this standard:

MSCC Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) responses

DOC website

Interview with Agency PREA Director

(a) DOC operates oversees 36 adult correctional facilities. The agency began receiving audits in the first year of the first cycle. All audits were completed by DOJ-certified auditors, and all final audit reports have been posted on DOC's website, available to the public at [DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act \(wi.gov\)](http://www.doc.wi.gov).

During the prior three-year audit period, Cycle Four, the agency ensured that each facility under their control was audited at least once.

(b) This is the first year of Cycle Five.

(h, l, m, n) While onsite at MSCC, this auditor was provided with access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the facility. The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with staff and PIOC. PIOC were permitted to send confidential correspondence to the auditor, prior to the onsite review. This auditor received all requested documents.

There were no barriers to conducting the audit.

Conclusion:

Based upon the review and analysis of all available evidence, the auditor has determined that the facility is fully compliant with this standard.

Appendix: Provision Findings		
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure	yes

	that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	
115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into	yes

	consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the	na

	facility does not have female inmates.)	
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	na
115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication	yes

	with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who	yes

	may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (c) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes

115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	

	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	

	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.22 (b) Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations		
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.22 (c) Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations		
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.31 (a) Employee training		
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes

	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
115.31 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
115.31 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	

	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes

	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and	yes

	Garrrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or	yes

	suspicious of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective	yes

	screening instrument?	
115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10)	yes

	Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes
115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive	yes

	information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	
115.42 (a) Use of screening information		
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b) Use of screening information		
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c) Use of screening information		
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would	yes

	present management or security problems?	
115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing	yes

	solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	

	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d) Protective Custody		
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e) Protective Custody		
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a) Inmate reporting		
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.51 (b) Inmate reporting		
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain	yes

	anonymous upon request?	
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from	yes

	this standard.)	
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	

	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers,	na

	including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual	yes

	abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes

115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in	yes

	response to an incident of sexual abuse?	
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of	yes

	sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations	yes

	of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes

	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes

115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually	yes

	abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	
115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish	yes

	evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	na
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior	yes

	sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse	

	victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes

115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes

115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant	yes

	to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	

	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403	Audit contents and findings	

(f)	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) yes