## Capacity updates:

Current populations and proposed SRCCCY capacity

#### Prepared for:

Juvenile Corrections Grant Committee Meeting September 16, 2019

### What is being covered:

- Reminder of capacity considerations
- Updated population report and estimated capacity need
- SRCCCY application snapshots
- Estimated need vs. proposed SRCCCY beds



## **Capacity Considerations**

As noted in the Capacity Report provided to the JCGC on May 20, 2019, estimating the capacity needs for secure juvenile facilities requires consideration of a number of factors, including:

- Youth currently in state-run secure juvenile correctional facilities (Lincoln Hills, Copper Lake, Mendota Juvenile Treatment Center) and county-run long-term post-dispositional detention programs (180/360 programs);
- Best practice is to fill facilities at 80-90% of a building's design capacity to provide flexibility for population fluctuations and placement needs; and
- Local law enforcement officials, human services agencies, prosecutors, and judges have local discretion and significant influence on the pipeline of youth into and across the Wisconsin youth justice system.



# Updated State JCF Population Report

#### Table 1. JCF Youth ADP by Facility (CY 2014 - 2018, Jan-June 2019) **Average** Jan - June Facility 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 (2014-18) 2019 Lincoln Hills School 239.86 228.50 166.26 146.32 125.69 181.32 126.48 Copper Lake School 33.85 28.43 20.96 21.85 16.51 24.32 13.97 Mendota Juvenile Treatment Center 28.70 28.19 28.48 28.41 26.51 28.06 21.39

215.69

196.58

168.71

233.69

161.83

285.12

302.41

Table 2. JCF Youth ADP by Commitment and Facility									
(CY 2014 - 2018, Jan-June 2019)									
Commitment Type	Facility	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Average (2014-18)		Jan - June 2019
Juvenile	Lincoln Hills School	166.81	146.92	93.69	69.90	70.46	109.55	ı	73.27
	Copper Lake School	31.77	23.84	15.53	16.55	13.47	20.23		12.50
	Mendota Juvenile Treatment Center	23.47	24.61	22.20	16.85	14.24	20.27		11.72
Serious Juvenile Offender (SJO)	Lincoln Hills School	66.95	71.45	54.76	53.09	35.78	56.41		36.85
	Copper Lake School	1.60	3.00	3.57	3.37	2.49	2.81		1.12
	Mendota Juvenile Treatment Center	5.23	3.46	5.73	9.58	9.47	6.69		7.93
Adult	Lincoln Hills School	6.10	10.13	17.81	23.33	19.44	15.36		16.36



Total



### **Estimated SRCCCY Capacity Need by Initial Placement**

#### **Estimated Capacity Need by Initial Placement**<sup>1,2</sup>

Potential Population	Estimated SRCCCY ADP		
	07		
Jan-June 2019 JCF Juvenile Commitment ADP <sup>3</sup>	97		
County-run Long-Term Post-Dispositional Detention	38		
Converting to SRCCCY <sup>4</sup>			
Additional Need from WCA Survey Data	15		
Potential increase from increased availability of secure	Unknown		
placement options	UNKNOWI		
Potential decrease from systems improvement in	Unknown		
community-based youth justice			
Total	150		
Capacity Need Operating at 85%	177		



## **County SRCCCY Proposals**

**Brown County** 

24 SRCCCY

32 Short-term detention

Milwaukee County						
Proposal A	Proposal B					
(Lease and Vel Philips	(Vel Phillips renovation)					
renovation)	,					
TOTAL	TOTAL					
40 SRCCCY	32 SRCCCY					

#### **Racine County**

32 SRCCCY

16 Short-term detention

\* Potential to add 16 additional beds for girls but would require additional funding from JCGC

#### **Dane County**

23 to 29 SRCCCY

# Estimated SRCCCY Capacity Need vs. Proposed SRCCCY Beds

Estimated SRCCCY Capacity Need vs. Proposed SRCCCY Beds						
County	Juvenile Commitment ADP (Jan - June 2019)	Long-Term Post Dispositional Detention ADP for Proposed SRCCCY's (CY 2018)	Total Estimated Capacity Need (ADP)	Capacity Need Operating at 85%	Proposed SRCCCY Beds	Difference Between Estimated Capacity Need and Proposed SRCCCY Beds
Milwaukee Co <sup>1</sup>	55	22	77	91	32	(59)
Dane Co <sup>2</sup>	14	NA	14	16	23	7
Racine Co <sup>3</sup>	1	13	15	17	32	15
Brown Co	3	3	6	7	24	17
Subtotal	73	38	111	131	111	(20)
Other Counties	24	0	24	28	0	(28)
Additonal Need from WCA Data	15	0	15	18	0	(18)
Total	112	38	150	177	111	(66)

Because Dane County, Racine County and Brown County anticipate placements from surrounding counties, their +7, +15 and +17 capacity numbers do not represent overbuilding, especially in light of the -66 total capacity need.

- 1. Assumes 32 SRCCCY beds under Milwaukee County's Plan B proposal. Under Plan A proposal Milwaukee capacity would increase to 40 SRCCCY beds.
- 2. Assumes 23 SRCCCY beds. Dane County's plan includes a range of 23-29 beds.
- 3. Does not include option to fund additional 16 female SRCCY beds.