PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Marshall E. Sherrer Correctional Center

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: 10/07/2022 **Date Final Report Submitted:** 11/29/2022

| Auditor Certification | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge. | |
| No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review. | |
| I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template. | |
| Auditor Full Name as Signed: Deborah Striplin | Date of Signature: 11/29/2022 |

| AUDITOR INFORMATION | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Auditor name: | Striplin, Deborah |
| Email: | dstriplin@doc.nv.gov |
| Start Date of On- Site Audit: | 09/19/2022 |
| End Date of On-Site Audit: | 09/19/2022 |

| FACILITY INFORMATION | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Facility name: | Marshall E. Sherrer Correctional Center | |
| Facility physical address: | 1318 N. 14th Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin - 53205 | |
| Facility mailing address: | | |

| Primary Contact | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name: | Gary Mitchell |
| Email Address: | Gary.Mitchell@wisconsin.gov |
| Telephone Number: | 414 874-1603 |

| Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Name: | Gary Mitchell | |
| Email Address: | Gary.Mitchell@wisconsin.gov | |
| Telephone Number: | 414 343-5006 | |

| Facility PREA Compliance Manager | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Gary Mitchell |
| Email Address: | Gary.Mitchell@wisconsin.gov |
| Telephone Number: | O: 414 874-1603 |
| Name: | Christopher Kennedy |
| Email Address: | christopher.kennedy@wisconsin.gov |
| Telephone Number: | O: (414) 640-9712 |

| Facility Characteristics | |
|---|---------------------------|
| - | |
| Designed facility capacity: | 32 |
| Current population of facility: | 42 |
| Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 42 |
| Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months? | Yes |
| Which population(s) does the facility hold? | Males |
| Age range of population: | 21 - 73 |
| Facility security levels/inmate custody levels: | minimum/minimum community |
| Does the facility hold youthful inmates? | No |
| Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates: | 23 |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 1 |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 10 |

| AGENCY INFORMATION | | |
|---|---|--|
| Name of agency: | Wisconsin Department of Corrections | |
| Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable): | State of Wisconsin | |
| Physical Address: | 3099 East Washington Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin - 53704 | |
| Mailing Address: | PO Box 7925, Madison, Wisconsin - 53707 | |
| Telephone number: | (608) 240-5000 | |

| Agency Chief Executive Officer Information: | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Name: | Kevin Carr |
| Email Address: | Kevin.Carr@wisconsin.gov |
| Telephone Number: | (608) 240-5065 |

| Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Name: | Leigha Weber | Email Address: | Leigha.Weber@wisconsin.gov |

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

| Number of standards exceeded: | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| Number of standards met: | | |
| 45 | | |
| Number of standards not met: | | |
| | | |
| | | |

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION **On-site Audit Dates** 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the 2022-09-19 audit: 2. End date of the onsite portion of the 2022-09-19 audit: Outreach 10. Did you attempt to communicate (Yes with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide O No services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility? a. Identify the community-based Aurora Healing and Advocacy Services organization(s) or victim advocates with Community Victim Advocate. whom you communicated: AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION 14. Designated facility capacity: 32 15. Average daily population for the past 42 12 months: 16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee 3 housing units: Yes 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees? (No Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

| One of the offsite Portion of the | Addit |
|--|-------|
| 36. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit: | 42 |
| 38. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 39. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 40. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 41. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 42. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |

| 43. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
|---|---|--|
| 44. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 45. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 46. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 47. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations): | At the time of the onsite audit, the facility did not have any identified offenders who met the criteria for targeted interviews. Some of the offenders had been placed on quarantine status as such those offenders were not able to be selected for random interviews. This facility is a work release program and has strict criteria on who is able to be classified in this environment. | |
| | Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit | |
| 49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 23 | |

| 50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 10 |
|---|---|
| 51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 1 |
| 52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | While the facility did not have volunteers scheduled to be onsite during the audit, two volunteers agreed to come into the facility for an interview with the audit team. The facility has one part-time contract medical staff who was interviewed prior to the onsite review and selected for a specialized interview and one vacant part-time contract medical staff position. |
| INTERVIEWS | |
| Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | |
| Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | |
| | |

12

53. Enter the total number of RANDOM

INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who

were interviewed:

| The facility provided the audit team with offender rosters which included the name, identification number, and race/ethnicity. The offender rosters were separated by housing unit which allowed the audit team to review and select a diverse population from each unit. 56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews? 57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | 54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply) | ■ Race ■ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ■ Length of time in the facility ■ Housing assignment ■ Gender ■ Other ■ None |
|--|---|---|
| minimum number of random inmate/ resident/detainee interviews? 57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who | RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically | offender rosters which included the name, identification number, and race/ethnicity. The offender rosters were separated by housing unit which allowed the audit team to review and select a diverse population from each |
| regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who | minimum number of random inmate/ | |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED 0 INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who | regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, | No text provided. |
| INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who | Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detair | nee Interviews |
| | INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who | 0 |

As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/ resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/ residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0". 0 60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English **Proficient Inmates" protocol:** a. Select why you were unable to Facility said there were "none here" during conduct at least the minimum required the onsite portion of the audit and/or the number of targeted inmates/residents/ facility was unable to provide a list of these detainees in this category: inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. b. Discuss your corroboration strategies During the onsite audit, the facility staff to determine if this population exists in stated they did not have any offenders who the audited facility (e.g., based on had any physical disabilities. The audit team information obtained from the PAQ; did not observe or identify any offenders who documentation reviewed onsite; and met this specialized interview criteria. discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees). 61. Enter the total number of interviews 0 conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates"

protocol:

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|--|--|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who had any cognitive or intellectual disability disabilities. The audit team did not observe or identify any offenders who met this specialized interview criteria. |
| 62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a Coloct why you wave unable to | Facility acid these ways to see the see the second of |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ | the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this |
| conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ | the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who were blind or had limited sight. The audit team did not observe or identify any offenders who met this specialized interview |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|--|---|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who were deaf or hard of hearing. The audit team did not observe or identify any offenders who met this specialized interview criteria. |
| 64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who were Limited English Proficient. The audit team did not observe or identify any offenders who met this specialized interview criteria. |
| 65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 0 |

Γ

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|--|---|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who were gay or bisexual. The audit team did not observe or identify any offenders who met this specialized interview criteria. |
| 66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who identified as Transgender or Intersex. The audit team did not observe or identify any offenders who met this specialized interview criteria. |
| | |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|--|--|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who reported sexual abuse while assigned to this facility. This information further supported pre-onsite documentation review and interviews with offenders. |
| 68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | During the onsite audit, the facility staff stated they did not have any offenders who disclosed prior sexual victimization currently assigned to this facility and the audit team did not identify any offenders who met this specialized interview criteria. |

| 69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol: | 0 |
|--|---|
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | This facility does not have restrictive housing. |
| 70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews): | While onsite there were a few offenders placed in quarantine, however, it did not impact the audit team from meeting the interview quota. |
| Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews | |
| Random Staff Interviews | |
| 71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed: | 7 |

| 72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply) | Length of tenure in the facility Shift assignment Work assignment Rank (or equivalent) Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) None |
|---|--|
| 73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews? | YesNo |
| 74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | The audit team attempted to interview all security staff working while the audit team was onsite. At the time of the audit, most of the security staff were assigned to a 12-hour shift and a few were working overtime to meet staffing requirements. |
| Specialized Staff, Volunteers, an | d Contractor Interviews |
| Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one interview protocol may member and that information would satisfy mult | apply to an interview with a single staff |
| 75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors): | 14 |
| 76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head? | Yes No |
| 77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee? | YesNo |

ı

Γ

| 78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator? | Yes No |
|---|--|
| 79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager? | ● Yes |
| | ○ No |
| | NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards) |

| 80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this | Agency contract administrator |
|---|--|
| audit from the list below: (select all that apply) | Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment |
| | Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) |
| | Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) |
| | ■ Medical staff |
| | Mental health staff |
| | ■ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches |
| | Administrative (human resources) staff |
| | Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff |
| | Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations |
| | Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations |
| | Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness |
| | Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation |
| | Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team |
| | Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation |
| | First responders, both security and non- security staff |
| | |

| | ■ Intake staff |
|--|---|
| | Other |
| If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed: | Facility Victim Services Coordinator and Inmate Complaint Examiner. |
| 81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/ | Yes |
| residents/detainees in this facility? | ○ No |
| a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed: | 2 |
| b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this | Education/programming |
| audit from the list below: (select all that apply) | Medical/dental |
| wpp.y/ | ☐ Mental health/counseling |
| | Religious |
| | Other |
| 82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/ | ● Yes |
| residents/detainees in this facility? | ○ No |
| a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed: | 1 |

| b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply) | Security/detention Education/programming Medical/dental Food service Maintenance/construction Other | |
|--|--|--|
| 83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff. | No text provided. | |
| SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING | | |
| Site Review | | |
| PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information. | | |
| 84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility? | | |

| Was the site review an active, in the following: | quiring process that included |
|---|--|
| 85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, crossgender viewing and searches)? | Yes No |
| 86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)? | Yes ○ No |
| 87. Informal conversations with inmates/ residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | Yes No |
| 88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | |
| 89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations). | No text provided. |
| Documentation Sampling | |
| Where there is a collection of records to review-s records; background check records; supervisory processing records; inmate education records; m self-select for review a representative sample of | rounds logs; risk screening and intake edical files; and investigative files-auditors must |
| 90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? | YesNo |
| | |

91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).

No text provided.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual abuse allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate- on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff- on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual harassment allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/ Court Case Filed | Convicted/ Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/ Court Case Filed | Convicted/ Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|---|---------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

| 98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ | |
| sampled: | |

| a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files: 99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | | |
|--|---|---|
| Investigation files include a cross- section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files) Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | review any sexual abuse investigation | incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment during this audit time frame or |
| 100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? 104. (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files include administrative investigations? No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | investigation files include a cross- section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files) |
| ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse i | nvestigation files |
| INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) No No No No No No No No No N | ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | 0 |
| INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation |
| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative | No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation |
| ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse inv | estigation files |
| | ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation | 0 |

| 104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? | No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
|---|---|
| 105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
| Sexual Harassment Investigation | r Files Selected for Review |
| 106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |
| a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files: | The facility did not have any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment during this audit time frame or going back to the last audit. |
| 107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files) |
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harass | ment investigation files |
| 108. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |

Г

| 109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations? | No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
|---|---|
| 110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassme | ent investigation files |
| 111. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |
| 112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| 113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |

114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.

During the audit time frame, the facility did not receive any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed the agency's annual reports going back to the last audit to ascertain if this facility had conducted any investigations. This facility has not received or conducted any sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations over the three-year facility audit cycle

SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION

DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff

115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

| Ye |
|-----|
| 163 |



Non-certified Support Staff

116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the preonsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

| | Yes |
|--|-----|
|--|-----|

O No

a. Enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT who provided assistance at any point during this audit: 2

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

| 121. Who paid you to conduct this audit? | The audited facility or its parent agency |
|--|--|
| | My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) |
| | A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) |
| | Other |
| Identify your state/territory or county government employer by name: | Nevada Department of Corrections |
| Was this audit conducted as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement? | ● Yes |
| | ○ No |
| | |

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11

Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Agency PREA Director position description
- Agency Organizational Chart
- Facility Organizational Chart
- DOC Facility PREA Compliance Managers and Victim Services Coordinator roster

Interviews conducted

- PREA Director
- PREA Compliance Manager
- Random Staff
- Random Offenders
- (a) ED #72 states "The Wisconsin Department of Corrections has zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation in its facilities, including those with which it contracts for the confinement of offenders.
 - The DOC provides a coordinated victim-centered response to reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes providing medical and mental health services to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment while investigating all allegations.
 - 2. The DOC provides multiple avenues to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and, further, recognizes the right of employees and offenders to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
 - 3. The DOC trains all staff members, contractors, and volunteers to recognize, respond to and report sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
 - 4. The DOC provides offenders with a comprehensive orientation that details their right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and report-related retaliation.

- 5. The DOC employs a data collection method to accurately track and aggregate sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents, identify core causal factors and take corrective action so as to align with a zero-tolerance environment.
- (b) ED #72 states "The DOC shall employ or designate a PREA Director to oversee department efforts to comply with PREA standards. This position shall have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee DOC's efforts to comply with PREA standards in all of its facilities." The agency policy, agency PREA Director position description, and specialized interview with the Agency PREA Director supported compliance with this provision to include the guidance posted on the PREA Resource Center under the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) dated December 18, 2015, which reads in part:
 - In terms of authority, PREA Directors at the agency level must, at a minimum, have:
 - Direct access to the agency's most senior leader or chief executive officer (e.g., Director, Secretary, Commissioner, Administrator, etc.);
 - Direct access to the agency's executive or senior leadership team; and
 - The influence necessary to create and implement agency-wide policies, procedures, and practices, without any interference from other levels of bureaucracy or supervision, and in accordance with the PREA standards and interpretative guidance issued by DOJ.

Pre-onsite PREA Director interview: While the PREA Standards references and defines "PREA Coordinator", WI DOC policy defines this position as the "PREA Director." The PREA Director is assigned to the PREA Office in Madison (Headquarters) and reports to the Assistant Deputy Secretary with direct access to the Secretary. The PREA Director supervises four staff who provide additional support and assist in monitoring the designated facility's PREA Compliance. The PREA Director stated that she has time and authority to oversee the agency's efforts to comply with the PREA standards with direct access to the agency's Executive Leadership. In coordinating the agencies and facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards, the PREA Office staff conducts quarterly status checks with the facility PREA Compliance Managers (PCM). This is completed through email, telephone, virtual meetings, and on-site visits. If an issue is identified the PREA Director and the facility PCM brainstorm solutions and ensure there is open communication to discuss and resolve issues which could include but are not limited to, policy and procedure revisions and looking at other facilities on how they are meeting compliance.

(c) ED #72 states "The appointing authority or designee at each facility shall assign one employee as the facility-based PREA Compliance Manager with sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with PREA standards as set forth by DOC."

Pre-onsite PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) interview: The Superintendent has been designated as the facility PCM and oversees the facility compliance with the support of the Captain as their backup. They stated they have enough time and the

authority to manage the PREA responsibilities and have direct access to the Warden, Corrections Security Director, and the agency PREA Director. The Superintendent (PCM) stated that they have regular meetings and if they identify areas requiring attention or issues are brought to their attention they will discuss them as a team.

On-site interviews: The audit team interviewed random staff and random offenders, and targeted offenders. All those selected for the interview stated they are aware of the agency's Zero-Tolerance Policy, referencing PREA posters around the facility. During the physical plant review, the audit team observed the PREA posters located next to the offender's phones and throughout other areas of the facility.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.12 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) policy 410-00-01, effective 1/22
- Contract Compliance Review Report Prison Rape Elimination Act
- Twelve contracts
- · Summary of Contracts for the Confinement of Inmates
- Contract facility public website

Interviews conducted

Agency Contracts Administrator

Pre-onsite review: The agency has twelve contracts with other agencies for the confinement of offenders. The auditor reviewed agency policies, contract agreements, and contracted facility public websites. One of the facilities received an audit during audit year two of cycle three, and eleven scheduled and received on-site audits during audit year three of cycle three. Two of those audited received and posted their final audit reports on their agency's public website by the end of audit year three. The remaining nine jails were still pending final audit reports at the time this final audit report was submitted.

Contract Facilities

- Fond Du Lac County
- Milwaukee County House (MCH)
- Jefferson County
- Juneau County
- Marquette County
- Oneida County
- Ozaukee County
- Racine County
- Sauk County
- Vernon County

- Vilas County
- Rock County
- (a) (b) ED #72 states "All new or renewed contracts for the confinement of the DOC offenders not within a DOCoperated facility shall include a provision regarding the contractor's obligation to adopt and comply with PREA standards. In addition, any new contract or contract renewal shall provide for contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with PREA standards."

Excerpt from the contract agreement Q. Prison Rape Elimination Act - 1 thru 5 reads:

- 1. The Sheriff agrees to comply with the Federal Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 and any subsequent standards imposed by the United States Attorney General. If the Sheriff is not in full compliance with the Federal Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003, the Sheriff shall take all feasible and necessary steps to work toward full compliance, shall continue to do so until full compliance is achieved, and shall continue to maintain full compliance. The Sheriff shall have policies and procedures in place for responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations as defined by PREA, in addition to report-related retaliation allegations, and shall further have procedures or policies for maintaining reports and records necessary for reporting data consistent with PREA. The Sheriff shall provide training for its staff, contractors, interns, volunteers, and any others who may have contact with inmates pursuant to its policy, procedures, and PREA standards. See 28 C.F.R. § 115.12. 2.
- 2. The Sheriff shall schedule and subject itself to a Department of Justice (DOJ) PREA Audit pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.401-405 at least once every three years beginning August 20, 2013. The Sheriff shall bear the costs of conducting the audit. The Sheriff shall forward all interim and final facility PREA audit reports within 30 days of receipt to DOCPREAData@wisconsin.gov.
- 3. The Sheriff agrees to timely completion of the Bureau of Justice Statistics Annual Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV) and/or its current equivalent survey. The Sheriff will forward a copy of the SSVIAAdult Incident Form and/or SSVIJ-Juvenile Incident Form for each incident involving DOC inmates in the prior calendar year to the DOC within 30 calendar days of the date the Bureau of Justice Statistics publishes the Annual Survey on Sexual Victimization. These forms shall be forwarded to the DOC PREA Office at OCPREAData@wisconsin.gov. See 28 C.F.R. § 115.87.
- 4. During the years in which the Sheriff is not audited by a US DOJ PREA auditor in accordance with 28 C.F.R. § 115.401-405, DOC shall conduct an annual compliance review to ensure that the Sheriff is compliant with PREA standards. This review may include, but is not limited to, facility tour, staff and inmate interviews, and examination of Sheriff policies, procedures, staff records, inmate records, training records, and incident records related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations as defined by PREA, or report-related retaliation allegations. See 28 C.F.R. § 115.12.

5. The Sheriff shall notify the DOC within 24 hours of any sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation as defined by 28 C.F.R. § 115.5-6, or any report-related retaliation allegation. The notification shall be made via email to DOCPREAData@wisconsin.gov and shall include a copy of the facility's incident report. If the DOC has reason to believe that any of these incidents have occurred, it shall have immediate access to relevant Sheriff's records as defined by DOC. Section R. of the contract requires they report serious incidents committed by inmates which include any PREA allegations and/or investigations.

Pre-onsite Agency Contracts Administrator interview: The agency PREA contracts monitor has worked for WIDOC for 21 years and reports to the Agency PREA Director. Currently, the agency has thirteen active contracts with sheriff departments in several counties that may temporarily house Wisconsin Offenders within the jail or detention center. The contract monitor conducts on-site reviews and internal audits for monitoring compliance. She communicates regularly with these agencies and is notified of any reported incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment involving any WIDOC offender who is in their care. This includes the status of an investigation when the investigation has been closed and the outcome of the investigation.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the agency meets compliance with this standard.

115.13 Supervision and monitoring

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(ies) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions Policy and Procedures (DAI) #410.05.05 Prison
 Rape Elimination Act -
- Staffing Plan
- WIDOC PREA Director PREA Staffing Plan Annual Review Log
- Division of Adult Institutions Policy and Procedures (DAI) #410.40.01
 Unannounced Supervisory Rounds
- · Facility staffing plan
- · Sample facility unannounced supervisor logbook entry

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- Warden
- PREA Compliance Manager
- PREA Director
- Targeted Facility Supervisors
- Random Staff
- (a) ED 72 requires, "Each facility shall develop, document and make its best efforts to comply with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of employees and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect offenders against sexual abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities shall consider:
 - 1. Generally accepted correctional practices;
 - 2. Any judicial, federal investigative, and internal/external oversight agency findings of inadequacy;
 - 3. The facility's physical plant including blind spots or areas where employees or offenders may be isolated;
 - 4. The composition of the offender population;
 - 5. The number and placement of security staff;

- 6. Institution programs occurring on a particular shift;
- 7. The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and
- 8. Applicable State or local laws, regulations, standards, and other relevant factors

DAI 410.50.05 supports ED 72 outlining the general guidelines each facility is required to follow. Additionally, the auditor reviewed the facility's most recent staffing plan report which was signed electronically by the Facility leadership which included the PCM and Agency PREA Director.

Superintendent and Warden pre-onsite interview: The Superintendent/PCM work collaboratively with the Captain and continually monitor staffing pattern and submit the annual staffing plan to the Warden and PREA Director. During this audit time frame, the facility did not fall below minimum staffing levels.

- (b) ED 72 states "In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility shall document in written form and justify all deviations from the plan."
- (c) ED 72 requires, "Whenever necessary, but not less frequently than once each year each facility, in consultation with the PREA Director, shall assess, determine and document whether adjustments are needed to:
 - 1. The facility's staffing plan;
 - 2. The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies; and
 - 3. The resources the facility has available to ensure adherence to the staffing plan

Pre-onsite PREA Director interview: Each facility consults with the Agency PREA Director each year around April or May to review staffing plan information. This information is assessed to determine if any adjustments are needed under provision (a) of this standard. The PREA Office maintains a tracking log to ensure all facilities are complying with this standard provision.

(d) ED 72 requires that "Supervisory staff shall conduct and document unannounced rounds, covering all shifts to identify and deter employee sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The DOC employees are prohibited from alerting other employees that these supervisory rounds are occurring unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.40.01 requires supervisors to conduct rounds at random times across all shifts and days of the week. Following the unannounced round, supervisors are required to document their name, the time, and the date of the round using one of the following three methods:

- 1. Maintain a designated PREA/Unannounced round logbook
- 2. Record the unannounced round in an existing logbook using a red pen

3. Documented in the shift commander's shift report

Pre-onsite specialized unannounced supervisor interview: This facility only has two supervisors who conduct unannounced tours, the Superintendent (PCM) and Captain. Both supervisors conduct random unannounced supervisor tours and stated the supervisor log book is maintained in the Captain's office. Reviewing copies of the supervisor log the Superintendent had not signed documented an unannounced round over this audit time frame.

On-site interviews: While conducting the physical plant review the audit team spoke to random staff and offenders to ascertain if they observe supervisors touring the housing unit/offender wings and program areas. Those who were asked stated they have observed both the Captain and Superintendent touring the facility.

Corrective Action: 115.13 (d) - A short-term corrective action was placed to support compliance with DAI 410.40.01 provision (d) requires supervisors to conduct rounds at random times across all shifts and days of the week. Following the unannounced round, supervisors are required to document their names, the time, and the date of the round. **Follow-up**: On 10/6/2022 before the issuance of the interim report, the auditor started receiving documentation supporting both the Superintendent and Captain were documenting unannounced supervisor rounds. On 11/22/22 the auditor received ongoing proof of documentation which included the Security Director signingn in when onsite for review.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard and made the recommendation they continue to monitor for ongoing compliance.

115.14 Youthful inmates

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Agency movement memo date 12/19/2016
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedure #302.00.20

The agency has policies related to this standard Effective December 19, 2016, the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Division of Adult Institutions moved all youthful inmates out of the adult institutions. Youthful inmates are now housed within Division of Juvenile Corrections (DJC) facilities.

(a) (b) (c) ED 72 states, "Youthful inmates shall not be placed in a housing unit in which they have sight, sound or physical contact with any adult offender through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area or sleeping quarters. In areas outside of housing units, DOC shall either: maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult offenders or provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult offenders have sight, sound, or physical contact. Adult facilities shall make best efforts to avoid isolating youthful inmates to comply with this provision. Absent exigent circumstances, adult facilities shall not deny youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and any legally required special education services to comply with this provision. Youthful inmates shall also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible. Such exigent circumstances leading to the denial of large-muscle exercise, legally required education services, and/or other programming shall be documented."

DAI #302.00.20 states, "The Division of Adult Institutions shall not house juveniles in adult correctional facilities. the placement of adjudicated juveniles or juveniles sentenced as adults." The policy includes definitions and procedures which clearly state that Adjudicated Juveniles who are less than 18 years of age shall not be admitted to a Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) facility or Wisconsin Resource Center (WRC).

Conclusion: This auditor finds the agency meets compliance with this standard and noted that this facility does not house youthful offenders.

115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 500.70.24 Clinical Observation
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 306.17.02 Searches of Inmates
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 306.16.01 Use of Body Cameras
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 410.40.02 Opposite Gender Viewing and Announcing
- Division of Adult Institution (DAI) Policy and Procedures 500.70.27 Transgender Management Care
- Warden reminder memo on the cross-gender announcement requirement
- Agency Searches Lesson Plan

Interviews conducted

- Random Staff
- · Random Offenders
- (a) ED 72 states "Facilities shall not permit cross-gender strip or body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners."

DAI 306.17.02 states "Staff directly observing the inmate during a strip search shall be required to be the same sex as the inmate. A second staff shall only observe the staff performing the strip search" and "All body cavity searches and certain body content searches must be conducted by off-site health professionals." In, accordance with this policy, DAI 306.16.01 address's the use of body-worn cameras (BWC) during a strip search or staff-assisted strip search. Additionally, DAI 306.17.02 prohibits cross-gender strip searches, except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. If a strip search is required for a non-compliant inmate, the staff member shall be the same gender as the inmate, except in exigent circumstances.

Onsite random staff interviews: The staff selected for interviews stated they do not conduct cross-gender unclothed or cavity body searches.

- (b) ED 72 states "Except in exigent circumstances, adult facilities shall not permit cross-gender pat-down searches of female offenders nor shall juvenile facilities permit cross-gender pat-down searches of either gender."
 - This provision does not apply to this facility audit as the facility does not house female offenders.
- (c) ED states "All cross-gender strip and body cavity searches, in addition to cross-gender pat-down searches of females, shall be documented."
- (d) ED 72 states "In order to enable offenders to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing without nonmedical employees of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks, employees of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering an offender housing unit. If opposite gender status quo changes during that shift then another announcement is required. Facilities shall not restrict access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell or housing unit opportunities in order to comply with this provision."

Cross-gender viewing

DAI policy 500.70.24 defines cross-gender constant observation as a constant observation that is conducted by a staff member with gender identity (male or female) that varies from inmate sex assigned at birth (or gender recognized by DOC, if different than the sex assigned at birth).

The policy outlines the procedures when an inmate has been placed on constant observation status. "Cross-gender constant observation may be conducted when privacy accommodations are provided for toileting, showering and changing clothing. Exceptions are allowed in exigent circumstances. Privacy accommodations may be accomplished through a variety of means, including but not limited to:

- 1. Ensuring the individual has a smock, paper gown, etc., to maintain privacy while toileting.
- 2. Providing a shower with a partial curtain or other privacy shields which still allow staff to observe the patient and ensure his/her wellbeing.
- 3. Having staff of the same gender provide the constant observation or at minimum, substituting staff of the same gender during these activities.
- 4. Exigent circumstances shall be documented.

<u>Cross-gender announcements</u>

DAI 410.40.02 requires each facility to develop and be responsible for implementing local procedures to ensure that a consistent announcement is made each time a staff member, contractor, or volunteer of the opposite gender enters a housing unit. At a

minimum, the announcement must be made when an opposite-gender staff member enters the housing unit and there are no other opposite-gender staff members present on the housing unit. When an opposite-gender staff member is entering a housing unit and it is unknown to him/her whether the opposite-gender announcement has been made on his or her behalf, the entering staff member shall be responsible for making an announcement.

The policy lists three available options of generally accepted practices for executing such announcements.

- 1. A designated tone, sign, and/or light that is used only for the purpose of announcing a member of the opposite gender entering housing units.
- 2. An announcement made by the staff working the control desk via the intercom or alternate system.
- 3. The opposite gender staff person makes an audible announcement of their presence

In accordance with DAI 300.00.35 and 300.0061 policy, facilities shall develop an alternative or supplementary notification method for deaf or blind inmates.

Onsite interviews and observations: Staff and offenders selected for interview by the audit team affirmed the cross-gender "tone" is activated when female staff enters the offender's living areas. This includes the blue light being activated for offenders who may be hard of hearing or deaf. Offenders are not viewed in undress by oppositegender staff when showering, dressing, or using the restroom. While the audit team conducted the physical plant review, staff activated the opposite gender tone before they entered the offender's hallway.

- (e) ED 72 states "Facilities may not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status. If the offender's genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversations with the offender, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.17.02 states "Staff shall not physically examine or search a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. If unknown, an inmate's genital status may be determined through the following methods:
 - 1. Conversation with the inmate.
 - 2. Review of medical records.
 - 3. As part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by an ACP.

Onsite interviews: At the time of the on-site audit, this facility did not have any Transgender offenders. Staff who were interviewed stated they would never search a Transgender or Intersex Offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status.

(f) ED 72 states "All security staff shall be trained on how to conduct cross-gender

pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex offenders to ensure professionalism and to utilize the least intrusive manner possible consistent with security needs." In addition to ED 72 outlined training requirements, DAI Policy 500.70.27 page 5 section H - Pat searches states. "Staff shall use the back of hand or bladed hand for the chest and groin area in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner as possible, consistent with security needs." The auditor reviewed the agency lesson plan and instruction provided to staff on how to professionally search Transgender offenders. The agency follows a "universal" style pat search where all offenders are searched in the same manner which prevents any confusion if gender identity is unknown by staff.

Pre-onsite: Auditor requested pat search training documentation for security staff and received training transcripts for all the security staff currently assigned at the facility.

Onsite random staff interviews: All security staff interviewed stated that they had received training on how to conduct pater searches of Transgender and Intersex Offenders. While conducting interviews with security staff, they were asked to describe how they conduct pat searches of all offenders including those who identify as Transgender females or Intersex. Staff described the approved method outlined in the policy utilizing the back/blade of the hand around the breast and appropriate hand placement when searching the inside of the legs.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.16

Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Executive Directive 71 (excerpt reference): WIDOC Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Resources for Inmates (Large Print)
- Division of Adult Institutions Policy and Procedures (DAI) 300.00.35 Americans with Disabilities Act
- Agency ASL/LEP Video Contract
- Agency ASL In-Person Contract
- Agency LEP Written Contracts
- Agency LEP In-Person Contracts
- WIDOC Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Resources for Inmates (Large Print)
- WIDOC Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention, Resources for Inmates (Spanish)
- Agency Posted Language Policy Notice (English and Spanish)

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- · Random Staff
- (a) (b) ED 72 states "Offenders with disabilities or who have limited English proficiency shall have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the DOC's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary, in addition to the provision of offender education in formats accessible to all. Written materials shall be provided in formats or methods that ensure effective communication with offenders with disabilities." In accordance with ED 72, (DAI) 300.00.35 outlines procedures facilities will take to identify and provide accommodations for inmates with disabilities including during intake and when they transfer to another facility.

(c) ED 72 states "The facility shall not rely on offender interpreters, offender readers or other types of offender assistants except in exigent circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the offender's safety, the performance of first-responder duties or the investigation of the offender's allegations. The exigent circumstances in which offender assistants are used shall be documented."

Pre-onsite interview: The Assistant Deputy Secretary is aware of and familiar with the agency policy and available services for offenders who are limited English proficient, deaf/hard hearing, or blind/limited vision. They were able to describe the intake process and when staff would utilize services.

Pre-onsite document review: The auditor requested a report for all offenders with physical, mental, and cognitive disabilities and LEP offenders received over this audit time frame. The report provided returned negative results for any offenders who had any physical, mental, or cognitive disabilities or were limited English proficient during this audit time frame.

On-site: At the time of the onsite audit the documentation provided to the audit team returned negative results for any offenders currently assigned to the facility who had any physical, mental, or cognitive disabilities or were limited English proficient. During staff and offender, interviews and observations made during the physical plant review supported documentation that had been provided.

Conclusion: A finding of meet compliance is based on the review of agency policy and staff interviews.

115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated staff interviews and relevant and available evidence.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Agency Executive Directive #42: Police Contact, Arrest, and Conviction Policy for Current Employees
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 309.06.03: Volunteers, Pastoral Visitors, Program Guests, and Interns
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) 309.06.03 Volunteer Application
- Agency Human Resources Policy 200.30.507 Reference Checks
- Agency Human Resources Background check procedure
- Agency Human Resources Fingerprint Procedures
- DOC-2430 Contractor Background Check
- DOC-1098D Background Check Authorization Form
- DOC-1098R Candidate Reference Check Form
- DOC-2674 DAI volunteer application revised 8/22 (blank)
- DOC-2786 PREA Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement Training Contractor acknowledgment form
- Sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement: A guide for volunteers and contractors (brochure)

Interviews conducted

- Human Resources Director
- Program Services Administrator for Contractors and Volunteers
- (a) (b) ED 72 Hiring and Promotion Decisions states, "The DOC shall not hire or promote anyone who has engaged in sexual abuse in a confinement facility; has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in non-consensual sexual activity in the community, or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in an activity described above. The DOC shall consider any incidents of sexual harassment when determining whether to hire, promote or enlist the services of any employee."
- (c) (d) (h)ED 72 states, "Prior to hiring new staff members and enlisting the services of any employee who may have contact with offenders, the DOC shall perform a criminal background records check" and "The DOC shall make its best effort to obtain (and, when requested, provide) reference information from all prior institutional employers on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or any

resignation during a pending investigation of a sexual abuse allegation." In accordance with ED 72, the agency's Human Resources background check procedure outlines the process HR staff follow when conducting background checks.

(d) DAI 309.06.03 requires the agency to conduct criminal background checks for all volunteers. The policy additionally requires a new background check if the prior review was completed more than one year ago. Any volunteers who have been inactive at all facilities for more than one year shall reapply as new volunteers. August of 2022 DOC-2674 DAI Volunteer Application was revised to include an acknowledgment of their understanding of all DOC and DAI policies, Wisconsin and Federal laws, and the DOCs zero tolerance regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as their responsibilities for adhering to PREA as a volunteer.

Pre-onsite: PREA audit team was required to complete the agency 1098D background check as a contractor in compliance with the standard provision.

- (e) ED 72 states, "The DOC shall conduct a criminal background records check every five years for current employees."
- (f) (g) ED 72 page five requires all applicants to disclose instances of sexual misconduct and applicants who fail to disclose such information shall be ineligible for hire for the current vacancy and, if applicable, may be grounds for termination.

Pre-onsite specialized interviews:

Correctional Center Human Resources (HR) Director: The agency recently hired a new Correctional Center HR Director who is responsible for overseeing HR Employee Services Specialist staff who conduct Correctional Center employee criminal background checks. The Correctional Center HR Director, HR staff, and Correctional Center staff files are in Madison (headquarters). The staff assigned to this division conduct criminal background checks utilizing Portal 100 and FBI LiveScan fingerprints for new hire candidates and current employees applying for a promotion. All new hire candidates and staff applying for promotion complete the background check form, including the questions under provisions (a) and (b) of this standard. Additionally, HR Employee Services Specialists conduct five-year background checks for all Correctional Center staff.

The facility Captain conducts the background checks for volunteers following the same process as those conducted for staff. They utilize portal 100 for WI person arrest queries and coordinate the FBI fingerprint process for out-of-state arrest history. The agency background check form includes the questions outlined in provisions (a) and (b) requiring a response to each question. At the time of this audit, the facility had one part-time contract HSU employee who has been working at the facility before the release of the National PREA standards.

Pre and Post onsite document review: In conjunction with standards 115.31, 115.32, 115.34, and 115.35, the auditor requested random staff, contract employees, and program guests' documentation for review. The auditor provided background information for all staff selected for review including screenshots of the database

along with documentation for two staff promoted during this audit time frame. The Bureau of Health Services is responsible for conducting the initial background check for HSU contract employees, the HSU employee began working at this facility before the PREA Standards were released and due to the amount of time that has passed, background record check documents have been disposed of as required by the state retention guidelines. After requesting the 5-year background check, the auditor was notified that BHS employees (HSU contract staff) were not on the regular radar of facility-based HR background checks as BHS is a different hiring authority. The facility Captain completed the FBI fingerprint check for the HSU contract employee and a plan has been put in place to ensure BHS contract employees are included in the facility HR list to conduct the 5-year background check. **Follow-up:** On October 4, 2022, the auditor received documentation dated September 22, 2022, supporting the FBI fingerprint check was completed to include the date that the next 5-year background check is due.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.18 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- Warden

Supporting documentation

- (a) ED 72 states, "When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the DOC shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion or modification upon the DOC's ability to protect offenders from sexual abuse."
- (b) ED 72 states, "When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, the DOC shall consider how such technology may enhance the DOC's ability to protect offenders from sexual abuse."

Pre-onsite interviews: The Deputy Assistant Secretary stated when facilities are approved for modifications they ensure to follow correctional practices including those outlined in the PREA standards. This includes and is not limited to staffing, blind spots, and areas video surveillance would be installed. Interviewing the Warden, they stated that the superintendent would be the primary contact and would be responsible for overseeing any physical plant modifications. The Superintendent affirmed they would be the primary contact and oversee the program communicating any concerns with the Warden and Security Director.

Pre-onsite document review: The auditor was provided with documentation related to the facility's video surveillance. All information is confidential and will not be included in this report.

On-site observations: The facility did not have any areas that had been renovated, modified, or expanded. The facility installed some new video surveillance after the last PREA Audit. During the physical plant review, the audit team identified cameras

and viewed the video monitors including requesting they pull up specific locations.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Agency Law Enforcement Compliance Request
- Agency Victim Services Coordinator Response Checklist (DOC-2767)
- Excerpt from the Agency Healthcare Manual Reference
- MOU between WIDOC and Community Victim Advocate Organization.
- Support Services Workshop WIDOC Victim Services Coordinators Agenda
- Agency Victim Accompaniment Guide
- Agency Victim Services Coordinator Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Reference Guide

Interviews conducted

- PREA Compliance Manager
- · Random Staff
- · Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner
- Community Victim Advocate
- Agency Internal Affairs Investigator
- Facility Investigator
- · Facility Victim Services Coordinator
- (a) ED #72 states "The DOC shall follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for preserving and/or collecting usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. Such protocol shall be developmentally appropriate for youth, where applicable, and adapted from a comprehensive and authoritative protocol developed after 2011."
- (b) Wisconsin Department of Corrections (DOC) healthcare clinicians do not conduct SANE examinations. Inmates alleging sexual abuse are transported to a local community hospital for treatment and evidence collection. As such, DOC does not implement a forensic medical examination protocol, which is developmentally appropriate or based upon "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or a similarly comprehensive and authoritative source. Rather, DOC conforms to healthcare standards in the reference manual

below.

- (c) ED #72 states, "The DOC's medical response shall include the timely dissemination of information and access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis [§115.82(c), §115.382(c)]. Further, all victims shall be offered access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If SANEs cannot be made available, the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners. The facility shall document its efforts to provide SANEs
- (d) (e) (h) ED #72 states, The facility shall attempt to make available to the victim an advocate from a local sexual assault service provider to accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews. As requested by the victim, such a person shall also provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. If a sexual assault service provider is not available to provide victim advocate services, the DOC shall make available a member who has been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and has received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues.
- (f) When the DOC is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, the DOC shall request that the investigating law enforcement agency follow the requirements outlined in §115.21(a-e)

Pre-onsite review and interviews. ED #72 requires the appointing authority or designee at each facility to assign a designated Victim Services Coordinator (VSC). This position is responsible to utilize DOC-2767 and would include but is not limited to meeting with the victim to explain their role, providing contact community victim advocate information, and offering a referral to mental health and medical services. As requested, the VSC would facilitate meetings between the victim-offender and outside community victim advocate. The auditor interviewed the facility Victim Coordinator who stated they would meet with the victim and provide support and protection while they are in the facility.

Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE): The auditor interviewed the SANE from the Aurora Healing and Advocacy Services Center. The Aurora Healing and Advocacy Services Center have exam rooms onsite, if needed they could conduct an exam at the Aurora Sinai Medical Center. A SANE will only be performed by those who have been certified and as requested by the victim they have victim advocates available during regular business hours and after hours the on-call victim advocate would be contacted to support the victim.

Community Victim Advocate: The auditor interviewed the community victim advocate from the Aurora Healing and Advocacy Services Center. They affirmed they have an agreement with WIDOC and have communicated with the VSC at the facility. They will provide emotional support during the SANE and the investigation interview as requested by the victim.

PCM interview: If an offender reports sexual abuse within the time frame that would allow for the collection of forensic evidence, the facility would contact local law enforcement and transport the victim to Aurora Sanai Medical Center for a forensic exam. The facility VSC would community with the community victim advocate.

Agency Internal Affairs (IA) Investigator and Facility Investigator: Reported incidents of sexual abuse are referred to local law enforcement. The administrative investigation will be assigned to the IA Investigator and on a case-by-case basis could be assigned to the facility investigator unless the allegation involves a staff member, contractor, or volunteer. As requested by the victim-offender an attempt will be made to have a victim advocate present during the investigator interview. The agency and/or facility will complete a referral to outside law enforcement for sexual abuse criminal investigations and will work collaboratively with the agency during the investigation process. At the time of this audit, this facility has not received any sexual abuse allegations going back to the last audit.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.22 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 303.00.05 Law Enforcement referrals
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 306.00.15 Inmate Investigations (restricted)
- WI Department of Corrections Human Resources Policy 200.30.304
- Screenshot of the agency website

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- Agency Internal Affairs Investigator
- Facility Investigator
- PREA Director
- (a) (d) ED 72 states, "The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources. DOC shall maintain a policy(ies) that governs the conduct of such investigation."
- (b) (c) ED 72 requires all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior shall be referred for investigation to local law enforcement. All referrals to law enforcement shall be documented. The policy describing such referrals, in addition to the investigative responsibilities of the DOC and local law enforcement, shall be published and maintained on the DOC's website." In accordance with provision (b) and ED 72, DAI 306.00.15 and policy 200.030.304 requires all reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment that may involve criminal behavior to be reported to law enforcement by the PCM or designee.
- (d) In accordance with ED 72 the agency has policies governing the conduct of these investigations. DAI 303.00.05 under LE referrals requires the Warden or designee to refer allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as defined in ED 72 that involve criminal behavior (WI Sexual Assault Stature 940.025)

Pre-onsite: In addition to the agency website screenshot uploaded into the OAS, the auditor reviewed the agency's public website: DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wigov)

Assistant Deputy Secretary: All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will be investigated and procedures have been implemented to ensure investigations are prompt.

The Agency Internal Affairs (IA) Investigator and Facility Investigator:

Criminal investigations are conducted by local law enforcement, and the agency or facility investigator will attempt to communicate with the LE for the case number to follow up on the status of the investigation. The Administrative Investigation will be assigned to an IA Investigator or case by case to the facility investigator for incidents that do not involve staff, contractors, or volunteers.

PREA Director: The agency policy requires all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred to local law enforcement if the allegation involves potential criminal behavior. The agency administrative investigator is responsible for conducting staff on offender sexual abuse and sexual harassment administrative investigation.

Conclusion: At the time of this audit, this facility has not received any sexual abuse allegations going back to the last audit. Based on the available information the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.31 Employee training

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(ies) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Agency Training Pre-Service Curriculum
- Agency Training Module All-Staff (screenshot)
- Agency Traning Module (refresher 2017, 2019, 2021)
- · Agency Newsletter for years employees do not receive staff fresher
- WCCS Staff Orientation Checklist
- DOC form 1558 Employment Statement to Acknowledgment
- PREA Facility Staff Training Report

Interviews conducted

Random Staff

(a-d) ED 72 states "The DOC shall train all new staff members on the department's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All staff members shall receive training every two years; in years in which a staff member does not receive such refresher training, the DOC shall provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. The training shall include, but is not limited to the subparts listed below. Each staff member shall acknowledge and certify to the DOC, through signature or electronic verification, that they understand the training they received."

- 1. The DOC's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- 2. How to fulfill staff responsibilities under the DOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting and response policies, and procedures;
- 3. Offenders' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- 4. The right of offenders and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- 5. The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement;
- 6. The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims;
- 7. How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse;

- 8. How to avoid inappropriate relationships with offenders;
- 9. How to communicate effectively and professionally with offenders, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming offenders:
- 10. How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities;
- 11. Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent;
- 12. Instruction tailored to male and female offenders; and
- 13. Instruction specific to the unique needs and attributes of juvenile

In years employees do not receive refresher training the agency newsletters include a PREA Page. The newsletters cover multiple topics from updated policy and procedures, quick links to resources, and guides that provide updates to staff on policy and procedure revisions, statistics on reporting incidents, investigations, the progress of National PREA audits, etc. In conjunction with the training provision "How to communicate effectively and professionally with offenders, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming offenders". DAI policy 500.7027 page 5 of 13 G states "Forms of Address 1. When a transgender or intersex PIOC requests that staff use an alternate form of address, staff shall, at minimum, use gender-neutral forms of address (e.g. "Smith" or "Jones"). 2. When a transgender or intersex PIOC requests, staff may, at their discretion, use preferred singular pronouns (he/she, him/her) or preferred titles (Mr./Ms./Miss).

Pre-onsite: In conjunction with standard 115.17, the auditor selected random staff and utilized the PREA Audit Employee Files/Records review guide. The auditor reviewed the training curricula and the computer-based training report which listed facility staff, course title and the date staff completed training.

Onsite interviews: The audit team interviewed random security and non-security staff. The staff selected affirmed they have completed staff training and received PREA information including they understood the training provided. The audit team asked how they communicate with all offenders including those who identify as Transgender or Intersex. Staff stated they refer to offenders by their last name or as their preferred pronoun.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard and standard provisions

115.32 Volunteer and contractor training

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(ies) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)..
- Division of Adult Institution (DAI) 309.06.03 Volunteers, Pastoral Visitors, Program Guests, and Interns
- Agency Volunteer Orientation
- A Guide for Volunteers and Contractors Brochure
- DAI Volunteer, Pastoral Visitor, Program Guest & Intern Orientation
- Agency Contractor & Volunteer Training
- Volunteer Manual
- Contractor Acknowledgment
- · Screenshot of the agency documentation process
- Agency DOC 2809 form Volunteer Orientation Roster Attendance Record
- Revised DOC 2674 DAI Volunteer Application

Interviews:

- Contract Program Guests
- (a) ED 72 states, "All volunteers and contractors who have contact with offenders shall be trained, in accordance with the type of service and level of contact they have with offenders, on the DOC's zero-tolerance policy as it relates to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. They shall, additionally, be trained on their responsibilities under the DOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures. Each volunteer or contractor shall acknowledge and certify to the DOC, through signature or electronic verification, that they understand the training they received." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 309.06.03 further outlines volunteer and contractor training procedures.
- (b) DAI 309.06.03 states, "Volunteers are required to complete an orientation prior to facility entry and inmate interaction, based upon type, frequency, and level of inmate contact. The following are minimum expectations for all DAI volunteers:
 - Full orientation shall be required for any volunteer entering any DAI facility

(one or any combination of sites) five or more times per year.

- Brief orientation shall be required for any volunteer entering any facility four or fewer times per year.
- Persons changing status to increase facility entry to five or more times per year shall be required to complete the full orientation.

Warden/designee may:

- 1. Require full orientation on a case-by-case basis at any time;
- 2. Limit volunteer one-to-one contact with inmates;
- 3. Provide direct/line-of-sight staff supervision

(c) DAI 309.06.03 requires volunteers to sign DOC2809 to verify attendance for all brief and full orientations and annual orientation updates. Facilities are required to maintain a copy of the acknowledgment form and sent the original paper form to the facility PREA Compliance Manager.

The agency recently revised DOC-2674 DAI Volunteer Application, including Pastoral Visitor, and Program Guests. The form was revised to include the following statements for acknowledgment.

I understand that volunteers are expected to abide by all DOC and DAI policies (as outlined in the DAI Volunteer, Pastoral Visitor, Program Guest & Intern Orientation Manual), including the Fraternization Policy and facility procedures. I also agree to abide by all DOC policies related to Wisconsin and Federal laws regarding confidentiality of inmate-protected health information, as well as the prevention and required disclosure of inmate sexual abuse/violence.

DOC policy further requires all prospective volunteers to read the Wisconsin DOC PREA brochure titled, Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement: A Guide for Volunteers and Contractors [POC-54]. Check the following to indicate:

- I have read this brochure
- I have had opportunity to ask questions about DOC's PREA policies (including by phone or email)

After reading the attached PREA pamphlet, I understand:

- The definitions of "sexual abuse," sexual harassment," and "consent.";
- That it is always against the law for a volunteer to engage in sexual activity with an inmate;
- There are traits that put an offender at higher risk of sexual victimization;
- Some of the "red flags" that may indicate compromised boundaries or abuse of an inmate;

I have a duty to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion or information of sexual abuse or harassment of an inmate using one or more methods

- Notifying an onsite facility supervisor
- Submitting via the DOC website [https://doc.wi.gov/Pages/AboutDOC/ PrisonRapeEliminationAct.aspx]
- Emailing the PREA Office [docsecosopreainvestigations@wisconsin.gov]
- Calling the PREA Office [608-240-5071]

That I should request an alleged victim avoid any actions that might destroy evidence;

That I must maintain healthy "professional boundaries" with inmates at all times.

My signature below acknowledges my understanding of DOC's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as my responsibilities for adherence to PREA as a volunteer.

Pre-onsite: The lead auditor reviewed volunteer and contract employee training documentation and completed an interview with the contract medical employee. The contract medical employee began working at this facility before the release of the PREA standards and stated they have completed PREA training including PREA refresher training. They understand the training received and the agency's Zero Tolerance policy. The facility did not have any volunteers or contract program guests scheduled to be at the facility during the onsite audit and reached out to two contract program guests and asked if they would be willing to come into the facility for a PREA interview.

On-site: The audit team interviewed the two contract program guests who stated they have attended PREA training and understood the information provided including the agency's Zero Tolerance Policy.

Conclusion: The audit finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.33 Inmate education

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(ies) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) 410.20.01 Inmate PREA Education
- Agency Offender Education Video Reference
- Offender ID Card (PREA) reporting options on the back
- Agency Offender PREA Education Facilitator Guide
- Agency Offender Handbook (English & Spanish)
- Agency Handbook Addendum
- Inmate Education Directive from the agency PREA Director
- Facility Education Acknowledgment Report
- WIDOC form POC-99 (English)
- WIDOC form POC-99S (Spanish)
- Pictures of PREA information and locations
- Offender education/orientation documentation reviewed

Interviews conducted

- Target Staff
- Random Offenders
- (a) ED 72 states "At intake, offenders shall receive information detailing the DOC's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report such incidents or suspicions." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.20.01 procedure outlines general intake guidelines for ensuring inmates receive PREA education during intake explaining the agency's "zero tolerance" policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including retaliation reporting, methods to make a report, and the agency response.

DAI 410.20.01 states, "At the intake facility, inmates shall acknowledge they received POC-0041, POC-0041B, and comprehensive education by signing the Acknowledgement of PREA Education offender standard form in WICS using an electronic signature pad."

- POC-0041 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention: A Resource for Inmates
- POC-0041B Sexual Abuse in Confinement

This auditor reviewed the Agency Inmate Handbook which included and was not limited to:

- 1. The agency's "zero tolerance" policy,
- 2. methods and how to report,
- 3. definitions of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, retaliation, confidentiality, consent,
- 4. tools to help keep safe (prevention)
- 5. protection, support, and recovery for victims of sexual abuse
- 6. investigatory process after a reporting sexual abuse
- 7. In addition to the offender handbook, the PREA reporting options are printed on the back of the offender ID card
- (b) ED 72 states "Within 30 days of intake at adult facilities and within 10 days at juvenile facilities, the facility shall provide a comprehensive education to offenders either in person or through video regarding:
 - 1. The DOC's zero-tolerance policy, including offenders' right to be free of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and disclosure-related retaliation; and
 - 2. The DOC's policies and procedures for responding to such incidents.

In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.20.01 general intake guidelines states "upon transfer to a facility, each inmate shall receive POC-0041 and POC-0041B complete with local sexual assault service provider contact information. And within 30 days of intake, each inmate shall be provided comprehensive PREA education, which includes:

- 1. Viewing the video "Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention.
- 2. Following the video a staff-facilitated discussion shall occur and include:
- The facility's cross-gender announcement procedure.
- Local sexual assault service provider contact information.
- Notable facility-specific PREA procedures.
- 3. Facilities shall use POC-0041C to guide their comprehensive education

The auditor reviewed POC-0041C Inmate PREA Education Facilitator Guide as referenced in DAI 410.20.01 Inmate PREA Education. The guide may be modified to suit each facility.

(c) WIDOC began implementing PREA in 2015 and completed PREA inmate education to all inmates who were currently incarcerated and began providing inmate PREA

education to all inmates during the intake process.

ED 72 states "Upon transfer to another facility, offenders shall receive education specific to the facility's sexual abuse, sexual harassment and report-related retaliation policies and procedures to the extent they differ from the previous facility." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.20.01 general transfer guidelines state "upon transfer to a facility, each inmate shall receive POC-0041 and POC-0041B complete with local sexual assault service provider contact information. Within 30 days of intake, each inmate shall be provided comprehensive PREA education, which includes, at minimum, a staff-facilitated discussion of:

- 1. The agency's zero tolerance for sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and reportrelated retaliation.
- 2. Sexual abuse and sexual harassment reporting options.
- 3. The facility's cross-gender announcement procedure.
- 4. Local sexual assault service provider contact information.
- 5. The facility's response procedure.
- 6. Notable facility-specific PREA procedures.

The auditor reviewed POC-0041C Inmate PREA Education Facilitator Guide as referenced in DAI 410.20.01 Inmate PREA Education. The guide may be modified to suit each facility.

(d) ED 72 states "Offenders with disabilities or who have limited English proficiency shall have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the DOC's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This includes providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary, in addition to the provision of offender education in formats accessible to all. Written materials shall be provided in formats or methods that ensure effective communication with offenders with disabilities." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.20.01 states, "Inmates with disabilities or who have limited English proficiency shall be provided with access to interpreters or alternate formats to assist them with comprehension of the information. Additionally DAI Policies 300.00.35 and 300.00.61 include alternate formats of education that may include but are not limited to:

- POC-0041 Audio recording (obtain from PREA Office)
- POC-0041 Braille translation (obtain from PREA Office).
- POC-0041S, POC-0041BS Spanish translation.
- Spanish and subtitled versions of the PREA education video
- Special education teacher or similar to facilitate education."
- When a facility uses alternate formats or resources to educate inmates with disabilities or for those who are limited English proficient, the facilitator shall at minimum document such provision in a DOC-2466 and denote "PREA" and "Informational".

As of the audit time frame, the PREA Education offender acknowledgment is on an electronic signature pad and only in English. The agency is in the process of updating the electronic signature pad to include the offender education acknowledgment in Spanish. When the institution/correctional center receives an offender who is limited English proficient, the staff member is responsible for having the information verbally translated and read to the offender. Staff will document the use of a translator and the offender signs the English version on the electronic pad.

Pre-onsite: The auditor was informed that the facility did not have any offenders with physical or mental health disabilities or offenders who were Limited English proficient during this audit time frame.

- (e) ED 72 states "Each facility shall maintain documentation of offender participation in these education sessions." Additionally, DAI 410.20.01 requires the acknowledgment to be completed at the receiving site in WICS each time an inmate transfers.
- (f) ED 72 states" Each facility shall ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to offenders through posters, handbooks or other written formats." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.20.01 page 3. IV. Accessibility of PREA Education and Information requires Information about reporting and receiving support shall be continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, handbooks, and other written formats. Facilities shall have a copy of POC-0041 in the library and if equipped, make an effort to regularly play the video, "Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Prevention and Intervention" on the institution channel.

On-site document review: The audit team utilized the PREA Audit Inmate Document review guide for the offenders who were selected for an interview. Audit support staff verified the dates offenders received PREA education and orientation and supported offenders generally received education on the day they arrive at the facility.

Onsite observations and interviews: When the audit team arrived they were informed that the facility did not have any offenders who were Limited English proficient or had a cognitive disability, this was affirmed during offender and staff interviews. The offenders selected for an interview stated they received a PREA pamphlet with staff providing in-person verbal offender education. The offenders understood the Zero Tolerance policy, and how to report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The audit team observed PREA posters throughout the facility during the site review and offenders stated there is PREA information on the back of their ID cards. The audit support staff interviewed the facility staff member who conducts offender education, asking them to describe the process they follow to ensure offenders receive materials.

Conclusion: The auditor finds this facility meets full compliance with this standard

115.34 Specialized training: Investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(ies) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- · Agency investigation resource guide
- Agency-trained investigator roster
- · Agency investigation training curricula

Interviews conducted

- · Agency Internal Affairs (IA) Investigator
- Facility Investigator

(a - d) ED 72 states "Staff who investigate incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall receive specialized training on techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda, Garrity, and Oddsen warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecutorial referral. The DOC shall maintain documentation of training completion

Pre-onsite document review: The lead auditor reviewed the agency investigator training directory and included all staff who have received training on how to investigate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement. The auditor verified who was authorized to conduct investigations at this facility to ensure any investigations (if applicable) were completed by staff who had attended the specialized training. Additionally, this information supported document review utilizing the PRC PREA Audit document review – Employee & Investigations guide (if applicable)

Pre-onsite investigator interviews: The auditor interviewed one of the agency's internal affairs (IA) investigators and the facility investigator. They were asked to describe the investigation training received and the process they follow from the time they are assigned the investigation, including what evidence they collect and rely upon, summarizing interviews with the victim, suspect, and witness (if any). The investigators were able to provide knowledge and understanding of the training received when describing the investigatory process. Both investigators had

knowledge of the Miranda Warning, however, they are not criminal investigators and do not have the legal authority to read Miranda Rights to offenders or staff. Staff conducting investigations are fact finders, and the final resolution is determined by the appointing authority and sent to the PREA Office for final review. While they do not determine the resolution/finding, they were able to define Substantiated, Unsubstantiated and Unfounded. The PREA office will officially close and send offender case closure notifications.

Generally, when an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment has been reported the investigation will be assigned the same day or the next business day depending on the time and day of the report. Should the PREA Office identify elements not meeting the investigatory process for a thorough investigation, the investigation will be referred back to the investigator for corrections.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility and agency meet compliance with this standard

115.35 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Agency Healthcare Module (screenshots)
- Staff Specialized Training Roster

Interviews conducted

- · Health Services Unit (HSU) Staff
- · Psychological Services Unit (PSU) Staff
- (a) ED 72 states, "All medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in a DOC facility(ies) shall be trained on the subparts below.
 - How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
 - How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse;
 - How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and
 - How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- (b) This provision is not applicable. All sexual abuse victims are transported to a community hospital
- (c-d) Ed 72 states "The DOC shall maintain documentation that such training has been received"

Pre-onsite: The Psychological Services Unit (PSU) staff from the Milwaukee Secure Detention Facility will provide support for offenders at MSCC and will meet with offenders as requested or after receiving a referral from facility staff. needed. The lead auditor interviewed the PSU staff who affirmed they completed both staff PREA training and specialized training for mental health response incidents of sexual abuse in confinement. At the time of this audit, they have not received any referrals for offenders who reported sexual abuse in confinement. The facility Health Services Unit staff member was interviewed and affirmed they have received staff PREA

training in compliance with standard 115.31 and specialized training for this standard.

The auditor reviewed the screenshots of the agency's computer-based specialized training for healthcare staff and the facility training roster. The computer-based training objectives addressed the following areas: First Resonder, Initial Assessment, Reporting, Preserve Evidence, Provider Care, and Response. Upon completion of the course, the staff is required to take a quiz with a passing score of 80%.

Conclusion: Reviewing available evidence, documentation, and interviews with staff, the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive (ED) #72: Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 410.30.01 (PREA) Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusivicness and Sexual Victimization.
- Agency Risk Screening Directive (3/2016)
- Agency Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) User Guides A, B, C, and D (confidential)
- DOC-2781B PREA Screening Tool Adult Male Facility
- · Sample facility admission list/screening dates
- WICS Screening Security Warning
- Onsite review of offender information

Interviews conducted

- Target Staff
- · Random Offender
- Target Offender
- PREA Director

(a – e) ED 72 states "Offenders shall be assessed during an initial screening within 72 hours of arrival at the facility, and again upon transfer to another facility, for risk of being sexually abused by other offenders or sexually abusive towards other offenders. The objective screening instrument shall include, at minimum, the following criteria:

- 1. The presence of a mental, physical or developmental disability;
- 2. Level of emotional and cognitive development (juveniles facilities only)
- 3. Age;
- 4. Physical build;
- 5. Previous incarcerations;
- 6. Exclusively nonviolent criminal history;
- 7. Prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child;
- 8. Is, or is perceived to be, gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or

- gender nonconforming;
- 9. Previously experienced sexual victimization;
- 10. Prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and/or history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse; and
- 11. Offender's perception of "vulnerability"

The agency policy includes risk screening factors for juvenile settings as referenced above(#2). This risk factor is not applicable to this facility audit as it is an adult confinement facility.

In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.30.01 outlines definitions, forms, and procedures for conducting the risk screening process. Auditor reviewed DOC-2781-B risk and the WICS user guides (confidential), which provide step-by-step instructions for staff who conduct inmate PREA risk screening assessments.

Pre-onsite: Auditor reviewed the facility PREA admission list for the 12-month audit timeframe and identified the facility did not meet substantial compliance ensuring risk screening assessments were completed within the 72-hour time frame.

(f) ED 72 states "In addition to the initial screening, within 30 days of arrival, the facility shall reassess the offender's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the initial screening."

Pre-onsite: Auditor reviewed the facility PREA admission list for the 12-month audit timeframe and identified the facility did not meet substantial compliance ensuring risk screening assessments were completed within the 30-day time frame.

- (g) ED 72 requires an offender's risk level to be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on the offender's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.30.01 page 4. Screening (c) outlines requirements for when an inmate will be reassessed and referred for a follow-up rescreening based on new information.
- (h) ED 72 states "Offenders may not be disciplined for refusing to answer or for failing to disclose information in regards to the assessment questions."
- (i) ED 72 states "Appropriate controls shall be placed on the dissemination of information gathered from the initial and follow-up screenings to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the offender's detriment by employees or other offenders." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.30.01 the WICS user guide (confidential), and use of the risk screening database requires staff to use their unique ID number and includes a warning section outlining confidentiality requirements.

Pre-onsite PREA Director and PCM Interview: Wardens or Superintendents designate the staff who are authorized to view risk screening information and is based on their scope of work and the need and right to have access. At the initiation

of the risk screening process, there is a warning on the sensitive information, confidentiality, and actions that will be taken if there is a breach/release of information. The Agency PREA Director has the authority to review all staff authorized to use WICS and remove access if necessary.

Onsite: At the time of the onsite audit the facility did not have any offenders scheduled for a risk screening assessment which would allow the audit support team to observe the process. While the audit support team member interviewed the social worker who is responsible for conducting offender risk screenings, they were asked to describe the step-by-step process they follow when meeting with offenders. As the audit support team completed the offender file review guide for the offenders who were interviewed they identified that some of the intake and 30-day follow-up reviews were overdue. This was discussed at the out brief with the facility staff with the auditor requesting additional information.

Offender Interviews: The audit team made an effort to select a diverse selection of offenders to be interviewed with a majority of offenders selected for the interview affirming they were asked the "PREA" questions when they arrived and again after a few weeks. The audit support staff reviewed the risk screening and education (115.33) report for all offenders who were selected for interview utilizing the PREA Audit – Adult & Jail Documentation Review Guide. The facility did not have any offenders who fell under targeted interviews, all interviews completed were with a random selection of offenders from each living area.

Corrective action: After reviewing the admission report pre-onsite, onsite document review, and discussion with facility staff during the out brief the auditor determined provisions (a) and (f) of this standard would be placed into short-term corrective action. **Post-onsite audit:** On September 22, 2022, before the issuance of the interim audit report the auditor received a memo outlining the actions the facility will take to ensure they meet the intake and 30-day follow-up time frame for completing the risk screening assessments.

- 1. A social service calendar has been created and all arrivals are put onto this calendar, as well as a reminder at the 21-day mark to complete the appropriate assessment. When this event is added to the calendar, it sends these appointments with reminders activated to the Superintendent, the Captain, the Social Worker, and another staff member as a backup assessor.
- 2. They formally appointed and trained another staff member as the backup assessor for when the center social worker is out of the center or otherwise unavailable. This staff member has access to the previously mentioned social services calendar and has been trained appropriately on how to administer the PREA assessment.
- 3. As part of the intake procedure, when the PIOC arrives and completes the orientation process with security, security will immediately inform the social worker and the assessment and PREA education will be completed at that time.

As discussed during the out brief and the actions taken referenced in the above corrective action paragraph, the auditor requested the facility provide admission screenings to observe the proof of practice. **Follow-up:** On September 29, 2022, and October 6, 2022, and before the issuance of the interim audit report the auditor received offender admission reports, and copies of the risk screening assessment for each offender. The documentation supported proof of practice that the social worker had completed and documented the risk screening assessments upon intake or the following day. On November 22, 2022, the facility notified the auditor they did not have any offenders transferred to their facility between October 6, 2022, and October 31, 2022. An admission report and risk screening documentation for offenders received between November 1, 2022, and November 22, 2022, was provided and reviewed, and determined the facility was meeting substantial compliance. The auditor additionally reviewed the admission report for dates the social worker completed the 30-day follow-up risk screening for offenders received between September 13, 2022, and October 4, 2022.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.42 Use of screening information

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures (PREA) 410.30.01 Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusivicness and Sexual Victimization.
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) 306.00.72 (Security) Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusivicness and Sexual Victimization.
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) 306.00.23 (Security) (Restricted) Special Placement Needs of Inmates
- Division of Adult Institution (DAI) 500.70.27 Transgender Management and Care (4/4/22)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) policy and procedures 325.00.04 Temporary Release Under Supervision
- Agency Risk Screening Directive (3/2016)
- Agency Wisconsin Integrated Corrections System (WICS) User Guide
- Agency Screener User Guide Security Special Handling (SH) PREA
- DOC-2781B PREA Screening Tool Adult Male Facility
- DOC-2570 Inmate Offsite Review
- DOC-3793 Transgender Housing Evaluation
- Revised Agency Inmate Classification Report and Process

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- PREA Compliance Manager
- The Staff Member who conducts PREA Risk Screening Assessment

(a) ED 72 states "Information obtained from the initial or follow-up screening shall inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. For the purposes of education, programming, work, and recreation activities, line-of-sight monitoring by DOC staff is sufficient to maintain separation."

The auditor reviewed DOC-2781-B, and the WICS user guide (confidential), which

provides step-by-step instructions for staff who conduct inmate PREA risk screening assessments. In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.30.01 and DAI 306.00.72 outline the use of screening information when making housing and bed assignments, there is the expectation to keep inmates who score as a high risk of being sexually victimized separate from those scoring as a high risk of being sexually abusive. Depending on the type of housing unit those placed in a dormitory setting who are at risk of victimization or risk of abusiveness, and who cannot otherwise be separated by the housing unit, shall be bunked at opposite sides of the dormitory. Those at risk of victimization shall be bunked in areas more likely to receive additional staff supervision. For work, education, and program assignments, the expectation is to supervise or separate inmates who score as a high risk of being sexually victimized from those scoring as a high risk of being sexually abused.

- (b) ED 72 states "Individualized placement determinations shall be made for each offender." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.30.01 requires facilities to ensure individualized determinations are made for each inmate.
- (c) ED 72 states "When making facility, cell/unit housing and programmatic assignments for transgender or intersex offenders the DOC shall consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the offender's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 500.70.27 was revised effective April 4, 2022, and outlines procedures for completing case-by-case reviews.
 - 1. DAI 500.70.27 defines and outlines procedures that include but are not limited to:
 - 2. Accommodations for Transgender and Intersex Offenders,
 - 3. approved sites (facilities)
 - 4. Placement Review
 - 5. Operations at a Receiving Facility Consistent with Gender Identity
 - 6. Removal from Receiving Facility Consistent with Genter Identity
 - 7. Medical and Psychological Treatment for Gender Dysphoria (GD)
 - 8. Transfer to Work Release Facilities
 - 9. Release Planning
 - 10. Transgender Committee
 - 11. Transgender Housing Committee, and
 - 12. Committee Roles
- (d) ED 72 states "Placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex offender shall be reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to the safety experienced by the offender. In accordance with ED 72, DAI 500.70.27 states "Placement and programming assignments shall be reassessed at a minimum of every six months in a reclassification hearing and shall include a review of any threats to safety experienced by the PIOC."
- (e) ED 72 states "in addition to serious consideration of the offender's own views with respect to their own safety." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 500.70.27 states the

assigned social worker/treatment specialist shall inquire about the PIOC's perception of safety in housing and programming assignments and document the response in the Reclassification Report (if response reflects significant safety risk, notify security supervisor).

- (f) ED 72 states "Transgender and intersex offenders shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other offenders." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.30.01 and DAI 500.70.27 outline procedures to include transgender and intersex PIOCs are given the opportunity to shower separately from other PIOCs.
- (g) ED 72 states "Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex offenders shall not be placed in dedicated facilities, wings or units solely on the basis of such identification or status."

Pre-onsite: The Assistant Deputy Secretary and PREA Director were interviewed and during the discussion, this auditor discussed the updated agency policy DAI 500.70.27, Transgender Management and Care. Both are well informed and described the process the agency and facilities follow when a Transgender Offender requests to be housed in a facility they identify vs their gender assigned at birth. The Secretary will review all information that is provided and will make the final determination on whether to approve or deny the request. A denial by the Secretary will not be based on the gender assigned at birth.

Facility PCM: The PCM stated they have not had any transgender or intersex offenders housed at their facility within this audit time frame and he could not recall the last time a transgender offender was transferred to their facility. The PCM is aware they are responsible for meeting with transgender offenders every six months and would ensure they are provided with the opportunity to shower privately and coordinate a shower plan as necessary. The facility does not house gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex offenders in dedicated wings nor do they have any consent decrees or legal judgments.

On-site interviews and observations: Staff who completed risk screening assessments: This interview intertwines with and is a continuation of standard 115.41. The staff member who conducts the risk screening assessments will not house offenders who are assessed as a high risk of victimization (ROV) with those assessed as high risk of aggressiveness (ROA). The staff member stated they have not received a transgender or intersex offender and while they are aware of the requirement they have not completed a six-month assessment review. During the physical plant review, the audit team did not perceive any offenders as Transgender females or gender non-conforming. This was further supported during interviews with staff and offenders selected for onsite interviews.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available, interviews conducted and onsite observations, the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.43 Protective Custody

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)..
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 306.05.01 Protective Confinement
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) 306.00.72 (Security) Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusivicness and Sexual Victimization.
- DOC-30 Inmate in Restrictive Housing

Interviews conducted

- Warden
- Superintendent
- (a) ED 72 states "Offenders at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be separated from the general population unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the facility may separate the offender involuntarily from the general population for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.0072 states "If an assessment cannot be conducted immediately, the facility may separate the inmate involuntarily from the general population for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment."
- (b) ED 72 states "Offenders separated from the general population for this purpose shall have access to programs, privileges, education or work opportunities to the extent possible. If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities the facility shall document the opportunities limited, the reason for such limitations, and the duration of the limitation."
- (c) ED 72 states "Involuntary separation from the general population shall only be until alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and shall not ordinarily exceed 30 calendar days" In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.72 states "Involuntary separation from the general population shall only be until alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged and shall not

ordinarily exceed 30 calendar days."

- (d) ED 72 states "If an offender is involuntarily separated from the general population the facility shall document the basis for the facility's concern for the offender's safety and the reason an alternate placement cannot be arranged."
- (e) ED 72 states "Every 30 days, the facility shall review the offender's circumstances to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population and document accordingly." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.05.01 and DAI 306.0072 require the facility to ensure the inmate's protective confinement placement is reviewed every 30 days to determine if placement remains necessary.

Pre-onsite:

Superintendent and Warden interview: This facility does not have a protective or restrictive housing unit. While the agency has a policy and both the Superintendent and Warden were familiar with these requirements, they do not apply to this facility audit.

On-site review: The audit team did not observe any restrictive or protective segregation unit.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available, interviews conducted and onsite observations, the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard.

115.51 Inmate reporting

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- · Agency Inmate Handbook in English and Spanish
- Agency PREA Poster (English and Spanish) Reporting options
- Agency Third Party Poster (English and Spanish)

Interviews conducted

- PREA Compliance Manager
- Random Staff
- · Random Offenders
- (a) (b) ED 72 states "The DOC shall provide multiple ways for offenders to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other offenders or employees for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and employee neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. In addition, the DOC shall provide at least one way for offenders to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the DOC." In accordance with ED 72, offenders are provided with the inmate handbook as referenced in standard 115.33 which includes reporting options. Offender posters include the option to report outside of WI DOC and do not require the offender to use their unique ID number. This phone option connects the Offender to Capital Police who will provide the report to the Agency PREA Office. The agency does not detain offenders solely for civil immigration purposes.
- (c) ED 72 states "Employees shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties; promptly document any verbal reports."
- (d) ED 72 states "The DOC shall provide a method for employees to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of an offender." in conduction with standard 115.31, the lead auditor reviewed staff training which includes options for staff to privately report to the PREA Office, local law enforcement or to submit a report electronically via the DOC's internet site.

Pre-onsite PCM interview: The PCM stated the agency and the facility provide offenders with multiple options to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment including but not limited to an outside agency that is not part of the WIDOC. These options are referenced on PREA posters and in the offender education material.

Onsite interviews: The audit team interviewed random staff and offenders. All those who were selected for the interview were able to provide the audit team with different reporting options available to offenders and staff. They were aware they could report incidents of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and/or retaliation. Most of the offenders stated they felt comfortable with the staff and would report verbally.

On-site review and observations: The audit team observed PREA posters throughout the facility and located by the offender's phones. The lead auditor tested the PREA reporting option listed on the PREA poster from the offender's phone in the common area. There are two options available. The first option offenders will hear after picking up the receiver and pressing their language option after this has been done, they will hear audio directions on how to report an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and which reporting option they can select. The second option, offenders can use #777 or #888 which are the options listed on the PREA posters if they choose one of these options the call will automatically be directed to the reporting line. The PREA hotline informs the calling party that they are message lines and retrieved during regular business hours and if they need to file an immediate report to contact staff. The PREA poster includes option #999 to connect with the outside victim advocate in conjunction with standard 115.53. All the options referenced can be accessed by offenders without using their unique PIN and they are at no cost to the offender. The auditor received an email notification from the PREA office that the test call had been received.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available, interviews conducted and onsite observations, the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.52 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Agency Admin Code Chapter 310 Complaint Procedures
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 310.00.01 Inmate Complaints Regarding Staff Misconduct

Interviews conducted

- · Random Offenders
- Facility Inmate Complaint Examiner (ICE)
- (a) (d) ED 72 states "All sexual abuse and sexual harassment complaints filed through the Inmate Complaint Review System shall be immediately redirected and referred for sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation. Inmates shall be notified within 30 days of the initial complaint that an investigation into the portion of the complaint alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment has commenced and the Inmate Complaint Review process has concluded." Agency Admin Code Chapter 310, DOC 310.08 PREA complaint procedure, outlines the process for the handling of inmate complaints related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- (b) ED 72 states "A time limit shall not be imposed on when an offender may submit a complaint regarding an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment through other applicable time limits may still apply to any portion of the complaint that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. All appeals shall be made in accordance with Wisconsin State statutory time limits and referred to the appropriate reviewing authority." Additionally, the complaint process shall not include a mandatory informal resolution.
- (c) ED 72 states "Each facility shall ensure that an offender who alleges sexual abuse or sexual harassment may submit a complaint without submitting it to an employee who is the subject of the complaint and that such a complaint is not referred to an employee who is the subject of the complaint. The offender may use an alternate method of filing."

- (e) ED 72 states "Third parties, including fellow offenders, employees, family members, attorneys and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist an offender in filing complaints related to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Complaints filed shall be referred for sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment investigation." In accordance with ED 72, Agency Admin Code DOC 310.08 (4) states "Third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist an inmate in filing a request for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates. Requests for administrative remedies filed under this section will be referred for a PREA investigation."
- (f) ED 72 states "If an offender alleges that he or she is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the offender may contact any employee who is not the subject of the allegation. Staff shall immediately forward the allegation to facility leadership for immediate corrective action. Facility leadership shall provide an initial response within 48 hours and issue a final decision within 5 calendar days. The initial response and final facility decision shall document the facility's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency complaint. Further response shall be in accordance with Employee Reporting." In accordance with ED 72, Agency Admin Code DOC 310.08 (5) states "Emergency grievance procedures for complaints alleging a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be handled in the following manner:
 - 1. The inmate may contact any staff member who is not the subject of the allegation for immediate corrective action.
 - 2. The inmate may file a complaint. Complaints collected under s. DOC 310.08 shall be immediately forwarded to the warden to determine if immediate action is warranted.
 - 3. Reports of substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or sexual harassment outside of the complaint process under this chapter shall be immediately forwarded to the warden to determine if immediate action is warranted.
 - 4. Further response will be in accordance with department policy.
- (g) ED 72 states "The DOC may discipline an offender for a complaint filed alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment only where the DOC demonstrates that the complaint was filed in bad faith." In accordance with ED 72, Agency Admin Code DOC 310.08 (6) states "The warden may discipline an inmate for filing a complaint related to alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment only if the warden demonstrates that the inmate filed the complaint in bad faith."

Pre-onsite: The lead auditor interviewed the facility Inmate Complaint Examiners (ICE) who described the offender complaint process. The ICE stated that they have not received an inmate complaint reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment during this audit time frame and they could not remember when they had received one. Should an offender file a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment they would

immediately process the complaint form and notify the PCM/Superintendent.

On-site interview: Random staff and offenders were interviewed with all those interviewed stating they understood an offender could report an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment via the inmate complaint form. While the offenders knew they could use the inmate complaint form as an option to file a "PREA" report, most stated they would report to staff.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available, interviews conducted and onsite observations, the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Agency Inmate Handbook in English and Spanish
- Agency PREA Poster (English and Spanish) Community Victim Advocacy Organization
- DOC-2937 Advocacy Request Form / DOC-2937 (S) Spanish Advocacy Request Form
- POC-0041B Sexual Abuse in Confinement A Resource For Offenders (English and Spanish)
- Memorandum of Understanding Between WIDOC and Community Victim Advocate Organization

Interviews conducted

- · Random offenders
- · Community Victim Advocate
- Facility Victim Services Coordinator

(a-c) ED 72 states "Thereafter, the facility shall provide offenders with access to outside victim advocates, with whom the DOC shall maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding with, for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. Access includes giving offenders mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available. The facility shall enable reasonable communication between offenders and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible and, in advance, provide notification to offenders of the extent to which such conversations will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws." In accordance with ED 72, offenders are provided with the inmate handbook as referenced in standard 115.33 which includes information on how to contact the community victim advocate. Additionally, the facility provided a copy of the victim advocate organization information posted throughout the facility (English/Spanish), and includes the following:

- 1. The hotline number,
- 2. Mailing address
- 3. Informs offenders they do not need to enter their unique PIN,
- 4. Calls are not recorded or monitored, and
- 5. The level of confidentiality when sending correspondence.

Reviewing ED #72 the appointing authority or designee at each facility shall assign the facility-based Victim Services Coordinator. The staff member who is designated with this responsibility will assist in connecting victims of sexual abuse in confinement to outside support services.

Community Victim Advocate: The auditor interviewed the community victim advocate from Aurora Healing and Advocacy Services. The victim advocate started working with this facility in March 2022 and has had conversations with the facility VSC. At the time of this audit, the advocacy center is still following COVID protocols and provides services through private telephone meetings. They are working towards opening provide in-person services in the near future. The victim advocate stated they would work with the VSC to coordinate private phone meetings and in-person client meetings once they open the center up for in-person meetings.

Facility Victim Services Coordinator (VSC): The facility victim coordinator does not provide emotional support services to victims of sexual abuse and they assist as the liaison between the facility and the community victim advocate. This includes providing resources and information to victim-offenders and as requested coordinating meetings between the victim and community victim advocate. The lead auditor interviewed the VSC and they have communicated with the community victim advocate however, at the time of this audit they did not have any offenders who requested a meeting with the victim advocate.

On-site: The audit team observed PREA posters throughout the facility which include the contact number and address of the community victim advocate. This facility did not have any reported incidents of sexual abuse or offenders who reported a history of sexual victimization. The majority of offenders selected for the interview were not aware of the community victim advocate Reviewing offender signed education, the offender education material provided, and information referenced on the PREA posters information readily available. It is possible after offenders receive the information they discard the documentation.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available, interviews conducted and onsite observations, the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.54 Third-party reporting

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Agency third-party poster (English and Spanish)
- Screenshot of the agency's public website reporting information

Interviews conducted:

- · Random Staff
- · Random Offenders
- (a) ED 72 states "The DOC shall provide a method for third parties to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an offender. Information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an offender shall be posted publicly."

Pre-onsite: The auditor reviewed the agency website DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov) for 3rd party reporting option and submitted a test utilizing the link provided. The auditor received a confirmation response affirming these reporting options.

Onsite: Random staff and offenders stated a 3rd party could file a report on behalf of an offender, with most of the offenders stating they would file a report themselves. At the time of this audit, the facility had not received any 3rd party reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Conclusion: The auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.61 Staff and agency reporting duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- WI Criminal Code 940.285 Abuse of individuals at risk definitions

Interviews conducted

- Superintendent
- PREA Director
- · Random staff
- Health Services Unit (HSU) and Psychological Services Unit (PSU) staff
- (a) ED 72 states "Employees shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties; promptly document any verbal reports and immediately report." Staff is required to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility regardless it is part of the agency. The policy further requires staff to report any incidents of retaliation against offenders or employees who reported an incident and/or, neglect by an employee for violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.
- (b) ED 72 states "Employees shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment report to anyone other than to supervisors, investigators, and designated officials. Such information shall be limited to information necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions."
- (c) ED 72 states "Medical and mental health practitioners shall be required to report sexual abuse and to inform offenders of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services."

Pre-onsite specialized interviews with Health Services Unit (HSU) and Psychological Services Unit (PSU) staff: Both HSU and PSU staff stated they inform the offender of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality at the initiation of services.

(d) ED 72 states "If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a

vulnerable adult in accordance with State or local statute, the DOC shall report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws".

The facility does not house adjudicated adult offenders as such this requirement only applies to those considered vulnerable adults. Local Law enforcement conducts the criminal sexual abuse investigation and would be responsible for completing mandatory reports for those who fall under the vulnerable person statute. This auditor reviewed the Wisconsin State Legislature public website for the vulnerable adult state statute Wisconsin Legislature - Criminal Code 940.285 Abuse of individuals at risk definitions.

- "Adult at risk" 55.01 (1e): means any adult who has a physical or mental condition that substantially impairs his or her ability to care for his or her needs and who has experienced, is currently experiencing or is at risk of experiencing abuse, neglect, self-neglect, or financial exploitation
- "Elder adult at risk" (46.90 (1) (br): means any person age 60 or older who has experienced, is currently experiencing or is at risk of experiencing abuse, neglect, self-neglect, or financial exploitation
- "Individual at risk" means an elder adult at risk or an adult at risk

(e) ED 72 states "All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, shall be reported."

Pre-onsite interviews: The auditor interviewed the Warden, Superintendent/PCM, Captain, and agency PREA Director who stated that that policy requires all staff to immediately report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PREA Director stated local law enforcement conducts the criminal investigations and would be responsible for any mandatory reporting requirements if the victim-offender of sexual abuse falls under the vulnerable offender statute. All HSU and PSU staff and contract employees are required to inform offenders of their limits to confidentiality and that as agency employees they are also mandatory reporters.

On-site random staff interviews: All staff random interviewed stated that they are required to immediately report all allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation by staff or offenders. Staff stated they understand that any information related to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment is confidential and not to be shared with anyone other than those who have a need and right to know. When an offender files a report to staff, they stated the reports would be accepted verbally, in writing, by 3rd party, and they would accept anonymous reports. After receiving a report they notify their supervisor. Related to standard 115.16, while the facility did not have any offenders requiring a translation, staff were aware that the facility has a translation service and would contact the supervisor to initiate this service. During this audit time frame, this facility had not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including going back to the last audit.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available and interviews conducted

the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.62 Agency protection duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

• Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)..

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- Superintendent/PCM
- Random Staff

(a) ED 72 states "When the department or facility learns that an offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the offender."

Pre-onsite: The auditor interviewed the Assistant Deputy Secretary, Superintendent, and facility Captain. During the interview, they described the facility response procedure when a staff member receives a report of imminent sexual abuse from an offender. Staff is required to separate the victim from the aggressor and immediately report the incident to the supervisor. The designated supervisor will enter an incident report and conduct the investigation which includes and is not limited to interviewing the victim, the aggressor (if known), and witness (s) (if any). During this audit time frame and going back to the last PREA audit, this facility has not had any offenders reporting fear of imminent sexual abuse.

On-site interviews: All staff interviewed stated they would immediately contact the supervisor and separate the victim from the aggressor.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available and interviews conducted the auditor finds the facility meets full compliance with this standard

115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)..
- · Agency external report template

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- Superintendent
- (a) (b) ED 72 states "Within 72 hours of receiving an allegation that an offender was the victim of sexual abuse while confined at another facility, the information shall be reported by the head, or designee, of the facility to the head, or designee, of the facility where the alleged abuse occurred."
- (c) (d) ED 72 states "All notifications shall be documented and the appointing authority that receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated."

Pre-onsite interviews:

Assistant Deputy Secretary: Should the Assistant Deputy Secretary receive information from another agency that an offender reported sexual victimization while housed within a WI facility, she would contact the agency PREA Director. The PREA Director would research their database to determine if they had received the report and completed an investigation. If not, the PREA Director will contact the Warden/ Superintendent, initiate a report and assign an investigation.

Superintendent/PCM: The Superintendent stated that when a report is received that an offender was sexually abused in another confinement facility, they will notify the facility Superintendent, Warden, or agency head where the incident occurred. In the event they receive a report from another facility or agency that an offender reported they were sexually abused in their facility, they will review SINC to determine if an investigation had been completed, if not an incident report would be generated and an investigation assigned. During this audit time frame, the facility has not received a report from another confinement facility or received a report from

an offender that they were sexually abused at another confinement facility.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available and interviews conducted the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.64 Staff first responder duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)..
- Agency First Responder Card (Healthcare staff)
- Agency First Responder Card (security)
- Agency First Responder Card (non-security)

Interviews conducted

- Random staff
- (a) ED 72 First Responder requirements page 13 requires that, upon learning of an allegation that an offender was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to, at a minimum:
 - 1. Separate the alleged victim and abuser;
 - 2. Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence;
 - 3. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating; and
 - 4. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating.
- (b) ED 72 states "If the first employee responder is not a security staff member, the responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff."

On-site: This facility has not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse during

this audit time frame or going back to the last PREA audit. Interviews with selected random staff affirmed they are aware of the responsibilities of a first responder. They knew the difference between actions they would take including but not limited to separating the victim from the aggressor, requesting the victim not to take actions that could destroy physical evidence, and ensuring the aggressor does not take actions that could destroy evidence.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available and interviews conducted the auditor finds the facility meets compliance with this standard

115.65 Coordinated response

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Division of Adult Institution (DAI) Policy and Procedures 410.50.06:
 Coordinated Response Plan
- · Facility Coordinated Response Plan

Interviews conducted

- Superintendent
- · Random staff

(a) ED 72 states "Each facility shall develop a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among employee first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership." In accordance with ED 72 and DAI 410.50.06, the facility provided a copy of its coordinated response plan that outlines a step-by-step guide for staff and supervisors. Additionally, the coordinated response plan includes a flow chart that is easy to follow and provides direction to ensure all steps are followed.

Pre-onsite interview: The auditor interviewed the Superintendent (PCM) who has knowledge of and described the coordinated response process, however, the facility has not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse during this audit time frame including going back to the last PREA audit.

Onsite interviews. Staff selected for the interview were able to describe their responsibility if an offender reports an allegation of sexual abuse including the first responder's duties referenced in standard 115.64. Additionally, they had knowledge of the PREA kite, where it was located, and how to access it if needed. This facility has not received a report of sexual abuse during this audit time including going back to their last PREA audit.

Conclusion: Based on the information provided and reviewing relevant documentation, the auditor finds the facility meets full compliance with this standard.

| 115.66 | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Interviews conducted |
| | Specialized interview with Assistant Deputy Secretary |
| | (a) This standard does not apply as the agency does not have Collective Bargaining. |

115.67 Agency protection against retaliation

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 410.50.04 Support Services and Retaliation Monitoring
- DOC-2805 Sexual Abuse Allegation Staff Retaliation Monitoring
- DOC-2767 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Incident Victim Services Coordinator Response Checklist

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- Superintendent
- Staff member who is responsible for retaliation monitoring Facility Victim Services Coordinator (VSC)
- (a) ED 72 states "Each facility shall designate an employee(s) to monitor retaliation to ensure that all offenders and employees involved in the reporting or investigation of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment are protected."
- (b) (e) ED 72 states "For offenders or employees who express fear of retaliation, the facility shall take appropriate protective measures."
- (c d) ED 72 states "For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the designated facility-based employee(s) shall monitor the conduct and treatment of the offender(s) or employee(s) who reported the sexual abuse and the offender(s) who was reported to have experienced sexual abuse to determine if retaliation occurred. For offenders, such monitoring shall include periodic status checks. Employees shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. Monitoring beyond 90 days shall continue if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need."

In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.50.04 states "During periodic retaliation monitoring status checks described below, the VSC shall ask the alleged victim about the individual's perceived degree of wellness. Support services shall be modified, as needed " and "Following a report of sexual abuse, the VSC shall monitor the conduct

and treatment of the reporter and alleged victim once every 30 days for at least 90 days. Monitoring efforts shall continue beyond 90 days if there is a continuing need."

(f) ED 72 states "The DOC's obligation to monitor shall terminate if DOC determines that the allegation is unfounded." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.50.04 states "If the report is determined to be unfounded, efforts to monitor retaliation may be discontinued."

Pre-onsite interviews: This auditor interviewed the Assistant Deputy Secretary and the Superintendent who stated the agency has policies and procedures outlining the agency's Zero Tolerance against retaliation of staff and offenders who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Each facility has designated a retaliation monitor and generally has been assigned to the facility Victim Services Coordinator. The retaliation monitor will meet victims of sexual abuse or those who reported an incident of sexual abuse within a few days after receiving a report then again within 30 days, 60 days, and 90 days. The VSC stated they could monitor longer than 90 days if they identified a need to continue. Should an individual report they have been threatened or retaliated against, the PCM or facility supervisor will enter an incident report, and refer it for investigation.

The retaliation forms are still utilized, however, the agency has built a retaliation monitoring section into its reporting and investigation "SINC" database.

Victim Services Coordinator (VSC): The auditor interviewed the VSC who stated the facility has not received any reports of sexual abuse during this audit time frame. The VSC described the process they would follow and included the time frames for meeting these individuals in person and conducting periodic status checks. Periodic checks include and are not limited to: Offender conduct reports, programming, work assignments, housing, and checking following up with staff to see how they are doing in their daily life. The auditor asked if they would add an offender to retaliation monitoring if their initial PREA report was determined to be unfounded and the offender later reported being retaliated against for filing a PREA report regardless of the outcome. She paused to think and then stated, yes. including they would report the allegation to the supervisor for possible retaliation.

Conclusion: Based on the relevant information available and interviews conducted the auditor finds the facility meets full compliance with this standard

115.68 Post-allegation protective custody

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- DOC-30 Review of Inmates in Restrictive Housing

Interviews conducted

Superintendent

(a) ED 72 states "Any use of restricted status housing to protect an offender who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse shall be subject to the requirements of §115.43 and §115.343 as found within Placement."

Pre-onsite: While the agency has policies and procedures related to this standard and 115.43, this facility does not have restrictive housing.

On-site review: During the physical plant review the audit team affirmed this facility does not have any segregation housing.

Conclusion: The finding of full compliance with this standard is made based upon the review of policy, available evidence, and interviews

115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinemen.(PREA)..
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 306.00.15 (Restricted) Inmate Investigations
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 303.00.05 Law Enforcement Referrals
- WIDOC Human Resources Policy 200.30.304 Employee Disciplinary Investigations
- Notification for expansion of the Internal Affairs Office (IAO)
- · State of WI Department of Administration Agency retention records

Interviews conducted

- Agency Internal Affairs (IA) Investigator
- Facility Investigator

The agency IA investigator or facility investigator will be assigned the administrative investigation and will work collaboratively with outside local law enforcement when there is potential criminal behavior.

- (a) ED 72 states "The DOC shall ensure that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including those received from third parties and anonymous sources. DOC shall maintain a Policy(s) that governs the conduct of such investigation." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15, and DOC HR policy 200.30.304 outlines the investigatory process includes conducting prompt, thorough, and objective investigations.
- (b) This provision is addressed under and in accordance with standard 115.34.
- (c) ED 72 states "Investigators shall preserve and/or collect direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15

outlines the agency's investigatory process and obligations for evidence preservation.

- (d) (g) (h) ED 72 states "Allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that involve potentially criminal behavior shall be referred for investigation to local law enforcement. All referrals to law enforcement shall be documented" In accordance with ED 72 DAI 303.00.05 outlines procedures for sexual abuse incident referrals to law enforcement.
- (e) ED 72 states "The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as offender or employee. The DOC shall not require an offender who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15, and DOC HR policy 200.30.304 requires investigators to assess the credibility of the alleged victim, suspect, or witness and shall not be determined by the person's status as an inmate or staff member.
- (f) ED 72 states "Administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether employee actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse." ED 72 additionally requires administrative investigation reports to include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and the investigative facts and findings. In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15, and DOC HR policy 200.30.304 outlines the agency's investigatory process and requirement to include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence and credibility assessments.
- (i) ED 72 states, "Administrative and criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report to be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the DOC, plus ten years."
- (j) (k) ED 72 states "The departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or the DOC, or the recantation of the allegation, shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15, and DOC HR policy 200.30.304 outlines the agency's investigatory process and the obligation to continue with the investigation regardless of the departure of the alleged victim or suspect
- (I) ED 72 states "When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, the facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall work to remain informed about the progress of the investigation." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15, and DOC HR policy 200.30.304 investigators shall work collaboratively with law enforcement investigators, if applicable, and attempt to remain informed about the progress of the criminal investigation.

Pre-onsite reviews and interviews: During this audit time frame the facility did not receive any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed the agency's annual reports going back to their last audit to ascertain they had reported any sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations over the three-

year facility audit cycle, with negative results. The lead auditor reviewed the agency investigator training directory to determine which staff at the facility and agency level are responsible for conducting investigations and completed the required training (115.34). The IA investigator is assigned to the Office of Internal Affairs based in Madison and is responsible for conducting investigations involving staff on offender sexual abuse, fraternization, sexual harassment, and incidents meeting a violation of serious misconduct and offender-on-offender sexual abuse. Facility investigators are responsible for offender-on-offender sexual harassment and case-by-case, offender-on-offender sexual abuse investigations.

Internal Affairs Investigator and Facility Investigator: The auditor interviewed the IA investigator and facility investigator and asked them to describe the investigatory process from the time they are assigned the investigation. This included what evidence they collect and rely upon, summarizing interviews with the victim, suspect, and witness (if any), how they assess the credibility of those interviewed, and whether is this documented within their report. The investigators were able to provide knowledge and understanding when describing the investigatory process of a thorough investigation. Both stated they received training on Miranda Rights, however, they are not criminal investigators and would not have the legal authority to read Miranda Rights to offenders or staff. Staff conducting investigations are fact finders with the final resolution determined by the appointing authority and sent to the PREA Office for final approval. Should the PREA Office identify elements that did not meet the investigatory process, the case is referred back to the investigator for corrections.

While the investigators do not determine the findings, they were able to define Substantiated, Unsubstantiated and Unfounded.

Conclusion: This facility did not have any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment going back to the previous audit. As such, this auditor was not able to review any investigation reports. The finding of full compliance with this standard is made based on the review of policy, available evidence, and interviews

115.72 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 306.00.15 (Restricted) Inmate InvestigationsWisconsin Department of Corrections Human Resources Policy 200.30.304 Employee Disciplinary Investigations

Interviews conducted

- · Agency Internal Affairs Investigator
- Facility Investigator

(a) ED 72 states "The DOC shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether the allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15, and DOC HR policy 200.30.304 includes the definition of a preponderance of evidence in determining a substantiated finding.

Pre-onsite Internal Affairs (IA) Investigator and Facility Investigator: The auditor interviewed the IA investigator and facility investigator and asked what standard is used to determine whether the allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is substantiated. Both stated that policy is a preponderance of the evidence to determine a substantiated/sustained finding. It is noted that investigators are fact finders and the final investigation report is reviewed by the appointing authority and PREA Office which will determine the final resolution.

Conclusion: At the time of this audit, this facility has not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse including going back to the last PREA audit. As such, this auditor was not able to review the investigation reports. The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of policy, available evidence, and interviews.

115.73 Reporting to inmates

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 306.00.15 (Restricted) Inmate Investigations
- Agency PREA Investigation Notification DOC-2768 substantiated findings
- Agency PREA Investigation Notification DOC-2768A unsubstantiated findings
- Agency PREA Investigation Notification DOC 2768B unfounded findings
- Agency PREA Investigation Notification DOC-2768C Report does not constitute sexual abuse or sexual harassment as defined by 115.6

Interviews conducted

- Superintendent
- Agency Internal Affairs Investigator
- Facility Investigator

(a)(b)(e)(f) ED 72 states "Following an investigation of an allegation that an offender suffered sexual abuse in a DOC facility, the facility shall inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. If the DOC did not conduct the investigation, it shall request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the alleged victim. The DOC's obligation to report shall terminate if the alleged victim is released from custody." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.15, and DOC HR policy 200.30.304 policy outlines the notifications and documentation process.

(c) ED 72 states "Following an offender's allegation that an employee committed sexual abuse against an offender and the findings are substantiated or unsubstantiated, the DOC shall subsequently inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, whenever the employee is no longer posted within the alleged victim's unit; the employee is no longer employed at the facility, or the DOC learns that the employee has been indicted or convicted on a charge related to the initial allegation of sexual abuse." In accordance with ED 72, the agency notification forms were reviewed and met the requirement of this provision.

(d) ED 72 states, "Following an offender's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another offender, the DOC shall subsequently inform the alleged victim, and document such notification, whenever the DOC learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted or convicted on a charge related to the initial allegation of sexual abuse." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 306.00.015, HR policy 200.30.304, and agency notification forms support the requirement to notify victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment of victims of the outcome of the investigation.

Pre-onsite interviews: This auditor interviewed the Superintendent, facility Captain, agency internal affairs investigator, and facility investigator who affirmed offenders are notified of the outcome of investigations. The offender notifications are completed by the agency PREA Office and mailed to the victim-offender unless the offender has been released from WIDOC custody.

Pre-onsite document review: ED 72 meets compliance with the requirement to notify offender victims when they have closed the investigation. Reviewing the PREA Office notification letter, they have included victims of sexual harassment to be notified when investigations have been completed. Additionally, offenders are notified if they report an incident that is determined not to constitute a violation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment by the PREA Office.

Conclusion: At the time of this audit, this facility has not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including going back to the last PREA audit. The sample notification letters provided exceeded the standard requirement, however, the auditor was not able to review supporting proof of practice documentation from this facility. The auditor determined the facility meets compliance based on the review of policy and completed interviews with staff.

115.76 Disciplinary sanctions for staff

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #2 (ED 2): Employee Discipline
- (a) ED 72 states "Staff members who are found to have violated the DOC sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation policies shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination." In accordance with ED 72, ED 2 outlines levels of discipline and the progression schedule for formal discipline up to termination. The disciplinary process could be accelerated for incidents of staff sexual misconduct with offenders.
- (b) (d) Ed 72 states "Termination is the presumptive sanction for a staff member who engaged in sexual abuse. All terminations for violations of the DOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, including resignations that would have resulted in termination if not for the resignation, shall be reported to any relevant licensing bodies
- (c) ED 72 states "Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the violation, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories."

Conclusion: During this audit time frame, this facility did not have any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, including going back to its last PREA audit. The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy.

115.77 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)

Interviews conducted

- Superintendent (PCM)
- · Facility Captain

(a) (b) ED 72 states "Any volunteer or contractor who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with offenders and shall be reported to relevant licensing bodies. Appropriate remedial measures shall be taken by the facility to ensure the safety of offenders in contact with volunteers and contractors."

Pre-onsite interview: The lead auditor interviewed the Superintendent and the facility Captain to ascertain what action would be taken if an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is reported against a contractor or volunteer. Both stated they would temporarily prohibit the accused from entering the facility while the investigation was ongoing. Upon completion of investigations resulting in a substantiated finding, the contractor or volunteer will be permanently prohibited from entering all WIDOC facilities and reported to relevant licensing bodies if applicable.

Conclusion: At the time of this audit, this facility did not receive any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including going back to its last PREA audit. The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy and specialized interviews.

115.78 Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Department of Corrections Chapter DOC 303 Discipline (DOC) 303.01

Interviews conducted

- Superintendent
- Psychological Services Unit (PSU) staff
- (a) ED 72 states "Offenders who have committed offender-on-offender sexual abuse are subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process."
- (b) ED 72 states "Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the violation, the offender's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other offenders with similar histories."
- (c) ED 72 states "The disciplinary process shall consider whether a perpetrating offender's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction if any, should be imposed."
- (d) ED 72 states "The facility shall consider requiring perpetrating offenders to participate in interventions, such as therapy or counseling, to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse."

Pre-onsite PSU staff interview: This facility does not have onsite PSU staff, the Milwaukee Secure Detention Facility PSU staff supports this facility as requested.

- (e) ED 72 states "An offender may only be disciplined for sexual contact with an employee upon a finding that the employee did not consent to such contact."
- (f) ED 72 states "Reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence to substantiate the allegation."

(g) ED 72 states "While consensual sexual activity between offenders is prohibited in the DOC facilities, the DOC may not deem consensual sexual activities as sexual abuse if it is determined that the activity is not coerced."

Document review: The agency and facility offender disciplinary infractions are outlined within Wisconsin Statute - Department of Corrections DOC Chapter 303.14 Sexual Conduct and Chapter 303.15 Sexual contact or intercourse. These chapters outline prohibited acts including "consensual acts are prohibited under this section".

Pre-onsite interview: The Superintendent and the Captain stated the facility will follow agency policy when disciplining an offender and local law enforcement would refer substantiated cases of criminal sexual abuse to the District Attorney's office.

Conclusion: At the time of this audit, this facility has not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including going back to the last PREA audit. The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy and specialized interviews.

115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 500.70.01 Mental Health Screening, Assessment and Referral
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 410.30.01 Screening for Risk of Sexual Abusiveness and Sexual Victimization
- Screenshot of the Agency Electronic Medical Record (blank) Confidential
- Screenshot of the Agency Risk Screening Referral -Confidential.
- Agency Non-Health Disclosure Form DOC-1163 (blank)
- Agency Confidentiality Form -DOC-1923 (blank)
- Agency PHI Disclosure Form DOC-1163A (blank)

Interviews conducted

- Staff who conduct risk screening assessments
- Psychological Services Unit (PSU)
- · Health Services Unit (HSU) staff

(a-c) ED 72 states "If either the initial or follow-up screening indicates an offender has previously experienced prior sexual victimization or has perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in a community setting, employees shall ensure the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health provider within 14 days of the initial or follow-up screening." In accordance with ED 72 and DAI 410.01, DAI 500.70.01 page 4. VI. PREA Referrals outlines the referral process to Psychological Services (PSU) staff.

(d) ED 72 states "Appropriate controls shall be placed on the dissemination of information gathered from the initial and follow-up screenings to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the offender's detriment by employees or other offenders. Further, any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness occurring in an institutional setting shall be confidential and strictly limited to medical and mental health clinicians and other employees, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education and program assignments or as otherwise required by law." The Agency

Risk Screening tool includes an introduction that is required to be read to the offenders prior to completing the assessment and includes the limits of confidentiality statement and staff mandatory reporting requirements.

(e) ED 72 states "Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from offenders before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting unless the offender is under the age of 18."

Pre-onsite PSU interview: This facility does not have a Psychological Services Unit (PSU) onsite and is supported by the Milwaukee Secure Detention Facility (MSDF) PSU. An interview was conducted with the MSDF PSU staff member who stated that when they receive a referral/notification after an offender victim accepted the offer for PSU services, they will schedule to meet with the offender within a few days. They will develop a treatment plan for ongoing services if necessary.

On-site interview: Support staff interviewed the facility social worker who is responsible for completing the risk screening assessments. After returning the auditor identified some of the questions that were missing from the interview guide and reached out to conduct a follow-up interview related to provisions (a-c). If an offender reports sexual victimization or perpetrated sexual victimization in the community, they will offer the offender PSU services and if accepted will submit a referral to MSDF PSU. If the offender reported sexual abuse or perpetrated sexual abuse in a confinement facility in addition to offering PSU services and referrals if accepted, they are required to enter an incident report. The Superintendent would review to determine if the report had previously been received and investigated, if not they would refer it for an investigation.

At the time of this audit, the facility did not have any offenders who reported sexual victimization in the community or sexual abuse in a confinement setting. They did have two offenders who had documented history of perpetrating sexual abuse in the community, however, they did not disclose this information to the social worker during the risk screening assessment. This facility does not have PSU nor does MSDF PSU have programs or treatment for offenders who perpetrated sexual abuse.

Conclusion: The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy, interviews, and document review.

115.82 Access to emergency medical and mental health services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 500.30.19 Health Services Unit Procedure in the Event of Sexual Abuse
- Agency Off-"Site Review Form DOC-3001 (blank)
- DAI 316.00.01 (attachment) Inmate Co-Payment for Health Services

Interviews conducted

- Health Services Unit (HSU)
- Psychological Services Unit (PSU) staff
- (a) ED 72 states "Victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment ." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 500.30.19 outlines procedures Health Services staff are to follow when an incident of sexual abuse is reported.
- (b) ED 72 states "In the event that no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, security staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim and shall immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health employee(s)."
- (c) ED 72 states "The DOC's medical response shall include the timely dissemination of information and access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis."
- (d) ED 72 states "All medical and mental health treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident, and in a manner consistent with the community level of care." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 316 .00.01 states there is no copayment for treatment for a medical emergency, a referral from a PREA Risk Assessment Screener, and Crisis intervention evaluation

and treatment related to sexual abuse in confinement.

Pre-onsite specialized interview: Both the MSDF PSU staff and the facility HSU staff stated offender victims of sexual abuse would receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment. During this audit time frame, this facility has not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse.

On-site: At the time of this audit and going back to the last audit, this facility has not received any reported incidents of sexual abuse. The audit team interviewed random staff related to standard 115.64 which intertwines with provision (b) of this standard.

Conclusion: The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy and staff interviews.

115.83

Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72):
 Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 500.30.19 Sexual Abuse – Health Services Unit Procedure in the Event of Sexual Abuse
- Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) Policy and Procedures 500.70.01 Mental Health Screening, Assessment, and Referral.

Interviews conducted

- · Health Services Unit (HSU) staff
- Psychological Services Unit (PSU) staff
- (a) (b) ED 72 states "The facility shall offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all offenders who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any confinement setting. The evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities or their release from custody." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 500.30.19 and DAI 500.70.01 outline procedures for Health Services and Psychological Services employees to follow in response to and follow up after an incident of sexual abuse is received.
- (c) (g) ED 72 states "All medical and mental health treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident, and in a manner consistent with the community level of care."
- (d) This standard provision is not applicable as this facility does not house female offenders
- (e) This standard provision is not applicable as this facility does not house female offenders

- (f) ED 72 states "Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections" In accordance with ED 72, DAI 500.30.19 outlines the procedures for completing the DOC-3542 Diagnostic Testing Results related to Sexual Contact.
- (h) ED 72 states "Further, facilities shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known offender-on-offender abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners."
 - During this audit time frame, this facility did not have any offenders identified as known aggressors of sexual abuse in a confinement setting.

Pre-onsite: The auditor interviewed the PSU and HSU staff with both stating offender victims of sexual abuse would receive ongoing medical and mental health treatment as needed or requested by the victim-offender. Victim offenders are seen timely and receive services consistent with a community level of care and andy treatment related to the sexual abuse incident is at no cost to the offender.

Onsite: At the time of this audit, the facility did not have any offenders who reported sexual abuse at this facility.

Conclusion: The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy and staff interviews.

115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard. In reviewing Division of Adult (DAI) policies and procedures they reference and define an incarcerated individual as; Person in our care (PIOC), inmate, and offender. This audit report will use these terms interchangeably when referring to an incarcerated person.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA)
- Division of Adult Institutions Policy and Procedures (DAI) 410.50.01 Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews
- Division of Adult Institutions Policy and Procedures (DAI) 300.00.70 Assaults by Inmate Reporting and Tracking
- Agency SAIR Form DOC 2863 (blank)

Specialized Interviews conducted

- Superintendent/PCM
- Sexual Abuse Incident Review Team Member

(a-c) ED 72 states "All facilities shall conduct a review within 30 days of the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. The team shall consist of upper-level management officials with input from supervisors, investigators, and medical and mental health practitioners." In accordance with ED 72, DAI 410.50.01 and DAI 300.00.70 requires facilities to conduct a sexual abuse incident review after every sexual abuse investigation is closed substantiated, or unsubstantiated. DAI 410.50.01 outlines procedures for conducting the review and who as a minimum shall be included in the review process.

- (d) ED 72 requires the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Team to complete the following provisions:
 - 1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse;
 - 2. Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status or perceived status; gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility;
 - 3. Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to

- assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;
- 4. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts;
- 5. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by employees; and
- 6. Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made in the above items, and any recommendations for improvement, and submit such report to the facility head and PREA Compliance Manager.
- (e) ED 72 states "The facility shall implement the recommendations for improvement, or shall document its reasons for not doing so."

Pre-onsite interviews: During this audit time frame, this facility has not received any reported incident of sexual abuse including back to the last PREA audit. The Superintendent and the Corrections Security Director described the process for completing the sexual abuse incident review and they stated these would be completed within 30 days of the investigation being closed substantiated, or unsubstantiated. The review team includes the Corrections Warden, Corrections Security Director, Superintendent/PCM, Investigator, Victim Services Coordinator, HSU and PSU staff, and case-by-case HR Director if the allegation involved a staff member.

Conclusion: The finding of full compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy and specialized interviews.

115.87 Data collection

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Survey of Sexual Victimization Summary Forms 2017-2020

Interviews conducted

PREA Director

(a - f) ED 72 states "The DOC shall collect accurate, uniform data from incident-based documents such as reports, investigation files and sexual abuse incident reviews for every allegation of sexual abuse within facilities, including facilities with which it contracts for the confinement of offenders, using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The extracted data, at minimum, shall include the information to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Department of Justice Survey of Sexual Victimization. This data shall be aggregated annually, reported to the Department of Justice as requested, and with personal identifiers removed, posted publicly to the DOC's website annually."

Pre-onsite: The lead auditor interviewed the PREA Director who stated that they complete the Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Statistics (BJS) Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) report annually. The private contracted agencies are responsible for reporting their agency SSV statistics. As of this report, the D.O.J. B.J.S survey has not been sent to agencies to collect 2021 data.

Pre-onsite document review: The auditor reviewed the previous year's SSV Summary form affirming the agency has completed the previous year's SSV reports.

Conclusion: The finding of compliance with this standard is based upon the review of agency policy, SSV document review, and PREA Director interview. The auditor finds this facility meets full compliance with this standard.

115.88 Data review for corrective action

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard.

Policy(s) and supporting documentation reviewed

- Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 2): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA).
- Agency Annual Reports 2018-2020
- · Screenshot of the agency's public website

Interviews conducted

- Assistant Deputy Secretary
- · Agency PREA Director
- Facility PREA Compliance Manager

(a - d) ED 72 states "The data collected and aggregated shall be analyzed to assess and improve effectiveness of the DOC's sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices and training by identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility as well as the DOC as a whole. The report shall, additionally, include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from previous years and shall provide an assessment of the DOC's progress in addressing sexual abuse. Corrective action reports shall also be posted publicly to the DOC's website. The DOC may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility but must indicate the nature of the material redacted."

Pre-onsite document review: The agency prepares annual reports and posts on their agency's public website DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov) This auditor reviewed the agency website and found they have posted annual reports going back to 2010 up to and including 2020. Personal identifying information was redacted meeting compliance with security requirements.

Pre-onsite interviews: The lead auditor interviewed the Deputy Assistant Secretary, PREA Director, and PCM. Each described their responsibility. The PCM sends the facility report and information to the PREA office each year and the PREA Director is responsible to review all of the facility's information, assessing the data, and prepare the annual report. After the report has been completed, the report is sent to the Assistant Deputy Secretary for review and is then sent to the Secretary for review and signature. After the annual report has been signed the PREA Director requests the report to be posted on the agency website.

Conclusion: The finding of compliance with this standard is based on interviews, agency policy, reviewing the most current annual report, and the agency's public website. The auditor finds this facility meets full compliance with this standard.

| 115.89 | Data storage, publication, and destruction |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | In determining compliance the auditor triangulated available evidence related to this standard. |
| | Policy reviewed |
| | Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Executive Directive #72 (ED 72): Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in Confinement (PREA) |
| | Interviews conducted |
| | PREA Director |
| | (a) ED 72 states "All data shall be securely retained and maintained for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection." |
| | Pre-onsite interviews : The lead auditor interviewed the PREA Director who stated the data is retained in the Sensitive Information Network Communication (SINC). The only staff who have access to the information are those assigned to the PREA Office. |
| | Conclusion: The finding of compliance with this standard intertwines with standards 115.87 and 115.88 and PREA Director interview and agency policy. The |

auditor finds this facility meets full compliance with this standard.

| 115.401 | Frequency and scope of audits |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | In determining compliance the auditor triangulated relevant and available evidence related to this standard: |
| | (a) The auditor reviewed the agency's public website DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov) and verified the agency has posted final PREA audit reports for all facilities. |
| | (b) The auditor reviewed the agency's public website DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov) |
| | (h) While conducting the on-site review, the auditor and audit support staff had access to and the ability to observe all areas. |
| | (i) The auditor received requested documentation via email or uploaded within the OAS before the on-site audit review and documentation requested post onsite review and/or during corrective action time-frame. |
| | (m) The audit team conducted staff and offender interviews in areas that allowed a level of privacy from other offenders or staff from hearing. |
| | (n) The auditor received photos of the posted audit notifications and locations of those postings six weeks before the onsite review. While onsite the auditor and audit support team observed the audit notices posted throughout the facility. The audit notifications clearly articulated that letters to the auditor would not be discussed unless required by law. |
| | Conclusion: The agency and facility meet compliance with this standard |

| 115.403 | Audit contents and findings | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard | | |
| | Auditor Discussion | | |
| Auditor review | | | |
| | (f) The auditor reviewed the agency's public website DOC Prison Rape Elimination Act (wi.gov) and verified the agency has posted facility PREA audit reports going back to 2015 on the agency's public website. | | |
| | Conclusion: The auditor finds the agency meets compliance with this provision. | | |

| Appendix: Provision Findings | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------|--|
| 115.11 (a) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | | |
| | Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes | |
| | Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes | |
| 115.11 (b) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | | |
| | Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? | yes | |
| | Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? | yes | |
| | Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? | yes | |
| 115.11 (c) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassmer coordinator | nt; PREA | |
| | If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | yes | |
| | Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | yes | |
| 115.12 (a) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement o | f inmates | |
| | If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | yes | |

| 115.12 (b) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | yes |

| 115.13 (a) | Supervision and monitoring | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need | yes |

| | for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? | yes |
| 115.13 (b) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) | na |
| 115.13 (c) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? | yes |
| 115.13 (d) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? | yes |

| 115.14 (a) | Youthful inmates | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.14 (b) | Youthful inmates | |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.14 (c) | Youthful inmates | |
| | Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| | Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | na |
| 115.15 (a) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? | yes |

| 115.15 (b) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat- down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | na |
| | Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | na |
| 115.15 (c) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? | yes |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)? | na |
| 115.15 (d) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? | yes |
| 115.15 (e) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? | yes |
| | If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? | yes |

| 115.15 (f) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |

| 115.16 (a) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication | yes |

| | with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? | |
|------------|---|-----------|
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| 115.16 (b) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited proficient | l English |
| | Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| 115.16 (c) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited proficient | l English |
| | Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? | yes |

| 115.17 (a) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | yes |
| 115.17 (b) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |

| 115.17 (c) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? | yes |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.17 (d) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| 115.17 (e) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? | no |
| 115.17 (f) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? | yes |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? | yes |
| | Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? | yes |
| 115.17 (g) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? | yes |

| 115.17 (h) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) | yes |
| 115.18 (a) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |
| | If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | na |
| 115.18 (b) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |
| | If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | yes |
| 115.21 (a) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |

| 115.21 (b) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| | Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.21 (c) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? | yes |
| | Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? | yes |
| | If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? | yes |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? | yes |
| 115.21 (d) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? | yes |
| | If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? | yes |

| 115.21 (e) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
|------------|---|--------|
| | As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? | yes |
| | As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? | yes |
| 115.21 (f) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | na |
| 115.21 (h) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| 115.22 (a) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig | ations |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.22 (b) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig | ations |
| | Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? | yes |
| | Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? | yes |
| | Does the agency document all such referrals? | yes |

| 115.22 (c) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.31 (a) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? | yes |

| 115.31 (b) | Employee training | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? | yes |
| | Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? | yes |
| 115.31 (c) | Employee training | |
| | Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? | yes |
| | In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.31 (d) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.32 (a) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| 115.32 (b) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? | yes |
| 115.32 (c) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? | yes |

| 115.33 (a) | Inmate education | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.33 (b) | Inmate education | |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.33 (c) | Inmate education | |
| | Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? | yes |
| | Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? | yes |
| 115.33 (d) | Inmate education | |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? | yes |

| 115.33 (e) | Inmate education | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? | yes |
| 115.33 (f) | Inmate education | |
| | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? | yes |
| 115.34 (a) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.34 (b) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |

| 115.34 (c) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.35 (a) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| 115.35 (b) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) | yes |

| 115.35 (c) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | | |
|------------|--|-----|--|
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes | |
| 115.35 (d) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | | |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) | yes | |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) | yes | |
| 115.41 (a) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | yes | |
| | Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | yes | |
| 115.41 (b) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? | yes | |
| 115.41 (c) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | | |
| | Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? | yes | |

| 115.41 (d) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender nonconforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? | yes |

| 115.41 (e) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? | yes |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.41 (f) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? | yes |
| 115.41 (g) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? | yes |
| 115.41 (h) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs $(d)(1)$, $(d)(7)$, $(d)(8)$, or $(d)(9)$ of this section? | yes |
| 115.41 (i) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? | yes |

| 115.42 (a) | Use of screening information | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? | yes |
| 115.42 (b) | Use of screening information | |
| | Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? | yes |
| 115.42 (c) | Use of screening information | |
| | When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? | yes |
| | When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? | yes |

| 115.42 (d) | Use of screening information | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? | yes |
| 115.42 (e) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? | yes |
| 115.42 (f) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? | yes |
| 115.42 (g) | Use of screening information | |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |

| 115.43 (a) | Protective Custody | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? | yes |
| | If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? | yes |
| 115.43 (b) | Protective Custody | |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? | yes |
| | If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | na |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | na |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | na |

| 115.43 (c) | Protective Custody | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? | yes |
| | Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? | yes |
| 115.43 (d) | Protective Custody | |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? | yes |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? | yes |
| 115.43 (e) | Protective Custody | |
| | In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? | yes |
| 115.51 (a) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? | yes |

| 115.51 (b) | Inmate reporting | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? | yes |
| | Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? | yes |
| | Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? | yes |
| | Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | na |
| 115.51 (c) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? | yes |
| | Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.51 (d) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? | yes |
| 115.52 (a) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. | yes |

| 115.52 (b) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (c) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (d) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |

| 115.52 (e) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |

| 115.52 (f) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (g) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |

| 115.53 (a) | Inmate access to outside confidential support service | es |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? | yes |
| | Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | na |
| | Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? | yes |
| 115.53 (b) | Inmate access to outside confidential support services | |
| | Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.53 (c) | Inmate access to outside confidential support service | :S |
| | Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? | yes |
| 115.54 (a) | Third-party reporting | |
| | Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? | yes |

| 115.61 (a) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? | yes |
| 115.61 (b) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? | yes |
| 115.61 (c) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? | yes |
| 115.61 (d) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.61 (e) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? | yes |

| 115.62 (a) | Agency protection duties | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? | yes |
| 115.63 (a) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? | yes |
| 115.63 (b) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? | yes |
| 115.63 (c) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? | yes |
| 115.63 (d) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? | yes |

| 115.64 (a) | Staff first responder duties | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| 115.64 (b) | Staff first responder duties | |
| | If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? | yes |
| 115.65 (a) | Coordinated response | |
| | Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? | yes |

| 115.66 (a) | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact abusers | ct with |
|------------|---|---------|
| | Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? | no |
| 115.67 (a) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? | yes |
| 115.67 (b) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? | yes |

| 115.67 (c) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? | yes |

| 115.67 (d) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? | yes |
| 115.67 (e) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? | yes |
| 115.68 (a) | Post-allegation protective custody | |
| | Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? | yes |
| 115.71 (a) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/ facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.71 (b) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? | yes |
| 115.71 (c) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? | yes |
| | Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? | yes |
| | Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? | yes |

| 115.71 (d) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (e) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? | yes |
| 115.71 (f) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? | yes |
| | Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? | yes |
| 115.71 (g) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? | yes |
| 115.71 (h) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (i) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? | yes |

| 115.71 (j) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? | yes |
| 115.71 (I) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.72 (a) | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations | |
| | Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? | yes |
| 115.73 (a) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? | yes |
| 115.73 (b) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) | na |

| Reporting to inmates | |
|--|---|
| Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? | yes |
| Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? | yes |
| Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? | yes |
| Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| Reporting to inmates | |
| Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| Reporting to inmates | |
| Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Reporting to inmates Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? |

| 115.76 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.76 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.76 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.76 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.77 (a) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.77 (b) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? | yes |

| 115.78 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? | yes |
| 115.78 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.78 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? | yes |
| 115.78 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? | yes |
| 115.78 (e) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? | yes |
| 115.78 (f) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? | yes |
| 115.78 (g) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) | yes |

| 115.81 (a) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
|------------|---|-----------|
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison). | yes |
| 115.81 (b) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) | yes |
| 115.81 (c) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail). | na |
| 115.81 (d) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse |
| | Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? | yes |
| 115.81 (e) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex | ual abuse |
| | Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? | yes |

| 115.82 (a) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
|------------|---|-------|
| | Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? | yes |
| 115.82 (b) | Access to emergency medical and mental health serv | rices |
| | If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? | yes |
| | Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? | yes |
| 115.82 (c) | Access to emergency medical and mental health serv | ices |
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? | yes |
| 115.82 (d) | Access to emergency medical and mental health serv | ices |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes |
| 115.83 (a) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers | buse |
| | Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? | yes |
| 115.83 (b) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? | yes |

| 115.83 (c) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
|------------|---|------|--|
| | Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? | yes | |
| 115.83 (d) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | na | |
| 115.83 (e) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | na | |
| 115.83 (f) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? | yes | |
| 115.83 (g) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers | buse | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes | |

| 115.83 (h) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | | |
|------------|---|-----|--|
| | If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) | yes | |
| 115.86 (a) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | | |
| | Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? | yes | |
| 115.86 (b) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | | |
| | Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? | yes | |
| 115.86 (c) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | | |
| | Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? | yes | |

| 115.86 (d) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? | yes |
| 115.86 (e) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? | yes |
| 115.87 (a) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? | yes |
| 115.87 (b) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? | yes |
| 115.87 (c) | Data collection | |
| | Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? | yes |

| 115.87 (d) | Data collection | |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? | yes |
| 115.87 (e) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) | yes |
| 115.87 (f) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) | yes |
| 115.88 (a) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? | yes |
| 115.88 (b) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.88 (c) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |

| 115.88 (d) | Data review for corrective action | | |
|----------------|---|-----|--|
| | Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? | yes | |
| 115.89 (a) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | | |
| | Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? | yes | |
| 115.89 (b) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | | |
| | Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes | |
| 115.89 (c) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | | |
| | Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? | yes | |
| 115.89 (d) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | | |
| | Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? | yes | |
| 115.401 (a) | Frequency and scope of audits | | |
| | During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | yes | |

| 115.401 (b) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
|----------------|--|-----|
| | Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | yes |
| | If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) | na |
| | If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) | na |
| 115.401 (h) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? | yes |
| 115.401 (i) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? | yes |
| 115.401 (m) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? | yes |
| 115.401 (n) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? | yes |

| 115.403 (f) | Audit contents and findings | |
|----------------|---|-----|
| | The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) | yes |